HEALTH, MEDICAL EDUCATION AND FAMILY WELFARE DEPARTMENT

2.1 Performance Audit on “Medical Education in Jharkhand”

Executive summary

Health care in the State faces acute shortage of doctors and para-medical personnel. To address this problem, initiatives taken by the Government to augment the medical institutions for producing trained medical personnel available for health services in the state prompted conduct of a performance audit on the Status of Medical Education System under the Allopath stream in Jharkhand covering the period of 2010-15. Some of the major audit findings are discussed below:

- Establishment of three new Medical Colleges each in the Government Sector as well as under Public Private Partnership mode/private sector could not be achieved and even the meagre allotments of plan expenditure were surrendered which resulted in not achieving the annual target of 900 Under Graduate (UG) seats set by the Government in medical colleges in the State.  

  {Paragraph 2.1.7.1(i)}

- Not providing adequate funds in the budget resulted in not fulfilling the target of opening three paramedical courses as was envisaged in Annual Plan of 2011-12. So capacity augmentation of Para Medical Personnel for delivering better healthcare services could not be achieved.

  {Paragraph 2.1.7.1(ii)}

- Utilization of UG seats in Medical College was 1,279 against 1,390 sanctioned seats but in Post Graduate it was 708 against 959 sanctioned seats leaving 251 (26 per cent) vacant seats. This resulted in failure of the objective of State Government to increase the numbers of doctors/specialist doctors for induction into the health services. This could affect the provision of health services adversely in the State in the future.

  (Paragraph 2.1.7.3)

- In PMCH, Dhanbad admissions were made for additional seats during 2014-15 without approval of MCI due to which future prospects of students admitted to these additional seats remain uncertain. Further, PG courses in PMCH, Dhanbad could not be started due to acute shortage of faculty ranging between 53 per cent in 2010-11 to 60 per cent in 2014-15. The prospective students were denied the benefit of higher medical education.

  (Paragraphs 2.1.7.4 and 2.1.7.5)

- Shortage of medical equipment in different departments of PMCH, Dhanbad ranged between 22 and 80 per cent. This could affect practical training of medical students and their education.

  (Paragraph 2.1.8.2)

- Medical equipment purchased using State plan and Centrally Sponsored Scheme fund worth ₹ three crore and ₹ 1.25 crore respectively were not put to
use for want of reagents/technicians which led them being damaged or disused.

(Paragraph 2.1.8.3)

- Due to shortage of faculty, actual teaching hours fell short of the prescribed minimum norms of MCI by 14 to 48 per cent in PMCH, Dhanbad which might have an adverse impact on quality of education.

(Paragraph 2.1.9.1)

- Shortage of medical equipment ranged between 40 and 63 per cent in ANMTCs and GNMC and was 72 per cent in the College of Nursing, Ranchi. Similarly, these were under staffed by 68, 72 and 89 per cent which might affect the quality of education imparted in the colleges.

(Paragraphs 2.1.13, 2.1.15 and 2.1.16)

- Monitoring in PMCH, Dhanbad was deficient as only four meetings of the college council against the MCI requirement of 20 meetings were held during 2010-15. No inspection was done by higher authorities of the paramedical institutions. Inadequate monitoring may lead to shortage/irregularities being undetected and remedial measures not taken in time.

(Paragraphs 2.1.11 and 2.1.17)

2.1.1 Introduction

Health care in the State faces an acute shortage of Medical Officers (MOs) i.e. doctors and para-medical personnel (PMP or nurses, technician etc) posted in sadar/sub-divisional hospitals, Community Health Centres (CHCs), Primary Health Centres (PHCs) etc under Allopath and AYUSH Streams. Against the sanctioned posts of 3,236 MOs (2731 under Allopathy and 505 under AYUSH) in the State, there were vacancies in 1,483 posts (1,069 in Allopathy and 414 in AYUSH) i.e. 46 per cent and for PMPs against the sanction of 1,646 posts there were 774 vacancies which amounts to 47 per cent vacancy. The Annual Plans of the State reiterated the need for Medical Colleges, Auxiliary Nurse and Midwives training centres (ANMTC)/General Nurse and Midwives training centres (GNMTC) under Allopath stream. The regulatory bodies for medical course are the Medical Council of India (MCI) while the Indian Nursing Council (INC) regulate ANMTCs, GNMTCs, and College of Nursing.

The Government of Jharkhand (GoJ) planned to increase the capacity of the existing medical colleges and to open three new medical colleges under Government sector and three more medical colleges under Public Private Partnership (PPP).

Initiatives taken by the Government to augment the medical institutions in the State for producing trained medical personnel prompted this Performance Audit (PA) on the status of medical education system under Allopath stream during the period of 2010-15. In this performance audit we assessed the

---

1 Ayurveda, Yoga & Naturopathy, Unani, Siddha and Homoeopathy
capacity and operations of the medical teaching institutions of the GoJ and recommended corrective measures.

Presently, there are only three Government Medical Colleges and no private medical college in the State. These are Rajendra Institute of Medical Sciences (RIMS), Ranchi with an annual intake capacity of 150 students in Under Graduate (UG) courses and it also offers Post Graduate (PG) courses in 19 disciplines. It is a teaching hospital with 991 beds. Mahatma Gandhi Medical College Hospital (MGMCH), Jamshedpur and Patliputra Medical College & Hospital (PMCH), Dhanbad each have an annual intake capacity of 50 students (increased to 100 students which was under dispute with MCI) for UG courses and were teaching hospitals with 500 beds.

The State Government in each of its annual plans envisaged that in the existing Medical Colleges, UG seats need to be enhanced to cope with the deficiency of trained medical personnel.

In the Government sector, there were ten\(^2\) ANMTCs having total annual intake capacity of 600 students and three\(^3\) GNMTCs with total intake capacity of 120 students annually. Each GNMTC is attached with one of the three existing Medical Colleges. In addition, there is one College of Nursing having intake capacity of 80 students annually, attached with RIMS, Ranchi. For the purpose of this PA all these colleges and training centres are collectively referred to as institutions.

### 2.1.2 Organisational set up

The Health, Medical Education and Family Welfare Department (HME&FWD) is the administrative department responsible for running these institutions and is headed by a Principal Secretary, who is assisted by four Deputy Secretaries and one Director-in-chief (HME&FW and Finance). At the institution level, Principals are overall in-charge of the Medical Colleges and Nursing Institutions. Besides, Superintendents are overall in-charge of teaching hospitals attached to the Medical Colleges.

### 2.1.3 Audit objectives

The objectives of the performance audit were to assess whether:

- planning for medical education in the State was effective and was in conformance with MCI/INC guidelines;
- infrastructure and manpower in medical and para medical institutions were adequate to provide quality education in consonance with MCI/INC guidelines;
- academic and Research activities were carried as per MCI guidelines; and
- monitoring mechanism was adequate and effective.

---

\(^2\) Chaibasa, Dhanbad, Deoghar, Dumka, Giridih, Hazaribag, Jamshedpur, Palamu, Ranchi and Simdega

\(^3\) Dhanbad, Jamshedpur and Ranchi
2.1.4 Audit criteria

The audit criteria have been derived from the following sources:

- Plan documents of the State Government for 2010-15;
- Academic guidelines of MCI and INC;
- Vision 2015 documents of MCI;
- Financial Rules, Jharkhand Treasury Codes (JTC);
- 12th Five Year Plan (2012-17) for Social Sector (Health) Vol-III; and
- Reports and returns submitted/maintained in the department as well as in institutions audited.

2.1.5 Audit scope and methodology

Out of three Medical Colleges in the State, RIMS is an autonomous organisation and its performance audit appeared in the C&AG’s Civil Audit Report for the year ended March 2010. Of the remaining two Medical colleges PMCH, Dhanbad was selected for PA. Among the three GNMTCs, the GNMTC attached with PMCH, Dhanbad was selected. The College of Nursing at Ranchi is the only institute in the State that offers UG courses in nursing and so it was selected. Out of ten ANMTCs, three ANMTCs namely at Chaibasa, Deoghar and Jamshedpur were selected by Simple Random Sampling Without Replacement (SRSWOR) method. Audit was conducted during May 2015 and July 2015 covering the period 2010-15.

An entry conference was held with the Special Secretary, HME&FWD on 30 April 2015 in which audit objectives, scope and criteria were discussed. The audit findings and recommendations were discussed with the Joint Secretary HME&FWD in the exit conference held on 1 December 2015. The recommendations made in the performance audit report were accepted during exit conference. The reply of the department was received in November 2015 and has been suitably incorporated in the report.

Audit findings

2.1.6 Financial Management

Allotment and Expenditure

State Government provided funds for medical education under Plan and Non-plan heads to the institutions for their functioning. Apart from this, GoI also provided funds for opening of PG courses in PMCH, Dhanbad and MGMMCH, Jamshedpur on cost sharing basis (75 per cent Central and 25 per cent State share) during 2011-12. The allotment of fund and plan/non-plan expenditure incurred there against at State level for medical education is given in Table-2.1.1:
Table-2.1.1: Statement showing allotment and expenditure under State plan and non-plan of medical education

(₹ in crore)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Head</th>
<th>Allotment</th>
<th>Expenditure</th>
<th>Savings (per cent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010-11</td>
<td>Plan</td>
<td>22.02</td>
<td>20.55</td>
<td>1.47(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-plan</td>
<td>111.38</td>
<td>101.75</td>
<td>9.63(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-12</td>
<td>Plan</td>
<td>56.82</td>
<td>56.20</td>
<td>0.62(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-plan</td>
<td>123.27</td>
<td>111.67</td>
<td>11.60(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-13</td>
<td>Plan</td>
<td>20.12</td>
<td>19.75</td>
<td>0.37(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-plan</td>
<td>138.53</td>
<td>113.70</td>
<td>24.83(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-14</td>
<td>Plan</td>
<td>26.96</td>
<td>24.88</td>
<td>2.08(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-plan</td>
<td>160.45</td>
<td>128.71</td>
<td>31.74(20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>Plan</td>
<td>58.26</td>
<td>56.70</td>
<td>1.56(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-plan</td>
<td>194.81</td>
<td>147.11</td>
<td>47.70(24)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Data provided by the department)

From the Table-2.1.1 it is evident that savings under non-plan heads ranged between nine and 24 per cent during 2012-15. No reason for savings under non-plan head was found on record.

Similar analysis for the test checked unit for the period 2010-15 is given below:

Table-2.1.2: Statement showing allotment and expenditure under plan and non-plan head of test checked unit i.e. PMCH, Dhanbad

(₹ in crore)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Head</th>
<th>Allotment</th>
<th>Expenditure</th>
<th>Savings (per cent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010-11</td>
<td>Plan</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>0.59(24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-plan</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>9.32</td>
<td>0.01(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-12</td>
<td>Plan</td>
<td>6.01</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>0.49(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-plan</td>
<td>11.63</td>
<td>11.31</td>
<td>0.32(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-13</td>
<td>Plan</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>0.05(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-plan</td>
<td>10.49</td>
<td>10.47</td>
<td>0.02(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-14</td>
<td>Plan</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>0.15(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-plan</td>
<td>12.28</td>
<td>11.15</td>
<td>1.13(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>Plan</td>
<td>10.86</td>
<td>9.55</td>
<td>1.31(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-plan</td>
<td>10.89</td>
<td>10.74</td>
<td>0.15(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Data provided by the PMCH, Dhanbad)

Under plan head, there were savings of 24 per cent and 12 per cent during 2010-11 and 2014-15 respectively. Reasons for saving were attributed by the Principal, PMCH to delayed receipt of rate approval of machines and equipment and release of funds at the fag end of the year.

Allotment made under non-plan and expenditure incurred with respect to para medical education at State level is given in Table-2.1.3 below:

Table-2.1.3: Statement showing allotment and expenditure under non-plan of para medical institutions at State level

(₹ in crore)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Allotment</th>
<th>Expenditure</th>
<th>Savings (per cent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010-11</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>0.45(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-12</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>0.04(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-13</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>0.49(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-14</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>0.22(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>2.68(49)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Data provided by the Department)
From Table-2.1.3 it is evident that utilisation of fund was satisfactory during 2010-14. However, there was a saving of 49 per cent during 2014-15 which was due to excess allotment on account of pay arrears.

### 2.1.7 Planning

During 2010-15, the State Government planned the following:

(i) to upgrade the existing Medical Colleges to meet the stipulations of MCI in respect of infrastructure that included:

a) purchase of medical equipment;

b) construction of new buildings for enhancing the annual intake capacity from 50 to 100 seats in PMCH, Dhanbad and in MGMCH Jamshedpur, 90 seats to 150 seats in RIMS, Ranchi and to open PG courses in PMCH, Dhanbad and MGMCH Jamshedpur.

(ii) establishment of three new Medical Colleges each in the Government sector (Chaibasa, Dumka and Palamu) and under PPP (Dumka, Ranchi and Saraikela-Kharsawan).

(iii) a plan to encourage private sector investment in medical colleges.

(iv) establishment of para-medical courses at Dhanbad, Jamshedpur and Ranchi as envisaged in the Annual Plans of 2011-12.

(v) three new GNMTCs at Dhanbad, Bhognadih at Sahebganj and Jamshedpur as envisaged in the Annual Plans of 2012-13.

#### 2.1.7.1 Establishment of medical colleges/ para medical institutions

Audit scrutiny of annual plans, budget provisions and detailed appropriation accounts pertaining to the period 2010-15 revealed the following deficiencies:

(i) Establishment of medical colleges in Government Sector

Establishment of three new Government medical colleges at Chaibasa, Dumka and Palamu were envisaged in Annual Plans for the period 2010-11 and 2011-12 with proposed plan outlay of ₹ 1.50 crore and ₹ 1.00 crore respectively against which only ₹ 10 lakh was allotted during 2011-12. However, this amount was surrendered by the Department at the end of financial year. As a result, work for establishment of three new Government medical colleges did not even commence.

Department accepted the fact and stated that establishment of medical colleges in Government sectors were under process. However, no details of the process were provided to audit.

(ii) Establishment of medical colleges in Public Private Partnership Mode/Private Sector

- Establishment of three new medical colleges under PPP was envisaged with departmental proposal for a plan outlay of ₹ 1.11 crore during 2012-15 against which a budget allotment of ₹ 1.10 crore was made during 2012-14. But this plan was not acted upon due to lack of detailed implementation plan and the entire amount was surrendered and no medical colleges were established under PPP in the State.
Department accepted the fact and stated (November 2015) that establishment of medical colleges in PPP/private sector were under process. However, no details of the process were provided to audit.

- For encouraging participation of private sector in the field of setting up of new Medical Colleges, an outlay of ₹ 20.40 crore for the plan period 2010-12 (₹ 40 lakh for 2010-11 and ₹ 20 crore for 2011-12) was envisaged in Annual Plan against which budget provision of ₹ 17.40 crore (₹ 40 lakh for 2010-11 and ₹ 17 crore for 2011-12) was approved. This plan, however, was not implemented since required activities of seeking expression of interest, signing of Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) with interested stakeholders were not initiated and the amounts were surrendered at the end of the respective financial years.

This led to failure in encouraging participation of private sector in setting up new medical colleges.

While accepting the facts, Department stated (November 2015) that no eligible party turned up.

### 2.1.7.2 Establishment of new para-medical institutions

The State Government planned for opening three para-medical courses as envisaged in the Annual Plan 2011-12 but this could not be achieved due to non-provision of funds. Further, new GNMTCs envisaged in Annual Plan 2012-13 also could not be established due to surrender of entire plan outlay of ₹ one crore.

So the objective of increased capacity of MO’s and PMP’s for delivering health services could not be achieved.

While accepting the facts the Department stated (November 2015) that establishment of new para medical institutions were under process. No specific details were, however, provided.

**Recommendation**

We recommend that Government should take concerted efforts to open the medical colleges and para-medical institutions as envisaged in its annual plans.

### 2.1.7.3 Intake capacity and its utilization

The number of seats sanctioned by the MCI in all the three medical institutions under UG and PG levels and its utilisation during 2010-15 is given in **Appendix-2.1.1**. During 2010-15, utilisation of seats under UG course was 1,277 against the total sanction of 1390 seats, leaving 113 vacant seats (8 per cent) vacant. Utilisation of seats under PG courses was low with only 708 seats being utilised against sanctioned 959, leaving 251 (26 per cent) seats vacant.

Further, in the Budget Proposal of Health Department 2012-13 and also in the draft 12th Five Year Plan (2012-17), the State Government had outlined an annual requirement of 900 UG seats. So as per the plan the State Government during the period 2012-15 should have created an intake capacity of 2,700 seats. We however, observed that against 950 seats (35 per cent) could be created for admission to the students, of this only 865 students took admission to these courses.
In test checked units, vacancy of seats under UG level was mainly during 2011-12 (12 vacant seats against 50 sanctioned) and 2014-15 (29 vacant seats against 100 sanctioned). The Principal, PMC, Dhanbad attributed this to non-availability of eligible candidates who need to secure minimum 40 per cent marks for qualifying in entrance examination under ST category.

Thus, on the objective of the State Government to increase the output of doctors/specialist doctors there was a significant failure which is bound to have adverse impact on the provision of health services in the State in the years to come. Although the State in its 12th Five Year Plan has planned an increase in the capacity of UG courses but it has not developed any road map for ensuring this in a time bound manner.

While accepting the fact the Department stated (November 2015) that it was trying its best to enhance the intake capacity of medical colleges through opening of new medical colleges and other ways.

**2.1.7.4 Enhancement of intake capacity of UG courses**

As per the Annual Plan of the Department, intake capacity of medical seats for UG courses was to be increased from 50 to 100 in PMC Dhanbad, during 2010-11 to 2012-13. The MCI accorded one time permission (July 2013) for increase of intake capacity under UG courses from 50 to 100 in 2013-14 subject to renewal on annual basis. However, MCI in its inspection (March 2014) noted deficiencies in terms of acute shortage of faculty, non-posting of regular librarian, inadequacies in lecture theatres, equipment in central library, teaching area in OPD etc. and refused to renew its permission to increase intake capacity for academic session 2014-15. In spite of this, the PMC Dhanbad took admission of students against the increased seats. Meanwhile, the Hon'ble Jharkhand High Court stayed (September 2014) the order of MCI regarding non-renewal of increase of seats from 50 to 100 subject to removal of the deficiencies pointed out by the MCI within a time bound schedule of ten months i.e. up to June 2015. The Principal PMCH stated (July 2015) that action by Government was awaited. Thus, future prospect of the students admitted on enhanced seats was rendered uncertain.

While accepting the facts the Department stated (November 2015) that shortage of faculty was being addressed and appointment procedure of junior faculty was under process.

**2.1.7.5 Opening of New PG courses under Centrally Sponsored Schemes (CSS)**

Under Centrally Sponsored Scheme (75:25) for strengthening and upgrading of State Government medical colleges, which were not covered under Prime Minister Swasthya Suraksha Yojana (PMSSY), Government of India (GoI) approved (2011-12) a plan for opening of PG courses in PMCH, Dhanbad with an intake of 49 seats in 17 disciplines. Against plan outlay of ₹ 18.15 crore (₹ 13.61 crore Central share and ₹ 4.54 crore State share), a sum of ₹ 11.34 crore (₹ 6.80 crore Central share and ₹ 4.54 crore State share) was

---

4 Anatomy, Physiology, Biochemistry, Pharmacology, Pathology, Preventive and Social Medicine, Forensic Medicine and Toxicology, Medicine, Skin, Pediatric, Surgery, Orthopedics, Anaesthesia, Obstructive and Gynecology, Ear Nose and Throat, Ophthalmology and MD TMF.
released to PMCH, Dhanbad during February to March 2012 out of which ₹ 4.85 crore was spent on purchase of medical equipment during 2012-15. However, due to an acute shortage of faculty (60 per cent during 2010-15) in PMCH, Dhanbad the department did not proceed with inspection by MCI, as was required prior to opening of PG courses. Thus, the plan for opening new PG courses could not be achieved even after three years of launch of the programme.

In reply, the Department accepted the fact and stated (November 2015) that initiative have been taken for fresh appointment of faculty required for opening of new PG courses.

**Recommendation**

We recommend that Government should take initiative to commence the PG courses.

**Result of test check**

**Part I- Medical College**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.1.8 Infrastructure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2.1.8.1 Civil Works</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To fulfill the infrastructure requirements laid down by MCI, in PMCH, Dhanbad 12 civil works for construction of new buildings or renovation works etc. were sanctioned under State Plan during 2011-15, as detailed in Appendix-2.1.2.

The works were assigned to Engineering Cell, Health, Medical Education and Family Welfare Division, Hazaribag at estimated costs of ₹ 53.77 crore. For these works, ₹ 17.98 crore was allotted (during September 2011 to August 2014) to the Engineering Cell. Out of 12 works, two works namely construction of Library and of Auditorium sanctioned in 2011-12 were completed, but not handed over as of July 2015. Further, construction of Central Casualty Ward sanctioned in 2012-13 remained incomplete (July 2015) after incurring expenditure of ₹ 2.47 crore because the electrical and water supply components were not completed. As a result a 12 bedded Surgical ICU could not be operationalised as of July 2015.

Of the nine works sanctioned in 2014-15 only two works i.e. construction of building of Cath Lab and renovation of 500 bed main hospital building were in progress while agreements of four works (Construction of Paediatrics Department Buildings part A and B, buildings of Gynaecology, Surgery, Anaesthesia, ENT and Eye departments) were not executed (March 2015) by the department and for another two works (renovation of Lecture Theatre Hall and Construction of Body Storage Unit) tender process were not finalised as of July 2015. Construction of Burn Unit has not yet started (July 2015).

Thus, the objective of creation of infrastructure to fulfill the MCI requirements was not achieved.

In reply, the Department accepted the fact and stated (November 2015) that Central Casualty Ward had been handed over (October 2015) and remaining works were under progress and shall be completed soon. However, no timeline was provided.
2.1.8.2 Shortage of medical equipment

A medical college is required to maintain medical equipment as per standard list prescribed by the MCI for various departments. In PMCH, Dhanbad, we observed shortage of medical equipment in 13 out of 23 departments (Appendix-2.1.3).

From the details, it is evident that while the position of medical equipment in the department of Ear, Nose and Throat (ENT) and Physiology was satisfactory, in the remaining 11 departments shortages ranged between 22 and 90 per cent. Yet, funds worth ` 1.76 crore for purchase of medical equipment was surrendered during the same period. Such shortages could affect the practical training and education of medical students.

The Department accepted the fact and stated (November 2015) that medical equipment as recommended by the MCI were being procured by the medical college. However, no details were made available.

Recommendation

We recommend that Government should rapidly create infrastructure in medical institutions commensurate with MCI norms.

2.1.8.3 Utilisation of medical equipment

The Principal PMC, Dhanbad purchased medical equipment worth ` 22.29 crore and ` 4.85 crore from State Plan fund and CSS fund, respectively, that was meant for opening of new PG courses during 2010-15. During audit, various irregularities were found in installation and functioning of medical equipment (detailed in Appendix-2.1.4). In six departments, 11 different equipment worth ` three crore purchased under State Plan during March 2011 and March 2015, were not put to use for one to four years. This was mainly due to non-availability of reagent/technicians. A machine, ‘Elisa Reader with Washer’ purchased and received in Biochemistry Department in March 2011 was not installed as of July 2015. Similarly, in the Obstetrics & Gynecology Department ‘Digital Colposcope and Colour Doppler System’ purchased in March 2015 was not functional as construction of room for its installation was not completed as of July 2015. Further, equipment purchased in March 2015 for 12 beded Surgical ICU was not in use since the Central Casualty Ward building where these were to be installed was not complete.

Further, in three departments, seven items of equipment worth ` 1.25 crore purchased under CSS during October 2013 and February 2015 could not be put to use for want of reagent/technician and failure to open PG courses.

Thus, failure to dovetail the purchase of medical equipment with its associated infrastructure led to idling of such expensive equipment leading to the possibility of their permanent failure or disuse or damage. Also public were denied of the benefits of better health services.

The Department accepted the facts and stated (November 2015) that eligible technician were being hired on outsource basis and fund for reagent has been provided.
2.1.8.4 Bed occupancy

As per provisions contained in Para B 1.8 of MCI guidelines, average occupancy of indoor beds shall be a minimum of 75 per cent per annum for a Teaching Hospital.

As per information given by PMCH, Dhanbad the bed occupancy during 2010-15 was low in comparison to the prescribed norms of MCI as detailed in Table-2.1.4 below:

Table-2.1.4: Statement of inpatient occupancy against availability of beds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total no. of beds available</th>
<th>Total no. required for 100 per cent bed occupancy (no. of beds available x no. of days per year)</th>
<th>Actual no. of occupancy</th>
<th>Percentage of occupancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010-11</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>148555</td>
<td>78825</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-12</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>148962</td>
<td>72928</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-13</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>148555</td>
<td>73277</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-14</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>148555</td>
<td>77694</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>148555</td>
<td>85725</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Data provided by the Superintendent, PMCH, Dhanbad)

From the above details it is evident that indoor occupancy ranged between 49 to 58 per cent during 2010-15 which was far less than the criteria prescribed in MCI guidelines of minimum 75 per cent bed occupancy.

Low bed occupancy could adversely affect the prescribed and necessary level of clinical training and exposure of medical students.

The Department accepted the facts and stated (November 2015) that the minimum bed occupancy shall be maintained.

2.1.9 Academic and research activities

The intake capacity under UG course in all the three Government Medical Colleges was 190 seats during 2010-11 which was subsequently increased to 350 in 2014-15. The MCI regulates the academic and research activities carried out in the medical institutions. The following points were observed:

2.1.9.1 Impact on teaching due to shortage of faculty

The MCI prescribed teaching hours to be devoted for various disciplines under UG medical education for the entire duration of nine semesters. Audit checked the teaching hours actually devoted by the faculty, against these prescribed norms for seven subjects (out of 23) in PMCH, Dhanbad for a batch of students that had completed all the nine semesters. We observed that there were shortfalls in actual teaching hours that ranged between 14 and 48 per cent (Appendix-2.1.5).

Further, a joint interview was conducted on 9 July 2015 with the Warden-cum-Tutor of Forensic Medicine and Toxicology (FMT) department and the students of ninth semester (21 out of 50 students were interviewed). In reply to the query regarding completion of theory courses, especially in absence of adequate faculty, all students admitted that courses were completed by mixing up different batches in single class by the respective faculty and about 75 per cent practical classes were also completed in this manner. This indicates that quality of education was compromised.
The Department accepted the facts and stated (November 2015) that filling up of the faculty had been going on.

### 2.1.9.2 Rural and Urban Health Training Centre

As per MCI guidelines, every medical college shall have three PHCs/ Rural Health Training Centres (RHTC) for training of students in community oriented primary health care and rural based health education. Separate residential arrangements for boys and girls, interns and undergraduates with mess facilities shall also be provided in at least one PHC/RHTC. Besides, there shall be one Urban Health Training Centre (UHTC). Both RHTC and UHTC shall be under the full administrative jurisdiction of the medical college. Further, adequate transport facility shall be provided for carrying out field work, teaching and training by the Department of Community Medicine (DCM).

Audit scrutiny revealed that Baliapur, Govindpur and Nirsah Community Health Centres (CHCs) were nominated as RHTC for training of students on rural based health education. Shree Shree Laxmi Narayan Trust Hospital was nominated as the Urban Health Training Centre (UHTC) and was under the administrative control of DCM. The building at RHTC, Govindpur was in a dilapidated condition with no basic facilities like water, electricity, toilet facility etc.

Further, against the 17 sanctioned posts in the RHTC, only three viz. an Assistant Professor, a Lady Medical Officer and a Health Inspector were posted and on part-time basis as the Assistant Professor and Lady Medical Officer were on deputation to the Gynecology and Anesthesia Department respectively, for the last eight years. Similarly, the only Assistant Professor posted in UHTC was working in the Principal’s Office in PMCH. Thus, RHTC and UHTC were both practically defunct in terms of manpower as well as infrastructure. Further, the Medical curriculum prescribed by MCI for UG level envisages 15 field visits for second semester students and four weeks community posting for third, fourth and sixth semester students. Due to non-functioning of these centres, students were deprived of field trainings.

In reply, the HOD, DCM accepted (July 2015) the facts and stated that no transport facility was provided by the Principal for commuting of students and staffs for field training.
The Department accepted the facts and stated (November 2015) that transport facility required for field trainings to medical students would be provided. However, no details were furnished.

2.1.9.3 Research activities

Research activities are one of the important functions of medical college. According to the MCI guidelines, fully furnished space for research work in various departments of the college should be provided.

It was observed that fund for research work was not provided by the college to any of its departments as no fund was allotted by the Health Department during 2010-15. Further, as per information provided by the five departments (Anatomy, Gynecology, Pediatric, PSM and Surgery), the space for research activities were inadequate/nil. As per information provided to the MCI by different departments of the college, no research paper had been published during last three years.

The Department accepted the facts and stated (November 2015) that filling up of the faculty had been going on.

2.1.9.4 Defunct Departments

In PMCH, Dhanbad 23\(^5\) departments were sanctioned. During scrutiny it was observed that in four {Department of Psychiatry, Radiology, Radiotherapy and Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation (Optional)} departments, no faculty was posted during 2010-15. Further, as per medical curriculum prescribed by MCI in Psychiatry and Radiology departments a minimum of 20 teaching hours was mandatory. Since no faculty was available in these departments, students were not taught the above subjects.

The Department accepted the facts and stated (November 2015) that filling up of the faculty had been going on.

2.1.10 Human Resources Management

In order to get recognition from MCI for running UG courses as well as PG courses in a medical college, deployment of adequate faculty is the most important criterion. Data regarding human resources in all the three medical colleges in Government sector was not provided by the Department. However, year-wise details of sanctioned strength and person in position of teaching faculty and non-teaching cadre in PMCH Dhanbad for 2010-15 are detailed in Table-2.1.5.

---

\(^5\) Anatomy, Anesthesia, Biochemistry, Dentistry, FMT, Medicine, Microbiology, Obstetrics & Gynecology, Ophthalmology (Eye), Orthopedics, Oto-Rhino-Laryngology (ENT), Pediatrics, Pharmacology, Pathology, Physiology, Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, PSM, Psychiatry, Radiology, Radiotherapy, Skin & VD, Surgery and TB & Chest
In PMCH Dhanbad vacancy position of teaching faculty increased from 52 per cent during 2010-11 to 60 per cent during 2014-15. In Paramedical cadre it increased from 34 per cent during 2010-11 to 48 per cent during 2014-15.

As evident from Table-2.1.5, the overall vacancy position in all cadres of faculty, taken together, worsened to 60 per cent during 2014-15 in comparison to 52 per cent during 2010-11. The vacancies increased from 34 per cent during 2010-11 to 48 per cent in 2014-15 in the Para Medical cadres. Persistent vacancies of manpower had resulted in installed medical equipment lying idle and consequently compromising on quality of medical education.

For removing shortage of teaching faculty in medical institutions the MCI Undergraduate Working Group 2010 recommended in its “Vision 2015 documents” the tapping of consultant posts in Government Service Departments, dual/adjacent appointments, interdisciplinary appointments, faculty development programme, defining career paths, employment of retired teachers, increasing age of superannuation in specific areas and increasing the pool of young teachers by increasing postgraduate output. We observed that State had only acted upon the recommendation to employ the retired teachers. In case of seven other recommendations, no initiative had been taken by the Health Department as of July 2015. As a result, shortage of faculty remained alarmingly high.

The Department accepted the facts and stated (November 2015) that the human resources requirements were being fulfilled. Further, for future requirements, the recommendation of MCI Undergraduate Working Group would be taken under consideration.

Recommendation

We recommend that Government should work on the recommendations of the MCI working group so that shortage of faculty can be minimised.

2.1.11 Monitoring

As per MCI guidelines, every medical institution shall have a College Council comprising of Head of Departments (HODs) as members and Principal/ Dean as chairperson. The council shall meet at least four times in a year to draw up the details of curriculum and training programme, enforcement of discipline and other academic matters. The Council shall also organise inter-departments
meetings like grand rounds\textsuperscript{6}, statistical meetings and clinico-pathological meetings including periodical research review in the institution regularly.

Although College Council in PMCH, Dhanbad was in existence, only four meetings out of the stipulated 20 meetings during 2010-15 (One each in 2010-11 and 2012-13 and two in 2011-12) were held. Scrutiny revealed that in these meetings only enforcement of discipline and other minor academic matters were taken up but there were no discussions on details of curriculum and training programme, inter departmental meetings, statistical meetings and clinico-pathological meetings. Thus, working of College Council was deficient both in terms of number of meetings and its substantive contents. It did not draw up a schedule of inspection as enumerated in the MCI guidelines. However, Principal, PMCH, Dhanbad informed that although no schedule of inspection was drawn up, 25-30 surprise inspections were done during 2010-15. However, the claim was not backed by documentary evidence.

The Department accepted the facts and stated (November 2015) that Principal was being directed to strictly follow the MCI norms.

**Recommendation**

We recommend that Government should ensure detailed planning and implementation of its stated intentions to create greater capacity and regularly monitor its medical institutions.

**Part-II Para medical institutions**

Para medical institutions (ANMTCs, GNMTCs and Colleges of Nursing) are entities which produce nursing personnel to serve as supporting staffs to MOs. In this section we have focused on activities of para medical institutions.

### 2.1.12 Financial Management

**2.1.12.1 Allotment and Expenditure**

Fund for GNMTCs Dhanbad and College of Nursing RIMS, Ranchi was released to PMCH Dhanbad and Director RIMS, Ranchi respectively. However it was provided in lump sum and so it could not be segregated for each institution. The allotment under Salary head to three test checked ANMTCs and expenditure there against is given in Table-2.1.6.

**Table-2.1.6: Statement showing Allotment and Expenditure under salary head of test checked ANMTCs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Deoghar</th>
<th>Chaibasa</th>
<th>Jamshedpur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Allotment</td>
<td>Exp</td>
<td>Saving (per cent)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-11</td>
<td>50.36</td>
<td>50.09</td>
<td>0.26(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-12</td>
<td>33.80</td>
<td>33.20</td>
<td>0.6 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-13</td>
<td>47.85</td>
<td>43.97</td>
<td>3.88(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-14</td>
<td>28.72</td>
<td>28.63</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>56.00</td>
<td>27.19</td>
<td>28.81(51)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Principal in-charge ANMTCs)

Scrutiny of above table revealed that utilisation of fund during 2010-14 was satisfactory except in Chaibasa during 2012-13 where saving was 46 per cent.

\textsuperscript{6} Grand round: a formal meeting at which physicians discuss the clinical case of one or more patients as part of residency training.
During 2014-15, there was huge saving of fund in all three test checked ANMTCs which ranged between 51 per cent in Deoghar and 65 per cent in Chaibasa. Reason for saving was attributed by the Principal in charge to excess allotment on account of arrear pay.

The Department accepted the facts and stated (November 2015) that during 2014-15 fund was surrendered due non-payment of arrears.

2.1.12.2 Up-gradation of GNM School, Dhanbad into College of Nursing

Government of India sanctioned ₹ 5.21 crore for up-gradation of existing School of Nursing, PMCH, Dhanbad into College of Nursing under the scheme of Development of Nursing services during 2009-10. Under this scheme, ₹ one crore was provided for furniture, ₹ 3.21 crore for civil work, ₹ 65 lakh for laboratory equipment and ₹ 35 lakh for books respectively. Accordingly, GoI released the first installment of ₹ 62.50 lakh in February 2010 and second installment of ₹ 40 lakh in October 2010 to the Superintendent, PMCH, Dhanbad.

Against the available funds of ₹ 1.03 crore, ₹ 1.01 crore was released to Jharkhand Hill Area Lift Irrigation Corporation (JHALCO), Dhanbad by the Superintendent, PMCH, Dhanbad (₹ 40.63 lakh in July 2010, ₹ 40.49 lakh in January 2011 and ₹ 20 lakh in February 2012) for construction of a new Nursing College building in Dhanbad at an estimated cost of ₹ 1.94 crore.

Audit scrutiny revealed that JHALCO had executed work worth ₹ 78.58 lakh up to October 2011. Thereafter, no further work was executed by JHALCO for want of fund. The GoI did not release any installment after October 2010 as the utilization certificate supported by audited expenditure, was not furnished by the Superintendent, PMCH, Dhanbad to GoI.

Thus, due to negligence of the Superintendent, PMCH, Dhanbad to furnish utilisation certificate, subsequent instalment of fund was not released which resulted in non-completion of the work as of July 2015, despite expenditure of ₹ 1.01 crore (₹ 78.58 lakh on construction of incomplete building and ₹ 22.52 lakh unadjusted advance with JHALCO). Hence even after five years the GNM School, Dhanbad could not be upgraded into college of nursing.

In reply, the Department stated (November 2015) that number of reminders along with expenditure reports were furnished to the GoI for release of balance fund. The reply was not acceptable as utilisation certificate supported with audited expenditure was to be furnished to GoI for release of balance fund which was not done.

ANMTCs and GNMTCs

2.1.13 Status of Infrastructure

Shortage of medical equipment and building

The INC prescribes medical equipment in nursing institutions. The available medical equipment vis a vis the norms in the in test check of three ANMTCs and GNMTC is given in Table-2.1.7:
Table-2.1.7: Details showing number of machines and equipment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of centre/college</th>
<th>Requirement (No. of items)</th>
<th>Available (No. of items)</th>
<th>Shortage in number (per cent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ANMTC, Chaibasa</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>27(40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ANMTC, Deoghar</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>43 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ANMTC, Jamshedpur</td>
<td>Laboratory did not exist.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>GNMTC, Dhanbad</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>271(63)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Information furnished by the respective ANMTC and GNMTC)

From the above details, it is evident that shortage of medical equipment ranged between 40 per cent and 63 per cent. In ANMTC, Jamshedpur a laboratory was also not in existence and the practical classes of ANMTC, Jamshedpur were conducted in GNMTC, Jamshedpur. Because of this INC, upon inspection of ANMTC, Jamshedpur in April 2015 ordered (June 2015) for closure of the ANM programme. Further, it was seen that the buildings of GNMTC, Dhanbad and of ANMTC, Jamshedpur were in a dilapidated condition. Principal in-charge, GNMTC, Dhanbad had reported (December 2014) this matter to the department and stated that 135 girl students were residing in a building that was in a very precarious condition but no action was taken as of July 2015. Thus, above mentioned deficiencies may adversely affect the teaching of para medical students.

In reply, the Department stated (November 2015) that to address the shortage of medical equipment the Government had already released funds from National Health Mission and the accommodation for the institutes and the hostel were being shifted to a suitable buildings. The reply was not tenable as these institutes continued to lack adequate equipment and buildings.

**Recommendation**

We recommend that Government should ensure the creation of infrastructure in para medical institutions commensurate with INC norms.

**2.1.14 Academic activities**

**Intake capacity and its utilization**

The INC approves course of study and number of seats for admission in a nursing institutions for a specified period. In three test checked ANMTCs, total of 900 seats were approved by the INC for ANM course during 2010-15. We noticed that in test-checked ANMTCs as against the 900 seats, only 273 students were admitted leaving 627 seats (70 per cent) vacant. During three years period (2011-12 and 2013-15), no students were admitted in any of the three test checked ANMTCs, as detailed in Appendix-2.1.6. This was because district authorities did not furnish the list of candidates for admission to the institutions.

In GNMTC, Dhanbad 15 per cent seats were vacant during 2010-15 (Appendix-2.1.6).

Thus, non-utilisation of sanctioned seats had an adverse impact on availability of trained para medical personnel in the State and the capacity of health services delivery in the State.

The department accepted the facts and stated (November 2015) that all the 10 ANMTCs have their district quota for admission through a very cumbersome
process which was not in direct control of the department and now the department had taken an initiative to hold a central admission test.

### 2.1.15 Human Resource Management

**Status of Human Resources**

In Jharkhand, there are 10 ANMTCs, three GNMTCs in Government sector. Cadre-wise details of human resources were not made available by the Health Directorate at State level for the training centres. However, consolidated data of teaching and non-teaching staff against sanctioned strength as of April 2015 was furnished by the Health Directorate, which is given in **Table-2.1.8** below:

**Table-2.1.8: Details showing sanctioned strength and persons-in-position of Para Medical training centres as of April 2015**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No</th>
<th>No. of training centre</th>
<th>Total number of sanctioned post (both teaching and non-teaching)</th>
<th>Persons-in-position</th>
<th>Vacant post (per cent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ANMTC (10)</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>55 (68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>GNMTC (3)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17 (72)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Principal ANMTCs, GNMTCs)

From the details in **Table-2.1.8**, it is seen that Para Medical Centres were facing an acute shortage of staff with vacancy rates of 68 per cent in ANMTCs and 72 per cent in GNMTCs.

In the test checked ANMTCs, and GNMTC, shortage of teaching staff for 2010-11 and 2014-15 is given in **Table-2.1.9** below:

**Table-2.1.9: Details of sanctioned strength and persons-in-position of teaching staffs in test checked ANMTCs and GNMTC during 2010-15**

| Sl. No | Name          | Teaching Staff | 2010-11 | | |
|--------|---------------|----------------|---------|---|----------------|---|---|
|        |               |                | SS      | PIP | Vacancy (per cent) | SS | PIP | Vacancy (per cent) |
| 1      | ANMTC Chaibasa| 9              | 1       | 8 (89) | 9              | 1   | 8(89) |
| 2      | ANMTC Deoghar | 9              | 7       | 2(22)  | 9              | 2   | 7(78) |
| 3      | ANMTC Jamshedpur | 9    | 2      | 7(78)   | 9   | 2   | 7(78) |
| 4      | GNMTC Dhanbad | 7              | 2       | 5(71)  | 7              | 0   | 7(100) |

(Source: Principal in-charge of test-checked units)

From the table it can be seen that in ANMTCs there was a shortage of 63 per cent teaching staff in 2010-11 which increased to 81 per cent in 2014-15. In GNMTC, it was 71 per cent in 2010-11 which reached 100 per cent in 2014-15. No fresh appointment was made against the vacant posts which resulted in increasing vacancy rates.

The paramedical centres were facing acute shortage of teaching staff which might adversely impact the teaching as well as the quality of nurses and technicians that graduate from these colleges.

The Department accepted the facts and stated (November 2015) that new Service Rules were under consideration for fresh appointment.
Chapter-2: Performance Audit

Recommendation

We recommend that Government should ensure special measures to attract qualified medical personnel for para medical institutions for meeting the INC norms.

2.1.16 College of Nursing

College of Nursing offers B.Sc. course in Nursing Science. There is only one Nursing College in the State under the administrative control of RIMS, Ranchi. Audit of records of College of Nursing, Ranchi revealed the following deficiencies:

- College of Nursing faces an acute shortage of equipment. Against the requirement of 176 equipment as per prescribed norms of INC, only 49 were available as of July 2015 leaving a shortage of 127 (72 per cent). This may adversely impact the teaching of para medical students.

- During 2010-15, only 211 students (53 per cent) were admitted in College of Nursing, Ranchi against 400 seats approved by INC (Appendix-2.1.6). In two years (2011-12 and 2014-15) no admissions were made against the sanctioned 80 seats in each year due to delayed receipt of recognition (November 2011 and November 2014) by the INC. Thus, non/short utilisation of sanctioned seats may have an adverse impact on availability of trained para medical personnel in the State.

- Against 18 sanctioned posts of faculty in College of Nursing, Ranchi only two personnel were deployed during 2010-11 and 2014-15 leaving a vacancy of 16 (89 per cent). Thus, the institution had been facing acute shortage of faculty which may impact the teaching as well as quality of nurses graduating from the college.

Recommendation

We recommend that Government should ensure special measures to attract qualified medical personnel and create adequate infrastructure for College of Nursing for meeting the INC norms.

2.1.17 Monitoring

For proper implementation of any programme there should be a system for monitoring and evaluation. It was noticed that there was no specific provision for monitoring and evaluation for para-medical institutions in the INC guidelines. Also no schedule of inspection was drawn up by the Department and no inspection of the institutions was conducted by any higher authority in any of the test checked para-medical institutions.

We recommend that Department should conduct regular and effective monitoring of para medical institutions.

2.1.18 Conclusion and Recommendations

- The State Government failed to establish new Medical Colleges in the Government sector and under the PPP mode in order to fill up its annual target of 900 UG seats and even the meagre allotments of plan expenditure was surrendered. Against the existing 1,390 UG seats in the existing three medical
colleges only 1,277 could be filled up leaving a vacancy of 113 seats. Likewise utilisation of PG seats was 708 against sanctioned 959 seats leaving vacancies of 251 seats. Although the State had stated in its 12th Five Year Plan to increase the capacity of UG courses, it did not develop any road map for ensuring this in a time bound manner.

We recommend that Government should take steps to increase the capacity of the medical colleges as envisaged in its annual plan.

- In PMCH, Dhanbad admissions were made for additional seats during 2014-15 without approval of MCI putting the future prospects of the students admitted to these additional seats uncertain. Further, in PMCH, PG courses could not be started due to acute shortage of faculty ranging between 53 per cent in 2010-11 to 60 per cent in 2014-15.

We recommend that Government should take initiative to commence the PG courses.

- Different departments of PMCH faced acute shortage of medical equipment ranging between 22 and 80 per cent. Medical equipment purchased from the State Plan and CSS fund worth ₹ 4.25 crore were never put to use mainly for want of reagents/technicians.

We recommend that Government should rapidly create infrastructure in medical institutions commensurate with MCI norms.

- Due to shortage of faculty, teaching hours devoted for different subjects were not as per the MCI guidelines, which might adversely impacted the quality of education.

We recommend that Government should work on the recommendations of the MCI working group so that shortage of faculty can be minimised.

- No substantial research activities were carried out in any of the departments of the Medical College. Further, monitoring by College Council was inadequate.

We recommend that Government should ensure that the medical colleges take up research activities as required and also ensure regular monitoring of its medical institutions.

- Para-medical institutions also faced shortage of medical equipment ranging between 40 and 63 per cent in test-checked ANMTCs and GNMTC, while it was 72 per cent in College of Nursing, Ranchi. Similarly, there were shortages of 68, 72 and 89 per cent of faculty in ANMTCs, GNMTC and College of Nursing respectively, which might adversely impact the teaching.

We recommend that Government should ensure creation of infrastructure in para medical institutions and initiate measures to attract qualified medical personnel for para medical institutions commensurate with INC norms.


DEPARTMENT OF PANCHAYATI RAJ AND NATIONAL RURAL EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMME (SPECIAL DIVISION)

2.2 Performance Audit Report on “Implementation of Integrated Action Plan (IAP)

Executive summary

In order to address the issues of development in Left Wing Extremism affected districts, the Government of India launched (December 2010) Integrated Action Plan (IAP) in 82 tribal and backward districts of the country including 17 districts of Jharkhand. The main objective of the scheme was to provide public infrastructure and services such as School Buildings, Anganwadi Centres, Primary Health Centres, Drinking Water Supply, Village Roads etc which would directly impact the local population and show results in the short term. It also aimed to create appropriate skill development programme for the young people in these areas, so that they are weaned away from left wing extremism activities common in these areas.

A Performance Audit on “Implementation of the Integrated Action Plan” was conducted during May 2015 to July 2015 by test check of records in four districts (East Singhbhum, Gumla, Palamu and Ramgarh) for the period 2010-15.

Some of the audit findings are summarised below:

- Due to under-utilisation of funds, Central allocation of ₹ 495.81 crore was not released by the Government of India to the State during 2013-15. As a result, the State Government was deprived of central allocation of ₹ 495.81 crore.

  (Paragraph 2.2.6.1)

- Improper management of fund and lack of financial discipline resulted in embezzlement of scheme funds of ₹ 4.22 crore by the Executive Engineer, Rural Works Department (Works Division), Ramgarh. Further, non-adjustment/recovery of advances of ₹ 5.53 crore sanctioned during 2011-14 was fraught with risk of misappropriation of government money.

  (Paragraphs 2.2.6.5 and 2.2.6.8)

- Deficiencies in planning resulted in cancellation of 1369 works of estimated cost of ₹ 42.41 crore after administrative approval, 60 works valued at ₹ 4.89 crore taken up during 2010-14 were duplication of existing works under other GoI and State Government schemes. Besides, there was execution of inadmissible works worth ₹ 22.25 crore. This indicates that due diligence was not exercised by the District Level Committees while finalising the works under the scheme.

  (Paragraphs 2.2.7.1, 2.2.7.2 and 2.2.8.2)

- The deficiencies in monitoring of the works resulted in wasteful expenditure of ₹ 6.28 crore on 82 abandoned works, unfruitful expenditure of ₹ 33.10 crore on 700 incomplete works, irregular purchases and non-utilisation of assets created under the scheme.

  (Paragraphs 2.2.8.1, 2.2.8.3, 2.2.8.6, 2.2.8.7 and 2.11.1)
• Shortfall in utilization of fund for skill development and absence of employment through innovative livelihood programme was noticed in audit.

(Paragraph 2.2.9)

2.2.1 Introduction

The Government of India introduced (December 2010) Integrated Action Plan in 82 identified tribal and backward districts of the country including 17 districts of Jharkhand to address the development in districts affected by Left Wing Extremism (LWE) so that these districts could register progress on socio-economic parameters to reach at least the State’s average levels. From 2013-14 onwards the scheme was known as Additional Central Assistance (ACA). Overall population of the State as per census 2011 is 3.29 crore whereas the population of 17 LWE affected districts is 2.33 crore. In comparison to State literacy rate of 66.41 per cent, the literacy rate of the 17 LWE affected districts ranged between 58.60 and 76.10 per cent. The scheme was implemented with 100 per cent block grant of ` 25 crore per district for the year 2010-11 and ` 30 crore per district per year for the years 2011-12 onwards. The funds could be utilised either independently or in convergence with other Central/ State Sponsored Schemes for improvement in public infrastructure and services, but no administrative expenses were permitted from the scheme funds. During 2010-15, a total of 24,196 works in health, education, irrigation, road connectivity, anganwadi centres, skill development etc. were taken up under the scheme in the State.

2.2.2 Organisational structure

The Panchayati Raj and National Rural Employment Programme (Special Divisions) headed by the Principal Secretary/Secretary is the nodal department for implementation of the scheme in the State and responsible for release of funds to the districts. The scheme at district level is implemented by a District Level Committee (DLC) headed by the Deputy Commissioner (DC) and consists of the Superintendent of Police (SP) and District Forest Officer (DFO) as members. The DCs are responsible for management of funds and maintenance of accounts for the funds allotted under the scheme. For closer coordination and monitoring of the activities of the DLC, State Level Committee (SLC) headed by the Chief Secretary and Director General (Police) and Principal Chief Conservator of Forests as members was constituted.

2.2.3 Audit Objectives

The audit objectives were to assess whether:

i) the planning process was adequate and effective for implementation of the scheme;

ii) the execution of works was economic, efficient and effective in order to achieve the intended objectives of the scheme;

1 Bokaro, Chatra, East Singhbhum, Garwah, Giridih, Gumla, Hazaribag, Koderma, Khunti, Latehar, Lohardaga, Palamau, Ramgarh, Ranchi Gramin, Saraikela, Simdega and West Singhbhum
iii) the mechanism for monitoring, transparency and impact assessment in the scheme was effective and adequate; and

iv) the LWE districts registered socio-economic development to reach the average levels of the state.

2.2.4 Audit Criteria

The audit criteria were sourced from:

- IAP guidelines;
- Relevant orders/circulars/instructions/minutes of meetings of Planning Commission, State Government, DLCs etc;
- Annual Plans of the districts and Physical and Financial Progress reports of the Districts and Departments;
- Jharkhand Public Works Account and Department Codes; and

2.2.5 Scope and methodology of audit

The Performance audit of the scheme in the State for the period 2010-2015 was conducted during May 2015 to July 2015 by test-check of records in the department and in four (out of 17) districts selected by Probability Proportional to Size Without Replacement method. Audit also conducted joint physical inspection of 32 schemes in 13 blocks of test-checked districts.

An entry conference was held on 21 April 2015 with the Director-cum-Additional Secretary, Panchayati Raj and NREP (Special Division), Government of Jharkhand (GoJ) wherein the audit objectives, scope and methodology of audit was discussed and the exit conference was held on 16 October 2015 with the Secretary, Panchayati Raj and NREP (Special Division), GoJ to discuss the issues raised by audit. The replies given by the Secretary have been incorporated in the Report.

Audit Findings

2.2.6 Financial Management

As per the scheme guidelines, State government which received scheme funds in its Consolidated Fund shall release the funds into the bank accounts opened by the DCs for the purpose. The DCs who heads the DLCs are responsible for maintenance of accounts for the funds allotted and are also supposed to ensure that executing agencies entrusted with the funds under the scheme shall also maintain separate accounts. The Chief Secretary of the State is responsible for scrutiny of expenditure and monitoring of the scheme in the State.

2.2.6.1 Loss of central share due to under utilisation of fund worth ₹495.81 crore

The position of funds released by GoI and utilisation there against in the State during 2010-15 is shown in Table-2.2.1:

---

2 East Singhbhum, Gumla, Palamu, and Ramgarh.
Table-2.2.1: Financial summary report of the State under IAP for 2010-15
(₹ in crore)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Opening Balance</th>
<th>Allocation by GoI</th>
<th>Central Funds released</th>
<th>Total available fund</th>
<th>Expenditure</th>
<th>Closing balance</th>
<th>Percentage of expenditure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010-11</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>350.00</td>
<td>350.00</td>
<td>350.00</td>
<td>147.71</td>
<td>202.29</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-12</td>
<td>202.29</td>
<td>510.00</td>
<td>510.00</td>
<td>712.29</td>
<td>531.62</td>
<td>180.67</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-13</td>
<td>180.67</td>
<td>510.00</td>
<td>510.00</td>
<td>690.67</td>
<td>557.61</td>
<td>333.06</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-14</td>
<td>333.06</td>
<td>510.00</td>
<td>184.19</td>
<td>517.25</td>
<td>366.60</td>
<td>150.65</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>150.65</td>
<td>510.00</td>
<td>340.00</td>
<td>490.65</td>
<td>383.52</td>
<td>107.13</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2390.00</td>
<td>1894.19</td>
<td></td>
<td>1787.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: As per MIS)

As evident from Table-2.2.1, during 2010-15, the utilisation of fund ranged between 42 per cent and 78 per cent in the State whereas in the test-checked districts it ranged between 25 per cent and 81 per cent (Appendix-2.2.1).

Delayed release of fund by the State to the districts, poor utilisation of fund by the DLCs, absence of Action Plan under the scheme etc resulted in non-release of central allocation of ₹ 495.81 crore by the GoI to the State during 2013-15.

The sector-wise position of projects/works executed under the scheme in test-checked districts during 2010-15 is shown in Table-2.2.2.

Table-2.2.2: Sector-wise position of project/works executed during 2010-15
(₹ in crore)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>East Singhbhum</th>
<th>Gumla</th>
<th>Palamu</th>
<th>Ramgarh</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No. of works</td>
<td>Expenditure</td>
<td>No. of works</td>
<td>Expenditure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Health</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>15.50</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>15.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Irrigation</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>14.36</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>13.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Road connectivity</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>52.84</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>43.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Anganwadi centres</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>9.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Skill development</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>2.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Others</td>
<td>679</td>
<td>18.91</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>13.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>1389</td>
<td>107.46</td>
<td>1666</td>
<td>104.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Information furnished by the test-checked districts)

As evident from Table-2.2.2, in all the test-checked districts, less priority was given to Health and Skill development sector in planning the work and 47 per cent of the available fund was incurred on road connectivity.

On being pointed out, the Secretary accepted (October 2015) the audit observation. However, no roadmap for selection of works under these sectors was drawn.

2.2.6.2 Delays in availability of fund to the district

As per the guidelines, the State Government was required to transfer the fund to the districts within 15 days of release of fund by the GoI to the State failing which in addition to the fund a penal interest at RBI rate was also to be transferred to the district.

---

3 During 2013-14, against the allocated fund of ₹ 510 crore, the GoI released only ₹ 184.19 crore and during 2014-15 against ₹ 510 crore the GoI released only ₹ 340 crore.
Despite delay in allotment of fund ranging between 7 and 114 days the State Government did not pay the penal interest amounting to ₹ 7.59 crore to the districts (Appendix-2.2.2).

On being pointed out, the Secretary accepted (October 2015) the audit observation. Fact remains that the State Government did not pay penal interest of ₹ 7.59 crore to the districts.

2.2.6.3 Lack of convergence with other Central/State sponsored schemes

As per guidelines, the overall objective of the scheme is to use the funds in convergence with other Central/State sponsored schemes so that the LWE affected districts register progress in terms of socio-economic parameters.

Audit noticed that during 2010-13, convergence of IAP funds with other schemes/programmes was not done by the DLCs. In 2013-15, the State Government issued directions (December 2013) for convergence of IAP funds of ₹ 40.80 crore with Mukhya Mantri Gramin Path Yojana (MMGPY) for construction of PCC roads in 816 Gram Panchayats (GPs) of test-checked districts as detailed in Table-2.2.3.

Table-2.2.3: Funds earmarked and released for convergence with MMGPY during 2013-15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>East Singhbhum</th>
<th>Gumla</th>
<th>Palamu</th>
<th>Ramgarh</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. of GPs</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>No. of GPs</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funds earmarked from IAP</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>11.50</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funds released till March 2015 by DLC</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortfall in release of fund (per cent)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(38)</td>
<td>(50)</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>(100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Information furnished by the district)

It is evident from Table-2.2.3 that despite instructions of the State Government, DLCs of Gumla and Ramgarh did not release IAP fund amounting to ₹ 15.20 crore earmarked for 302 GPs whereas in East Singhbhum and Palamu there were shortfalls of 50 per cent each, in release of earmarked funds to 267 GPs.

Due to lack of convergence approach, 569 GPs were deprived of funds amounting to ₹ 28.12 crore which resulted in non-execution of PCC roads under MMGPY.

Further, we noticed that in three LWE affected blocks of East Singhbhum district, eight Health Sub Centres (HSCs) were running in AWC buildings, one PHC was running in a rented building and no Community Health Centre (CHC) was functional in Gurabandha block. The IAP funds could have been utilised for creation of these infrastructure for these institutions in these blocks.

---

4 Dumaria, Gurabandha and Musabani
On being pointed out, the Secretary stated (October 2015) that in many schemes various components were met by IAP so it should be treated as convergence. However, this was not backed by any documentary evidence.

### 2.2.6.4 Non-refund of interest

We noticed during audit that:

- In Gumla and Palamu, 14 executing agencies did not refund the interest accrued on deposit of IAP funds amounting to ₹ 1.03 crore to the districts.
- In East Singhbhum, Gumla and Palamu, accrued interest of ₹ 36.27 lakh was neither taken in the cash book nor refunded to the districts by six executing agencies.

Due to non-refund of accrued interest by the executing agencies to the district, ₹ 1.39 crore accrued as interest, could not be utilised in the scheme and were lying idle in the bank account of executing agencies.

On being pointed out, the Secretary accepted (October 2015) the audit observations and stated that interest amount lying idle in the banks will be adjusted at the time of releasing the liability under the scheme.

### 2.2.6.5 Irregular fund management resulting in embezzlement of ₹ 4.22 crore and temporary misappropriation of ₹ 1.33 crore

As per the scheme guidelines, the DC is required to ensure that any executing agency entrusted with the funds under the IAP would maintain separate account. Drawing of funds for making payment for the work undertaken by the executing agencies would be as prescribed in the State’s Financial Rules and concerned Departmental Manual.

Audit noticed that during 2010-15, a sum of ₹ 38.66 crore was transferred (between March 2011 and January 2015) in instalments by the DC, Ramgarh to the Executive Engineer (EE), Rural Works Department (Works Division), Ramgarh for execution of IAP projects/works (Appendix-2.2.3). The first two instalments amounting to ₹ 11 crore was deposited (March 2011 and June 2011) by the then EE in savings account of Axis bank in violation of scheme guideline being not a public sector bank. The remaining ₹ 27.66 crore was kept in the deposit head in the treasury. Scrutiny of the bank statement from March 2011 to June 2015 and cash book of the Axis Bank account revealed that in addition to IAP funds (₹ 11 crore) and bank interest (₹ 0.18 crore), ₹ 1.10 crore was also deposited (February 2012) in cash and ₹ 0.18 crore by transfer into the said bank account. However, neither the sources of funds could be ascertained in audit nor these amounts were taken as receipts in the cash book (Appendix-2.2.4).

Scrutiny of withdrawals from the Axis bank account revealed that ₹ 4.04 crore were withdrawn in cash or through self-cheque during July 2011 to December 2012 (Appendix-2.2.5 and Appendix-2.2.6) and ₹ 0.18 crore through transfer in March 2011 and January 2013 although all the IAP works were under execution through tenders only for which cash drawl was not required. Further, these withdrawals were not entered in the cash book. Thus,

---

5 Axis bank account, Ramgarh (A/c number 911010014707045) opened in the name of the EE, RWD, Works, Ramgarh
withdrawal of IAP funds from bank account in cash/self-cheque/transfer without recording the transactions in the cash book and without any supporting vouchers resulted in embezzlement of ₹ 4.22 crore by the EE.

Further, IAP funds amounting to ₹ 1.33 crore transferred by the DC through cheques were not deposited in full into the treasury by the EE but initially diverted to another bank account from where the amounts were subsequently paid into the Treasury in smaller instalments after a delay ranging between 29 days and 406 days. This constituted temporary misappropriation of government moneys amounting to ₹ 1.33 crore as detailed in Appendix-2.2.7.

It was also noticed that the cash book in respect of the said Axis bank account was written only for the period 14 March 2011 to 01 August 2011 and thereafter no entry was made in the cash book though withdrawals were made from the bank account. The cash book was never reconciled with the bank balance.

Thus, violation of provisions of Jharkhand Financial Rules and breach of principles of financial propriety by the EE, RWD (Works Division), Ramgarh resulted in embezzlement of ₹ 4.22 crore and temporary misappropriation of ₹ 1.33 crore of IAP funds.

On being pointed out, the Secretary accepted (October 2015) the audit observations and stated that FIR had been lodged (August 2015) against the EE for embezzlement of government money. Further action was awaited (November 2015).

2.2.6.6 Non-reconciliation of cash book with bank accounts

As required under Rule 265 of Jharkhand Financial Rules, at district level the cash book balance of March 2015 was not reconciled with bank balances of March 2015 in three out of four test-checked districts till July 2015 as shown in Table-2.2.4.

**Table-2.2.4: Statement showing non-reconciliation of cash book with bank account**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Balance as per cash book as of 31 March 2015</th>
<th>Balance as per Bank Account as of 31 March 2015</th>
<th>Unreconciled difference as of July 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>East Singhbhum</td>
<td>10,27,51,903.00</td>
<td>10,30,64,670.00</td>
<td>3,12,767.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gumla</td>
<td>13,92,28,487.00</td>
<td>14,37,69,127.00</td>
<td>45,40,640.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramgarh</td>
<td>2,16,28,083.95</td>
<td>2,35,72,677.95</td>
<td>19,44,594.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Cash books maintained at district level and Bank Pass Book)

On being pointed out, the Secretary stated (October 2015) that reconciliation of cash book with bank account was being done. The reply was unreasonable for as shown above the reconciliation between the cash book and bank account was not being done.

2.2.6.7 Irregular submission of utilisation certificates

In Gumla, the District Superintendent of Education (DSE) advanced ₹ 4.62 crore to 734 different Village Education Samities for construction of 734 kitchen sheds in schools during 2010-12. Audit noticed that expenditure amounting to ₹ 4.52 crore was adjusted on the basis of measurement taken by the JE and countersigned by the AE in MBs without passing the same by the
DDO. Moreover, the vouchers and muster rolls in support of payments were not made available to audit due to which the genuineness of payment could not be ascertained in audit. However, the DSE, Gumla submitted the utilisation certificate for ₹ 3.99 crore to the district by treating the advance as final expenditure.

In the absence of passed vouchers, MRs and MBs, submission of UC for ₹ 3.99 crore by the DDO was irregular.

On being pointed out, the Secretary accepted (October 2015) the audit observation and stated that proper follow up action should be taken. He further stated that matter will be looked into and vouchers, MBs, UCs will be obtained from the Village Education Committees. Facts remains that UCs without any evidence of expenditure was furnished.

2.2.6.8 Advances remain unadjusted: ₹ 5.53 crore

According to the Rule 100 of JPWA Code, temporary advances are required to be given to subordinate officers (not below the rank of Assistant Engineers) against passed vouchers. Subsequent advances are to be provided after the adjustment of the previous one.

In Gumla, audit noticed that advance of ₹ 5.85 crore was sanctioned to several Assistant Engineer/Labhuk Samities/Village Education Samities etc for execution of works under IAP during 2011-14 of which only ₹ 31.68 lakh was adjusted or refunded and the remaining advances amounting to ₹ 5.53 crore were outstanding as of 31 March 2015 as detailed in Table-2.2.5:

Table-2.2.5: Statement showing outstanding advance as on 31 March 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the agency</th>
<th>Name of the person</th>
<th>Period of advance</th>
<th>Amount of advance</th>
<th>Advance adjusted/refunded</th>
<th>Outstanding advance as of July 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RDSD Gumla</td>
<td>Madhusudan Prasad</td>
<td>October 2011 to January 2013</td>
<td>12.89</td>
<td>11.25</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bishunpur block, Gumla</td>
<td>15 Labhuk samities</td>
<td>2011-14</td>
<td>23.71</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>23.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sadar block, Gumla</td>
<td>22 Labhuk samities</td>
<td>May 2011 to July 2013</td>
<td>41.72</td>
<td>18.18</td>
<td>23.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zila Parishad, Gumla</td>
<td>Baidhnath Prasad, JE</td>
<td>August 2011</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District Superintendent of Education, Gumla</td>
<td>836 Village Education Samities</td>
<td>February 2011 to September 2011</td>
<td>503.40</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>501.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>584.72</strong></td>
<td><strong>31.68</strong></td>
<td><strong>553.04</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Records of executing agencies)

Non-adjustment/recovery of advances for more than two to four years was fraught with risk of misappropriation of government money.

On being pointed out, the Secretary accepted (October 2015) the audit observation and directed the District Planning Officer, Gumla to ensure that advances are adjusted properly. However, no time frame was fixed for adjustment of advances.

**Recommendation**

Financial Rules/codal provisions should be adhered to ensuring proper management of funds of the scheme.
2.2.7 Planning

As per guidelines, the DLC should draw up a plan consisting of concrete proposals for building public infrastructure and services which should show results in short term. Further, the SLC and DLC were required to map all the schemes and outlays at the beginning of each financial year to use the funds under the scheme in convergence with other Central/State Sponsored Schemes in order to maximise the benefits under the scheme.

We noticed the following deficiencies at the planning level:

2.2.7.1 Deficiency in scheme selection

The Ministry of Panchayati Raj, GoI emphasised (December 2010) preparation of a District Action Plan under IAP by ensuring bottom up cross sectional planning based on relevant socioeconomic data and problems of each village/Gram Panchayat so as to reflect the needs and aspirations of the people. Planning Commission directions (May 2011) were to ensure a suitable form of consultation with the local Members of Parliament (MP) on the schemes to be taken up under the IAP.

The Action Plan was required to be prepared after identifying the severely disturbed pockets, road networks, carrying out the door to door survey to find out habitation wise household and the need of local population.

Audit noticed that the Action Plan under IAP was not prepared during 2010-15. The DLC on its own or on the proposals of line departments sanctioned the schemes throughout the year without conducting any survey/studies to ascertain the resource gap of the other Central/State sponsored schemes and without assessing the needs of the people. Further, consultation with the local MPs and other elected representatives including Members of the Panchayati Raj Institutions was not done in finalisation of works during 2010-15 as was evident from the minutes of the meetings of DLCs.

Deficiency in planning resulted in cancellation of 1369 works estimated at ₹ 42.41 crore due to reasons of public hindrance, non-availability of land, pre-existence of a structure etc. (Appendix-2.2.8).

On being pointed out, the Secretary stated (October 2015) that although integrated comprehensive District Plan was not prepared, action plan for IAP was prepared. He further stated that efforts were being taken for preparation of sector-wise common plan for each district. Fact remains that District Action Plan as required was not prepared in five years of issue of instruction by GoI.

2.2.7.2 Duplication in selection of projects

IAP guidelines provided that the expenditure under the projects were to be over and above the expenditure being incurred under the regular Central/State sponsored schemes and the DLCs should ensure that there was no duplication of expenditure on the same project.

Audit noticed that 60 works with an estimated cost of ₹ 4.89 crore taken up (between 2010-11 and 2013-14) by the DLCs under IAP which were duplication of existing works under other GoI and State Government schemes. As a result, 45 works with an estimated cost of ₹ 2.01 crore were cancelled without incurring any expenditure while one work was closed midway after
incurred an expenditure of ₹ 1.62 crore. Further, 14 works of AWCs were constructed at a cost of ₹ 71 lakh just adjacent to the existing AWCs constructed under BRGF during 2008-10 which resulted in duplication of these AWC works as detailed in Table-2.2.6.

Table-2.2.6: Details of duplicate works selected under IAP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Name of works</th>
<th>No. of works</th>
<th>Estimated Cost (in crore)</th>
<th>Expenditure (in crore)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>East Singhbhum</td>
<td>Bridge/Culvert</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gumla</td>
<td>Health sub-Centre</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OPD Centre</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Road</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palamu</td>
<td>Replacement of transformers</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramgarh</td>
<td>Aganwadi Centre</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kitchen Shed</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>60</strong></td>
<td><strong>4.89</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.33</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Minutes of DLC meetings, progress reports and other correspondences)

On being pointed out, the Secretary stated (October 2015) that several schemes were run by different departments having their own work plans. At the time of initiation of work at site it was noticed by the executing agencies that these works were being executed under other schemes. Facts remains that the DLCs failed to put suitable mechanism in place for preventing duplication of same projects from different sources.

2.2.7.3 Analysis of resource gaps in selection of schemes not done

As per instructions (December 2010) of Ministry of Panchayati Raj, GoI the IAP funds should be used as a matter of principle to bridge the resource gap so that works taken under IAP did not include works which could be undertaken with the funds available under the other ongoing schemes.

Audit scrutiny revealed that expenditure of ₹ 16.38 crore was incurred on purchase of movable assets like dual desks, computer and its peripherals, ambulances, medical equipment, generators, photocopy machines etc. (Appendix-2.2.9), though these movable assets were usually being supplied under GoI flagship schemes like Sarva Siksha Abhiyan, Thirteen Finance Commission Grants, National Rural Health Mission etc.

On being pointed out, the Secretary stated (October 2015) that in every district, action plan was prepared and scheme were selected on the priority basis as per the availability of the fund.

The reply of the department was not acceptable as neither district plans were produced to audit nor any analysis of resource gap was available on records.

Recommendation

Resource gaps for development of LWE affected areas of IAP districts should be identified through bottom up planning approach and adequate stakeholder consultation process.

2.2.8 Programme Implementation

As per guidelines of IAP, DLC is responsible for implementation of the scheme. The committee is required to draw up a plan consisting of concrete
proposals for public infrastructure and services such as school buildings, \textit{Anganwadi} Centres, Primary Health Centres, Drinking Water Supply, Village Roads, Electric supply in public places such as PHCs and schools etc. Only such projects/works are to be selected which directly impact the local population and show result in short term.

During 2010-15, against the release of central funds worth ₹ 1,894.19 crore, 24,196 projects/works having estimated cost of ₹ 2,121.29 crore were taken up in the State under the scheme. Of that, 21,798 (90 per cent) works were completed after incurring expenditure of ₹ 1,787.06 crore till March 2015.

In test-checked districts, 700 out of 6,399 works taken up for execution during 2010-15 were delayed and lying incomplete (as of June 2015) despite an expenditure of ₹ 33.10 crore have been incurred on these works. Besides, execution of 414 inadmissible works of ₹ 17.61 crore, irregularities in purchase of bench/desks of ₹ 3.18 crore, execution of works of ₹ 3.85 crore on private lands, wasteful expenditure of ₹ 7.31 crore, assets worth ₹ 3.96 crore lying unutilised, irregular use of constructed building were also noticed in audit as discussed in the succeeding paragraph:

\subsection*{2.2.8.1 Physical status of schemes}

The following is an abstract of the projects/works undertaken for execution at the end of March 2015 and works that remained incomplete (as of June 2015).

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline
\textbf{Sl. No.} & \textbf{Name of the District} & \textbf{Number of works taken up during 2010-15} & \textbf{Actual Expenditure} & \textbf{Completed} & \textbf{Incomplete (percentage)} & \textbf{Estimated cost of incomplete scheme} & \textbf{Expenditure on incomplete scheme} \\
\hline
1. & East Singhbhum & 1389 & 107.46 & 1117 & 272 (20) & 22.60 & 6.62 \\
2. & Gumla & 1666 & 104.36 & 1518 & 148 (9) & NA & 10.76 \\
3. & Palamu & 1305 & 107.31 & 1176 & 129 (10) & NA & 4.14 \\
4. & Ramgarh & 2039 & 109.45 & 1888 & 151 (07) & 23.95 & 11.58 \\
\hline
\textbf{Total} & & 6399 & 428.58 & 5699 & 700 (10) & & 33.10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Physical status of work (₹ in crore)}
\end{table}

(Source: As per information furnished by the test-checked districts)

As is evident from Table-2.2.7, 700 out of 6399 works were incomplete despite incurring an expenditure of ₹ 33.10 crore on these works as of June 2015. The year-wise analysis of incomplete works is presented in Table-2.2.8.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline
\textbf{District} & \textbf{2010-11} & \textbf{2011-12} & \textbf{2012-13} & \textbf{2013-14} & \textbf{2014-15} & \textbf{Total} \\
\hline
East Singhbhum & 01 & Nil & Nil & 21 & 250 & 272 \\
Gumla & 31 & 18 & 60 & 23 & 16 & 148 \\
Palamu & 02 & 01 & Nil & 38 & 88 & 129 \\
Ramgarh & 48 & Nil & 12 & 01 & 90 & 151 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Year-wise position of incomplete scheme}
\end{table}

(Source: As per information furnished by the test-checked districts)

Due to non-completion of works within schedule time the intended objective of providing benefits in short term to the public remained unachieved.

On being pointed out, the Secretary accepted (October 2015) the audit observation and stated that the main reasons for non-completion of scheme were land acquisition problems, legal cases, FIRs, local disturbances,
delay/improper settlement of land in the name of the State Government etc. The Secretary directed all the DPOs to complete the schemes at the earliest.

2.2.8.2 Execution of inadmissible works

As per guidelines, the plan should consist of concrete proposals for public infrastructure and services and the selection of works such as office building and its boundary wall, religious structure, welcome arches, staff quarters etc should be avoided.

- Audit noticed that, in contravention of the provisions of the guidelines, DLCs sanctioned 414 inadmissible works such as construction of guard room and boundary walls in DC office, installation of CCTV in DC office, construction of teacher’s quarter, construction of park, construction of boundary of school etc having estimated cost of ₹ 22.25 crore during 2010-15 on which expenditure of ₹ 17.61 crore was incurred (Appendix-2.2.10).

Selection and execution of inadmissible works indicates that due diligence was not exercised by the DLCs while finalising the works under the scheme.

On being pointed out, the Secretary stated (October 2015) that in the guideline the scheme to be taken up was not defined. Hence, DLCs were competent to decide the schemes to be taken up.

The reply of the department was not acceptable as works not to be taken up under the scheme were clearly mentioned in the scheme guidelines and in Planning Commission’s directions (September 2013) on scheme implementation.

- In Gumla, DLC sanctioned (March 2011 to September 2011) ₹ 38.29 lakh to Civil Surgeon, Gumla for purchase of medical equipment. Out of which ₹ 8.17 lakh was spent (March 2013) on other purposes such as purchase of air conditioners and stabilizer, revolving stools, water filter etc. without approval of DLC.

In the exit conference (October 2015) the DPO Gumla stated that purchase of AC, Stabilizer etc. has been done for installation in OT of Sadar Hospital, Gumla for which post facto approval of DLC would be obtained. Facts remains that these equipments were purchased without the approval of DLC.

- In East Singhbhum, during 2014-15 expenditure of ₹ 3.25 lakh was made on State Sponsored Scheme by two Gram Panchayats (Kalapathar of Block Chakuliya and Bankisol of Block Dumaria) from IAP funds. While Gram Panchayat, Chakuliya recouped the IAP funds by transferring ₹ 0.24 lakh from the funds available under State Sponsored Scheme, no such action was taken by the other GPs.

- As per instructions (February 2011) of the Finance Minister, GoJ administrative and recurring expenses were not admissible under IAP scheme.

Audit noticed that during 2010-15, a sum of ₹ 50.92 lakh was irregularly utilised by eight executing agencies for payment of salary to temporary staffs, fuels charges, purchase of computer accessories, publication and advertisement, photocopies etc. in three test-checked districts. Utilisation of

---
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IAP fund on administrative and recurring expenses was irregular as this curtailed the availability of funds for the scheme to that extent.

On this being pointed out, the Secretary stated (October 2015) that one per cent administrative expenditure is admissible under PWD Code. In absence of dissemination of information to the agencies, they may not be held liable on this account. However, this was in violation of instructions issued (2011) by the Finance Minister.

### 2.2.8.3 Irregularities in tendering process

#### (i) Irregular procurement

DLC Palamu and Ramgarh issued (January/February 2011) NIT to purchase benches/desks for schools without the complete specification/seating capacity/make/rate etc. of the material to be supplied.

Subsequent to the tender process, DC Palamu, issued a work order (February 2011) for supply of 9,546 set of benches and desks for 50 schools at an estimated cost of ₹ 2.84 crore to an agency. This was cancelled (July 2011) after supply of 5,195 set of benches and desks worth ₹ 1.56 crore in 28 schools, as the material supplied by the agency was defective and of inferior quality. We noticed that despite supply of inferior quality of materials, the DC, Palamu paid (April 2013) ₹ 1.17 crore to the firm. Further, the firm neither rectified the defects nor any action was taken by the DLC to ensure the supply of benches/desks in the remaining schools (as of July 2015).

In Ramgarh, need of 7,264 four-seater benches/desks at a cost of ₹ 159.80 lakh (unit cost ₹ 2,200) was assessed by the District Education Officer, Ramgarh for 28,995 students of 153 schools in four blocks of the district. Against an administrative approval by DLC Ramgarh in February 2011 for ₹ 83.68 lakh for purchase of 3,451 four-seater benches/desks for 68 schools, DC Ramgarh issued a work order (March 2011 to December 2011) to a firm for supply of 5,249 two-seater benches/desks for ₹ 2.68 crore against which ₹ 2.65 crore was also paid to the firm.

Thus, against a sanctioned cost of ₹ 606 per seat, benches/desks were purchased at the rate of ₹ 2,524 per seat which resulted in excess payment of ₹ 2.01 crore to the supplier without administrative approval of the DLC. Moreover, due to sanction of lesser quantity of benches/desks by the DLC and ambiguities in NIT, provision for seating arrangement for 18,497 (64 per cent of assessed need) students could not be made despite expenditure of ₹ 2.65 crore.

On being pointed out the Secretary stated (October 2015) that in case of Palamu, 25 per cent amount of supplier had been withheld till rectification of defects and action was being taken to lodge FIR against the firm. In case of Ramgarh, Secretary directed the DPO, Ramgarh to examine the matter.

---

7. Cost per seat: ₹ 83.678 lakh/3451*4 = ₹ 83.678 lakh was sanctioned for 3,451 benches/desks of seating capacity of four students per bench

8. ₹ 2.65 crore was paid to the supplier for 5,249 benches/desks of seating capacity of two students per bench

9. Excess payment = (₹ 2,524 - ₹ 606) x No. of benches/desk purchased i.e. 5249 x No. of seat per bench/desk i.e. 2
2.2.8.4 Execution of works without approval of DLC

In Gumla, DLC sanctioned (2010-11) ₹ 67.22 lakh for construction of 102 toilets at an estimated cost of ₹ 65,900 per unit in seven most LWE effected blocks\textsuperscript{10} and released (August 2011) ₹ 26.89 lakh to DSE, Gumla for completion by November 2011 through the Village Education Committee.

Audit noticed that out of sanctioned 102 toilets, construction of 46 toilets were taken up at approved sites in six blocks while remaining 56 toilets were taken for execution in five blocks of the district by DSE Gumla without approval of the DLC. On these 102 toilets, ₹ 26.41 lakh was incurred. However, the DLC did not release the balance amount of construction cost amounting to ₹ 40.33 lakh to the agencies till July 2015 on the grounds of taking up of construction at non-approved places. As a result, none of the 102 toilets could be completed till July 2015 rendering the expenditure of ₹ 26.41 lakh unfruitful.

On being pointed out, the Secretary stated (October 2015) that 56 toilets for which approval of DLC was not accorded will now be completed under Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan. He, further, stated that efforts will be taken for completion of rest 46 toilets under IAP. Fact remains that no action had been taken against the DSE Gumla for taking up the works without obtaining approval of the DLC.

2.2.8.5 Work executed without title to the land

As per order issued (December 2011 and May 2012) by DC, Gumla and Palamu works taken up under IAP scheme were to be constructed on government land and in case of non-availability of government land, the works could be constructed on Raiyati land after donation of the land by the owner in the name of the Hon’ble Governor through registered deed. Otherwise, the executing agencies would be held responsible for any litigation/ dispute of land after commencement of work.

Audit scrutiny revealed that in Gumla and Palamu, construction of 54 works of ₹ 4.58 crore were taken up on Raiyati land without transfer of ownership of land between January 2011 and August 2011 on Raiyati land without transfer of ownership of land which was irregular besides deviation from the rules rendering the expenditure of ₹ 3.85 crore irregular on these works.

On being pointed out, the Secretary accepted (October 2015) the audit observation and stated that direction have been issued not to execute any work on private land till the title of the land is transferred in the name of the Government.

2.2.8.6 Incomplete works

(i) Wasteful Expenditure

Audit noticed that 82 works having estimated cost of ₹ 10.78 crore were abandoned between May 2012 and August 2014 after incurring an expenditure of ₹ 6.28 crore on account of various reasons as mentioned in Table-2.2.9.

\textsuperscript{10} Bishunpur, Chainpur, Dumri, Ghagra, Jarri, Palkot and Raidih
### Table-2.2.9: Wasteful expenditure on abandoned works till March 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Work Description</th>
<th>No. of works</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Estimated cost</th>
<th>Expenditure</th>
<th>Work stopped since</th>
<th>Reasons for abandonment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>East Singhbhum</td>
<td>Road, boundary wall and pond</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>2010-11</td>
<td>462.38</td>
<td>277.10</td>
<td>May 2012</td>
<td>Land dispute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gumla</td>
<td>Road, Market Shed and Micro lifts</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2010-14</td>
<td>278.67</td>
<td>145.70</td>
<td>December 2012 to August 2014</td>
<td>Land dispute, Public hindrance and Non-execution of work by the contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palamu</td>
<td>AWCs, Community Centre &amp; park</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2010-12</td>
<td>302.00</td>
<td>185.03</td>
<td>February 2013 to August 2013</td>
<td>Non-execution of work by the contractor and Mid way stoppage of work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramgarh</td>
<td>PCC road</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>2013-14</td>
<td>34.55</td>
<td>20.42</td>
<td>January 2014 to July 2014</td>
<td>Land problem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>82</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1077.60</strong></td>
<td><strong>628.25</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of the 82 works, the work of construction of road from “Lailam to Bataluka” in East Singhbhum was stopped (May 2012) after incurring expenditure of ₹ 266.82 lakh as a portion of road fell under Dalma Elephant Sanctuary and No Objection Certificate (NOC) of National Board of Wildlife, Ministry of Forest, GoI could not be obtained.

Likewise, DLC, Palamu sanctioned (2010-11) construction of a park in sadar block and after incurring an expenditure of ₹ 40 lakh, the DLC stopped its execution from IAP fund. Subsequently, DLC decided (August 2013) to send a request to Department of Tourism, GoJ for execution of the remaining work.

Thus, in both the cases due diligence was not exercised by the DLC while sanctioning the project.

On being pointed out, the Secretary accepted (October 2015) the audit observations. Fact remains that the intended objective of taking of the works could not be achieved.

#### (ii) Wasteful expenditure of ₹ 1.03 crore in installations of Micro-lift Irrigation system

State Government directed (March 2011) that works having estimated cost up to ₹ two lakh may be executed through Labhuk Samities. Further, Jharkhand Public Works Department Code (JPWD) stipulates that all works valuing ₹ 2.50 lakh and above must be tendered. During 2011-15, in Gumla 178 works at an estimated cost of ₹ 6.85 crore were irregularly executed through Labhuk Samities/departmentally on which ₹ 5.06 crore were spent till March 2015 though the estimate of each work was more than of ₹ 2.50 lakh.

Further, we test-checked 34 out of 103 completed microlift irrigation systems and observed that these works were completed at a cost of ₹ 1.03 crore but none of these systems were functional due to non-construction of vats/intake well/pump house, non-installation of pump sets and non-laying of PVC pipes etc. This fact was also mentioned (August 2014) by Soil Conservation Officer, Gumla in his survey report.
Thus, the expenditure of ₹ 1.03 crore incurred on these works proved wasteful.

On being pointed out, the Secretary accepted (October 2015) the audit observation and stated that efforts were being taken to make these functional. However, reasons for not taking up the construction of vats/intake well/pump house to make the microlift irrigation systems operational were not furnished to audit.

2.2.8.7 Idle Assets under IAP

Audit noticed that 99 assets for public infrastructure and services created during 2010-15 after incurring expenditure of ₹ 3.96 crore were lying unutilised for the period ranging between seven and 48 months due to non-handing over of assets (Appendix-2.2.11).

Due to deficient monitoring by the DLCs these completed assets could not be put to use which ultimately defeated the objective of the scheme.

On being pointed out, the Secretary stated (October 2015) that assets were handed over to the user department after completion and responsibilities of its utilization lies with user department.

The reply of the Secretary cannot take away the responsibility of the government to coordinate between departments so that scarce resources that create assets are utilised for the very purposes of development of LWE areas.

2.2.8.8 Irregular use of constructed buildings

In Gumla and Ramgarh districts, three buildings constructed under IAP were being irregularly used by ineligible occupants as detailed below:

- In Gumla, Additional Primary Health Centre building constructed (2013) at a cost of ₹ 124.89 lakh at Raidih was occupied and being used as Police Camp since August 2013. Likewise additional class rooms of SS+2 High School, Gumla constructed (2013) at a cost of ₹ 16.62 lakh was occupied by the NCC since 2013.

- In Ramgarh, one AWC at Auradih, Block Gola was irregularly occupied by unauthorized occupants and being used for residential purposes.

On being pointed out, the Secretary stated (October 2015) that efforts would be taken for vacation of buildings and its proper utilization.

2.2.8.9 Non-imposition/Short-deduction of Penalty

Audit noticed that in violation of clause 2 of terms and conditions of F2 agreement (JPWD Code), penalty amounting to ₹ 1.66 crore in 153 out of 203 test-checked cases was either not deducted or short deducted from contractors despite delay in completion of works in Gumla, Palamu and Ramgarh districts.

On being pointed out, the Secretary stated (October 2015) that except in those cases in which time extension has been granted on merit, penalty should have been deducted for delay in completion of work. However, the Secretary did not give any reasons for non-deduction/short deduction of penalty in these cases. Also no assurance was given to recover these amounts.
2.2.8.10 Undue favour to the contractors

In Rural Development Special Division (RDSD), Gumla, 60 works were taken up under IAP during 2013-14 for completion between August 2014 and September 2014. Audit test-checked 37 out of 60 works and observed that in 24 works estimated at ₹ 7.36 crore, measurements were recorded in the MB after inordinate delay of 15 to 230 days from date of measurement. It was further observed that although these works were shown completed in the MB by taking final measurement between June 2014 and August 2014 but in the progress report of October 2014 these works were shown as incomplete.

This creates a doubt about the genuineness of the measurement recorded in the MB and the possibility of undue favour to the contractors by not deducting the penalty of ₹ 74 lakh (10 per cent of ₹ 7.36 crore) for delay in completion of these 24 works cannot be ruled out.

On being pointed out, the Secretary viewed it seriously and directed (October 2015) DPO, Gumla to examine the matter. Further action was awaited (November 2015).

2.2.9 Skill Development under IAP

The Planning Commission directed (January 2011) that specific skill development schemes may be taken up under IAP. Further, as per the guidelines, in each district 10 per cent of the allocated fund under IAP may mandatorily be utilised for skill development to ensure employment to the domiciled residents of the district concerned.

Audit noticed that no project for skill development was taken up in Ramgarh during 2010-15. The position of expenditure on skill development in the other three test-checked districts during 2010-15 is shown in Table-2.2.10.

Table-2.2.10: Statement showing expenditure on skill development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Funds allocated to district during 2010-15</th>
<th>Expenditure (Percentage to allocation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>East Singhbhum</td>
<td>115.00</td>
<td>2.77 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gumla</td>
<td>115.00</td>
<td>2.95 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palamu</td>
<td>115.00</td>
<td>0.88 (below 1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramgarh</td>
<td>115.00</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As evident from Table-2.2.10, the percentage of expenditure on skill development ranged between below one per cent to three per cent with respect to total allocated fund which was much lower than 10 per cent as provisioned in the guideline.

On being pointed out, the Secretary stated (October 2015) that the provision of expenditure of 10 per cent of allocated fund was made in the ACA guideline only.

The reply was not acceptable because direction for selection of schemes of skill development was given by the Planning Commission in January 2011, whereas ACA commenced in 2013.

Other irregularities noticed in regard to skill development were as under:
Gumla

- A sum of ₹ 86.93 lakh was paid (October 2014 and June 2015) to Chotanagpur Craft Development Society, Ranchi for imparting ANM training to 100 candidates without ensuring fulfillment of criteria by the society such as three years’ experience in the field of training, existence of necessary infrastructure for training and affiliation to any recognized university/council as per terms of NIT. It was further noticed that candidates complained (July 2015) that instead of providing ANM training, the agency was imparting training of community health worker.

- A sum of ₹ 15.97 lakh was paid (October 2014) to Bhartiya Kisan Sangh, Ranchi for imparting training on security services to 100 candidates without ensuring fulfilling the criteria and submission of valid documents by the agency as per terms of NIT. It was further observed that the agency failed to provide placement to 70 candidates after completion of training.

East Singhbhum

- A sum of ₹ 192.88 lakh was paid (2011-13) to M/s Indo Danish Tool Room, Jamshedpur for providing training to 192 candidates on one year condensed course on Tools and Dye making. During audit we interviewed (June 2015) 16 candidates trained during 2011-12 and found that these candidates were unemployed.

On being pointed out, the Secretary stated (October 2015) that efforts were being taken to provide placements to the candidates. However, no time frame within which this would be done was mentioned.

Recommendation

Emphasis should be given for skill development of unemployed youth of LWE affected areas and their self-employment through innovative livelihood programme.

2.2.10 Monitoring and impact assessment

2.2.10.1 Delay in constitution of State Level Committee

As per guideline, SLC was required to be constituted and meet at least once in a quarter to assess and monitor the works undertaken under the scheme.

Audit noticed that the SLC was constituted in the State in March 2014, after a lapse of more than three years of implementation of the IAP scheme. Further, only one meeting was held (July 2014) till March 2015 against prescribed 20 meetings (four meetings from March 2014 to March 2015). Thus, delay in constitution of SLC and failure to conduct the required meetings, led to failure in reviewing the progress of IAP works at the State level as was envisaged in the instructions of Planning Commission, GoI. This ultimately resulted in deficient planning, poor utilisation of funds, delay in completion of work, execution of inadmissible works, etc.

On being pointed out, the Secretary accepted (October 2015) the audit observation. However, no instructions were issued to conduct the meetings as required under the guidelines.
2.2.10.2 Deficiency in monitoring

Macro level monitoring of the scheme was to be carried out by the Planning Commission, GoI. For the purpose, a Management Information System (MIS)\textsuperscript{11} was designed by the Planning Commission in which photograph of the works executed under the scheme were required to be uploaded. The DLC was also required to evolve a mechanism to ensure the authenticity of the information uploaded in MIS.

Audit noticed that no mechanism was developed at the district level for authentication of information uploaded in the MIS in test-checked districts during 2010-15. However, the information was uploaded in MIS on the basis of progress reports submitted by the executing agencies. The status of photographs uploaded in MIS during 2010-15 is detailed in the Table-2.2.11.

Table-2.2.11: Status of photographs uploaded in MIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Number of works executed</th>
<th>Number of Photograph uploaded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>East Singhbhum</td>
<td>1389</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gumla</td>
<td>1666</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palamu</td>
<td>1305</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramgarh</td>
<td>2039</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As is evident from the Table-2.2.11, in test-checked districts compliance of planning commissions’ instruction for uploading of photograph was very poor which showed deficient monitoring.

Recommendation

Monitoring of implementation of the programme by the DLC may be strengthened and norms for inspection of IAP works by State level officer may be prescribed/enforced.

2.2.10.3 Impact assessment of the scheme

As per guideline, the overall objective of the scheme is to use the funds in such a way that the LWE affected districts register progress in terms of socio-economic parameters to reach at least the State average level.

Audit noticed that impact assessment was not done during 2010-15. The status of occurrence of naxal incidents during 2010-15 in test checked districts is detailed in Table-2.2.12.

Table-2.2.12: Number of naxal incidence reported/registered in most LWE affected areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>East Singhbhum</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gumla</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palamu</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramgarh</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Data furnished by O/o the Superintendent of Police of concerned districts)

It is evident from the Table-2.2.12 that as compared to the incidents in 2010, the occurrence of naxal incidents in Gumla and Ramgarh districts increased subsequently whereas in other two districts East Singhbhum and Palamu increased.

\textsuperscript{11} \url{http://pcserver.nic.in/iapmis}
occurrence of naxal incidents had gone down but could not be eliminated completely.

Further, during scrutiny of a report on Jharkhand Social Sector Statistics-2014, prepared by Department of Planning and Development, GoJ and UNICEF audit noticed that out of 17 LWE affected districts, only two districts Bokaro and East Singhbhum have higher average in all the parameters in comparison to state average (Appendix-2.2.12). Thus, despite implementation of IAP scheme during 2010-15, 14 districts\textsuperscript{12} failed to register progress in terms of all socio-economic parameters to reach at least the State average level.

### 2.2.11 Conclusion and Recommendations

- Planning was deficient and bottom up approach was absent. The DLCs sanctioned 24,196 works estimated at ₹ 2,121.29 crore against the Central release of ₹ 1,894.19 crore without conducting any surveys/studies to ascertain the resource gap. Of these, 21,798 (90 per cent) were completed. In the test-checked districts 5,699 (89 per cent) out of 6399 works were completed while 700 works could not be completed despite expenditure of ₹ 33.10 crore.

- Consultation with the local MPs and other elected representatives was not ensured before finalisation of the works. As a result, 1,369 sanctioned works estimated at ₹ 42.41 crore were cancelled on the ground of public hindrance, non-availability of land, pre-existence of structure.

Resource gaps for development of LWE affected areas of IAP districts should be identified through bottom up planning approach and adequate stakeholder consultation process.

- Under utilisation of funds and lack of financial discipline resulted in loss of central funds (₹ 495.81 crore), embezzlement of scheme fund (₹ 4.22 crore), outstanding advances (₹ 5.53 crore), irregular utilisation of funds (₹ 17.61 crore).

Financial Rules/codal provisions should be adhered to in management of funds of the scheme.

- Expenses on skill development in three out of four test-checked districts ranged between below one to three per cent against the prescribed norm of 10 per cent while in one district it was not taken up. Further, in two test-checked districts no employment was provided after skill development training.

Emphasis should be given for skill development of unemployed youth of LWE affected areas and their self employment through innovative livelihood programme.

- SLC was setup after lapse of more than three years of implementation of scheme. However, monitoring by SLC was not done. Compliance to MIS requirements for macro level monitoring by GoI was not done by the test-checked districts. Impact assessment was too not taken up in the test-checked districts. While Gumla and Ramgarh had registered an upsurge in the naxal incidents by 46 and 160 per cent, East Singhbhum and Palamu had managed to bring it down by 74 to 82 per cent in 2014 as compared to 2010.

\textsuperscript{12} Information of Ramgarh district was not available
Monitoring of implementation of the programme by the DLC may be strengthened and norms for inspection of IAP works by State level officer may be prescribed/enforced.

- While the Socio Economic parameters of East Singhbhum were higher than the State average, Gumla and Palamu have lower average in parameter of social literacy, health and sanitation. Thus, the scheme objectives could not be fully achieved in all naxal affected districts.
The National Programme of Nutritional Support to Primary Education (Commonly known as the Mid Day Meal Scheme) was launched by the Government of India (GoI) on 15 August 1995 to boost the universalisation of primary education by increasing enrolment, retention and attendance and simultaneously improving the nutritional standard of students in primary classes throughout the country. It was extended to children studying in upper primary classes from 2008-09.

To achieve the above objectives, the MDMS envisages providing cooked meal with nutritional value of 450 calories (protein 12 grams) at Primary level and 700 calories (protein 20 grams) at Upper primary level and adequate quantities of essential micronutrients and de-worming medicines under School Health Programme converging with the National Rural Health Mission.

Important audit finding are:

- The Jharkhand State Mid Day Meal Authority (JSMDMA) delayed the release of GoI assistance as well as state matching shares to districts from one to seven months. There was delay of one to two months in allocation of food grains to districts by the JSMDMA. These resulted in delayed release of fund and allocation of food grains to schools by respective DSEs and consequently disruption of MDM in schools.

  (Paragraphs 2.3.8.2 & 2.3.10.2)

- The numbers for enrolment of children in the state in schools under MDMS registered a consistent decline during 2010-15. The enrolment of children in the schools where MDMS was running, decreased from 60.35 lakh to 50.80 lakh contrary to the enrolment of children in private schools where it increased from 8.94 lakh to 13.89 lakh during the period 2010-15. The average attendance of children has not improved and it ranged from 48 per cent to 55 per cent in primary level and it declined from 60 per cent to 48 per cent in upper primary level during the period 2010-15. Therefore, implementation of MDMS did not augment the average attendance of children of primary and upper primary classes.

  (Paragraph 2.3.9.3)

- The prescribed effective quality monitoring system to ensure Fair Average Quality (FAQ) of supplied food grains was not created by JSMDMA in test checked districts. In absence of such a system, it could not be ensured that the supplied food grains were of at least of Fair Average Quality.

  (Paragraph 2.3.10.3)

- No recognised institute/laboratory for testing of meal/food samples was engaged by the JSMDMA during 2010-15 as required. No system was in place to ensure that required calorie and protein content of the meals were being checked before being served to children in the test checked schools. Thus,
quality of food grain and testing of meal itself could not be assured, so the objective was not fulfilled.

(Paragraphs 2.3.10.6 & 2.3.10.7)

- Constructions of 35,435 units of Kitchen-cum-store (KS) were taken up but only 20,654 units were completed, 8396 units were in-progress and works on 6,385 units were not even started. The poor progress of KS was attributed to non-availability of land, short release and under-utilisation of available fund, running of schools in other than government building, non-drawl of fund by the DSEs etc.

(Paragraph 2.3.10.9)

- There were shortfalls in the meetings of SMC as only two meetings were held at state level against the required ten half yearly meetings during 2010-15. In three test checked districts only four meetings were held against the required 120 meetings while in the other three test districts, no SMC was constituted during 2010-15. In absence of periodical monitoring, co-ordination and remedial measures at all levels were not ensured.

(Paragraph 2.3.11.1)

2.3.1 Introduction

The National Programme of Nutritional Support to Primary Education (commonly known as the Mid Day Meal Scheme) was launched by the Government of India (GoI) on 15 August 1995 as a Centrally Sponsored Scheme. The Mid Day Meal (MDM) Scheme was intended to boost the universalisation of primary education by increasing enrolment, retention and attendance and simultaneously improve the nutrition of students in primary classes across the country in a phased manner by 1997-98. As per the recommendations of the Sub-Committee of National Steering cum Monitoring Committee, the Central Government revised the MDM Scheme (MDMS) from June 2006 and its scope was extended to cover upper primary stage children from 2008-09. The revised MDMS was meant to address two long standing problems among a majority of children in India, namely, hunger and education by:

(i) Improving the nutritional status of children in classes I to VIII\(^1\) in Government, Local Body and Government aided schools, Education Guarantee Scheme (EGS), Alternative and Innovative Education (AIE) Centres, National Child Labour Project (NCLP) Schools and Madarsa/Maqtabs supported under Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan (SSA).

(ii) Encouraging poor children, belonging to disadvantaged sections, to attend school more regularly and help them concentrate on classroom activities.

(iii) Providing nutritional support to children of elementary stage in drought-affected areas during summer vacation.

---

\(^1\) Upper Primary Stage in Educationally Backward Blocks from 2007-08 and from the year 2008-09 across the country
To achieve the above objectives, the MDMS envisages providing cooked meal with nutritional value of 450 calories (protein 12 grams) at Primary\(^2\) level and 700 calories (protein 20 grams) at Upper primary\(^3\) level and adequate quantities of essential micronutrients and de-worming medicines under School Health Programme converging with the National Rural Health Mission.

### 2.3.2 Organisational Set-up of MDM Scheme

The scheme was implemented in the state under the following set up:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Setup for Implementation</th>
<th>Setup for Monitoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Secretary, Human Resource Development Department (HRDD), Government of Jharkhand</td>
<td>State Steering cum Monitoring Committee (SMC) under the chairmanship of the Chief Secretary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Director, Primary Education upto 5.11.2013; there after Director, Jharkhand State Mid Day Meal Authority</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District Manager, Food Corporation of India for supply of food grains.</td>
<td>District SMC under chairmanship of Deputy Commissioner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District Superintendent of Education</td>
<td>Block SMC under the chairmanship of Block Development Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistant Godown Manager, Jharkhand State Food Corporation to concerned block for storage of food grains</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block Education Extension Officers at Block level</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saraswati Vahini a sub-committee of Village Education Committee (VEC) at school level is the final implementing agency.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.3.3 Audit objectives

The objectives of the performance audit were to assess whether the scheme:

- was being implemented in a planned manner as to cover all the eligible primary and upper primary level school children;
- achieved its objective of enhancing enrolment, retention and attendance in primary/upper primary education;
- achieved its objective of improving the nutritional status of children in the primary/upper primary classes;
- fund allocated was being utilised in an economic and efficient manner; and
- was being effectively monitored.

\(^2\) Class one to five-Food grains-100 gm, Pulses-20gm, Vegetable-50 and Fat-5gm (Dated 24 November 2009)

\(^3\) Class six to eight- Food grains-150 gm, Pulses-30gm, Vegetable-75 and Fat-7.5gm (Dated 24 November 2009)


2.3.4 Audit Criteria

The audit criteria for the performance audit were sourced from:

- Guidelines on MDM Scheme;
- State Financial/Treasury Rules, various orders, notifications, circulars, instructions issued by MHRD/State Government for MDMS;
- Annual Work Plan and Budget (AWP&B) prepared by the state/districts and
- Evaluation reports of the scheme.

2.3.5 Scope of audit

The Performance Audit on the implementation of the scheme was conducted for the period 2010-15 by test check of records of Secretary Human Resources Development Department of Jharkhand, Director, Jharkhand State Mid Day Meal Authority (JSMDMA), six selected District Superintendents of Education (DSE)$^4$ out of 24 districts of the State and 180 schools (30 schools from each of six selected districts) out of a total of 41153 schools which included Government Schools, Local Body and Government Aided Schools, EGS, AIE Centres, NCLP Schools and Madarsa/Maqtabs.

Out of 50.80 lakh enrolled children in the State, 11.53 lakh in six sampled districts (22.69 per cent) were covered in audit.

2.3.6 Audit Approach and Methodology

An entry conference was held on 2 September 2014 with the Secretary, Human Resource Development Department (HRDD), Government of Jharkhand (GoJ) in which audit objectives, audit scope, audit criteria and methodology were discussed. Audit also conducted physical verification of selected schools. An exit conference was held on 30 November 2015 with the Secretary to Government, Department of School Education and Literacy in which audit observations were discussed. Reply of the Government was incorporated in the Report.

2.3.7 Audit Sampling

Six districts (25 per cent) were selected out of 24 districts by Probability Proportional Size Without Replacement sampling method and 30 schools$^5$ were selected using Simple Random Sampling Without Replacement sampling method in each selected district (Appendix-2.3.1).

Audit Findings

2.3.8 Financial Management

GoI provides Central share to the State, in turn, the State Government provides GoI share as well as its own share to JSMDMA. The funds are allocated to DSEs by the JSMDMA and drawls were made through treasuries by DSEs till March 2013, thereafter funds were drawn by the JSMDMA and

---

$^4$ Bokaro, Chatra, Dhanbad, Jamtara, Latehar and Pakur.

$^5$ In rural areas (14 primary and 7 Upper Primary schools) and in urban areas (6 primary and 3 upper primary schools).
transmitted to DSEs through bank account. The DSEs transferred the amount to Village Education Committees (VECs) in their bank accounts except the cost of food grains and transportation which were paid directly by DSEs on presentation of bills by FCI and Jharkhand State Food Corporation (JSFC).

Under MDM Scheme, the Central assistance was provided by way of:

- Food grains (wheat/rice) at 100/150gm per child per school day;
- Reimbursing the actual cost of transportation of food grains from nearest FCI godown subject to a ceiling of ` 75 per quintal;
- Assistance for cooking cost per child per school day shared by central and state (75:25);
- Honorarium of ` 1000 per month to cook-cum-helper shared by Centre and State (75:25);
- Assistance for cooked Mid day meal during summer vacation to children of drought affected areas;
- Assistance for construction of kitchen-cum-stores shared by Centre and State (75:25); and
- Provisioning and replacement of kitchen stores and
- Assistance for management, monitoring and evaluation.

**Table-2.3.1: Statement of recurring grants released and expenditure incurred**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Fund released by the GoI to State Government including opening balance of 2010-11</th>
<th>Fund released by State Government to JSMDM including opening balance of 2010-11</th>
<th>Expenditure incurred</th>
<th>Closing Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Central share</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Central</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-11</td>
<td>367.98</td>
<td>368.21</td>
<td>192.72</td>
<td>302.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-12</td>
<td>269.17</td>
<td>268.85</td>
<td>116.90</td>
<td>300.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-13</td>
<td>244.73</td>
<td>244.07</td>
<td>94.71</td>
<td>277.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-14</td>
<td>350.17</td>
<td>350.17</td>
<td>118.39</td>
<td>305.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>214.04</td>
<td>214.04</td>
<td>166.35</td>
<td>272.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1446.09</strong></td>
<td><strong>1445.34</strong></td>
<td><strong>689.07</strong></td>
<td><strong>1458.12</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Data furnished by Director, JSMDMA)

### 2.3.8.1 Availability of fund

The details of recurring assistance released by GoI/State and expenditure incurred there against in the State during the 2010-15 are shown in Table-2.3.1.

From **Table-2.3.1** it is observed that the GoI provided central assistance of ` 1446.09 crore, in turn the state released ` 1445.34 crore to JSMDMA (` 0.75 crore not transferred) during the period 2010-15. The expenditure shown as incurred from central assistance was ` 1458.12 crore, which was in excess by ` 12.78 crore and was made possible by diverting state assistance. The state also released its own share of ` 689.07 crore and expenditure incurred was ` 524.53 crore during the period 2010-15. The remaining unutilised funds were lying with the districts.

The Government confirmed (November 2015) the facts.
Scrutiny of JSMDMA records further revealed that:

- GoI assistance for ₹ 25.08 crore for payment of honorarium to cook-cum-helper could not be released by the State Government to JSMDMA during 2009-10 and it was revalidated and paid during 2010-11. This delayed the payment of honorarium to cook cum helpers, the lowest paid staff for running the scheme at school level.

In reply, Director MDM stated that as the number of cook cum helper at school level was not known to the state hence, notification in this regard could not be issued in time.

- It was noticed that there was an arrear of ₹ 8.51 crore towards payment of honoraria to cook-cum-helpers as of 31st March 2015 in four sampled districts for want of funds due to short allocation of central share of ₹ 34.88 crore during 2012-15.

Government stated (November 2015) that the fund would be provided at the earliest.

- GoI assistance of ₹ 16.53 crore including ₹ 4.90 crore food bill of FCI and the State share of ₹ 6.03 crore towards recurring expenditure on cooking cost, honorarium and MME could not be drawn from treasury by the concerned DSEs during the period 2011-12 and 2012-13 as the funds were released at the fag end of the financial years.

In reply (November 2015) Secretary stated that due to the late release of fund the amounts could not be drawn which effected the implementation of the programme.

- Plan Approval Board (PAB)-MDM approved ₹ 2.30 crore for replacement of 4,606 units of Kitchen Devices during year 2013-14 and the same was released by GoI in October 2014 to the State Government for which no reason was on record. However, the amount was not drawn by the JSMDMA during 2014-15. As a result, replacement of Kitchen Devices did not materialise.

The Government stated (November 2015) that a letter would be sent to GoI for revalidation of funds.

### 2.3.8.2 Release of fund

After approval of the State AWP&B by the PAB in March/April each year, the MHRD issues the sanction of central assistance and ad-hoc release of fund in the month of April to the State Government. The first and second instalment of GoI assistance is to be released in the month of April/May and September/October respectively.

Scrutiny of JSMDMA records revealed that submission of State AWP&B to the PAB was delayed by one month and GoI also delayed release of funds to State Government by three to seven months during 2010-15 (Appendix-2.3.2).

We further noticed that:

---

6 Bokaro: ₹ 199.18 lakh, Chatra: ₹ 311.50 lakh and Latehar: ₹ 212.56 lakh and Pakur: ₹ 127.63 lakh.
• The JSMDMA was required to release the GoI assistance with matching State share to DSEs within a week from date of receiving the fund from the State Government. However, the JSMDMA delayed release of funds from one to seven months (Appendix-2.3.3). Reason for delay was not on records. DSEs of the selected districts also delayed release of funds from one to four months to the schools.

• GoI assistance of ₹66.70 lakh for reimbursement of additional fund incurred on procurement of unsubsidised LPG cylinder in schools for the year 2012-13 was not released by GoI and lapsed.

The Government stated (November 2015) that appropriate action would be taken. However, no time frame was maintained.

• Component wise Cash Books were being maintained by DSEs in all test-checked districts, but reconciliation of receipts as well as payments with bank accounts was not done except DSE, Jamtara. Cash Books for the period 3 July 2014 to 31 March 2015 and 30 October 2014 to 31 March 2015 were not written by DSE, Latehar and Chatra respectively. As a result, audit could not verify the transactions relating to MDMS during that period. A single Cash book was being maintained at all test checked schools as required.

The Government stated (November 2015) that instructions would be issued to districts for reconciliation of Cash Book with Bank balance. DSE Latehar and Chatra would be instructed for maintenance of Cash Books. Fact remains that no action was taken against these two DESs for non-maintenance of cash books.

• In three test checked districts\(^7\), bank interest amounting to ₹2.52 crore on the MDM funds was not recorded in the accounts of DSEs during 2010-15. Bank interest on the MDM funds was accounted for in the Cash Books of all test-checked schools. But this was not reported to their respective Controlling Officers which was a requirement under the guidelines of the scheme. Interest of ₹19.74 lakh earned on the MDM fund as of March 2014 was not exhibited in the cash books of DSE Pakur. Non-reconciliation of bank balance with the balances of cash book was fraught with the risk of fraud and misappropriation.

• In Chatra district, MDM fund was being kept in current account by DSE Chatra in violation of scheme guidelines thereby losing interest on deposit.

Government stated (November 2015) that instructions would be issued to all DSEs to open saving bank accounts and details of accrued interest would be asked from the concerned DSEs. However, no time frame was mentioned.

2.3.8.3 Payment to FCI

As per guidelines, FCI will raise the bills pertaining to supply of food grains during a month to the district administration and receive payment within 20 days.

Audit observed that due to non-availability of fund /delayed drawal of fund, the dues for the payment of cost of food grains accumulated to ₹28.93 crore (Appendix-2.3.4) as on 31 March 2015 in the State.

\(^7\) Bokaro: ₹117.13 lakh, Jamtara: ₹75.00 lakh and Latehar: ₹60.00 lakh
In reply it was stated that the Government noted (November 2015) the facts. However no details of remedial measures were specified.

2.3.8.4 Cook-cum-helper

As per guidelines, one cook-cum-helper may be engaged in a school having up to 25 students, two for schools having 26 to 100 students and one additional cook-cum-helper for every addition of up to 100 students. Assistance of ₹ 1000 per month as honorarium to cook-cum-helper is shared between the Centre and States on 75:25 basis.

- Test check of records of 180 schools revealed that either mothers of the students of the school or other women of the locality had been engaged as cook-cum-helpers in the schools. Scrutiny of records further revealed that there was short engagement of 113 numbers of cook-cum-helpers (23.40 percent) in 180 test checked schools out of the required 501 numbers of cook-cum-helpers (Appendix-2.3.5) as per norms.

2.3.8.5 Disposal of empty jute bags

The food grains were supplied by FCI in jute bags of 50 kg capacity. Empty jute bags being assets of Government, the JSMDMA issued orders to all DSEs in July 2009 and December 2013 to sell empty jute bags to FCI at the rate of ₹ 11.40 and ₹ 14.40 per bag respectively and maintain quarterly account of empty jute bags available in schools. The sale proceeds of empty bags were to be a source of revenue which could be ploughed into the scheme for purchase of plates and tumblers at school level.

We noticed that no accounts/ information of 16.13 lakh empty jute bags (up to 2010-14) worth ₹ 1.84 crore and 2.47 lakh empty jute bags (during 2014-15) valuing ₹ 0.36 crore that were used for procuring 93024.32 MT of food grains, was maintained in any of test checked districts. Thus, no follow up action was taken to dispose the jute bags.

In reply it was stated that the Government noted (November 2015) the facts. However no details of remedial measures were specified.

Recommendation

We recommend that fund flow and supply of food grains should be streamlined up to school level for uninterrupted and smooth implementation of the scheme, while keeping checks on abuse or leakage of food grains.

2.3.9 Planning

MDMS assigns importance to the preparation of Annual Work Plan & Budget (AWP&B) by states based on information maintained at school level and aggregated at the Block, District and State level. It envisages a bottom-up approach, rather than a top-down approach to planning. The state presents this programme (AWP&B) before the Programme Approval Board of the Ministry of Human Resource Development, GoI. Based on the deliberations and approval accorded by the PAB, central assistance is provided to state. Preparation of AWP&B requires the identification of poor children belonging to disadvantaged sections of society. Besides, provision for other activities like

---

8 Bokaro: 11, Chatra: 18, Dhanbad: 18, Latehar: 18, Jamtara: 16 and Pakur: 32
convergence with other developmental programmes to meet requirements like kitchen-cum-store, safe drinking water, kitchen devices and school health camp of the scheme and steps for wide publicity of the benefits of the scheme through TV, Radio, Print media etc. were also envisaged.

It is necessary that there is documentation of the process of plan preparation to ensure that they have been prepared at the school level through participatory planning processes.

Scrutiny of records of test-checked units revealed that:

**2.3.9.1 Preparation and submission of Annual Work Plan and Budget**

The DSEs of the concerned districts prepared AWP&B of the district after collecting information from schools through the concerned Block Education Extension Officers (BEEO’s) and the State compiles the same at State level for the preparation of a State AWP&B.

**2.3.9.2 Coverage of schools under MDM Scheme**

As set by PAB and prepared by the state AWP&B, 52138 schools was to be covered in 2010-11. Against this only 40,733 schools were covered under MDM Scheme (Appendix-2.3.6).

Government stated (November 2015) that shortage in coverage during 2010-11 was due to overlapping of figures of 12083 upper primary schools which were wrongly shown under primary schools. However, rectification has not been carried out after more than four years.

**2.3.9.3 Enrolment and coverage of children**

As per guidelines, the primary objective of the scheme is to improve enrolment, attendance and retention of children up to upper primary stage (up to Class eight). The scheme also aims to improve the nutritional and learning levels of children and to bring children of the disadvantaged sections to schools regularly. The status of enrolment, attendance and dropout in the State during 2010-15 are discussed in the following paragraphs.

The JSMDMA and Director, SSA, Jharkhand provided the data pertaining to the number of children enrolled and covered under MDM Scheme in the State during 2010-15 as given in Table-2.3.2 below:

**Table-2.3.2: Number of children enrolled, out of school and benefitted children in the state during 2010-15**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Enrolment of children as per JSMDMA (lakh)</th>
<th>Total average attendance</th>
<th>Children covered under MDM(^9) (lakh)</th>
<th>Percentage of coverage against the enrolment</th>
<th>Private school enrolment as per DISE (lakh)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-11</td>
<td>60.35</td>
<td>32.32</td>
<td>40.32</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>8.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-12</td>
<td>57.11</td>
<td>32.10</td>
<td>32.25</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>9.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-13</td>
<td>55.35</td>
<td>27.30</td>
<td>36.56</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>11.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-14</td>
<td>53.58</td>
<td>28.40</td>
<td>39.79</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>12.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>50.82</td>
<td>32.00</td>
<td>30.52</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>13.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source:-JSMDMA and Sarva Siksha Abhiyan)

\(^9\) Children covered under MDM is the highest attendance of students recorded in six months i.e. between 1st April to 30th September of each financial year.
The percentage of coverage of children under MDM was between 56 and 74 per cent of the total enrolment during 2010-15, while the MDM coverage ranged between 31 lakh and 40 lakh in the state during the same period. The JSMDMA did not provide bifurcated figures of enrolment and coverage of children in rural and urban areas.

- There was no effective mechanism in place to identify and enrol the children of poor and disadvantaged sections of society in the schools where MDM Scheme was running.

- The JSMDMA did not launch any awareness programme through audio-visual and print media to create awareness of the parents about the benefits of the scheme and also to attract those eligible children to attend school who were not enrolled. However, logo with MDM menu was found displayed on the outer wall in all test-checked schools.

- The number of enrolment in the state registered a consistent decline during 2010-15. The enrolment of children in the schools, where MDMS was running, decreased from 60.35 lakh to 50.80 lakh contrary to the enrolment of children in private schools where it increased from 8.94 lakh to 13.89 lakh during the period 2010-15. Thus, it shows that a free MDM by itself is not a sufficient means to retain children in school, unless accompanied with improvement in teaching/learning outputs.

The Government stated (November 2015) that MDM was provided to all children of class one to eight irrespective of category of children and all enrolled children were not coming on daily basis as a result actual coverage comes around 60 to 65 per cent. To overcome this problem the government launched a programme called PRAYAS in which peer group had been involved to bring back those children to school. Fact remains that attendance of children has not improved.

2.3.9.4 Attendance, retention and drop-out

Increasing attendance of children in Primary and Upper Primary classes was one of the mandates of introduction of MDM scheme. The JSMDMA compiled the data of attendance. The children who were enrolled in schools but did not continue their classes/schooling were considered as drop-out from school. As the JSMDMA did not maintain data of drop out children, we obtained this data from SSA. The details of enrolment, attendance and drop out of students in the State were as given in Table-2.3.3:

Table-2.3.3: Statement of drop-out and attendance of children at Primary and Upper Primary Schools of the State

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Primary</th>
<th>Upper primary</th>
<th>Total average attendance</th>
<th>Drop out as per SSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enrolment</td>
<td>Average Attendance</td>
<td>Per cent</td>
<td>Enrolment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-11</td>
<td>46.88</td>
<td>24.38</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>13.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-12</td>
<td>43.22</td>
<td>23.77</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>13.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-13</td>
<td>40.83</td>
<td>19.60</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>14.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-14</td>
<td>38.87</td>
<td>20.60</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>14.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>35.59</td>
<td>18.15</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>15.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: JSMDMA)
From the Table-2.3.3 it is evident that the attendance of children has not improved and it ranged from 48 per cent to 55 per cent in primary level and it declined from 60 per cent to 48 per cent in upper primary level during the period 2010-15. Further, the attendance per cent had fallen from 2010-11 to 2014-15 in both the levels. The drop-out rate ranged between 5.31 per cent and 9.88 per cent during 2010-15 of the total enrolment.

The attendance of children in six sampled districts varied from 35.68 per cent to 71.00 per cent in primary classes and 34.00 per cent to 91.00 per cent in upper primary classes during 2010-15. In the 180 test checked schools the corresponding figures were 5.40 per cent to 100 per cent in primary level and 14 per cent to 100 per cent in upper primary level. The figures indicate no trend of increase in attendance over the period 2010-15 (Appendix-2.3.7). Therefore, implementation of the MDMS did not augment the average attendance of children of primary and upper primary classes.

Government stated (November 2015) that self-attendance of children has been launched for class three to eight from 2015-16 resulting in increase in actual attendance and also stated that data on drop out was not maintained by JSMDMA.

Thus, the authorities responsible for school education in the state had failed to increase the attendance and retention of children in schools despite the provision of MDM.

### 2.3.10 Implementation of the scheme

The scheme provides 100 per cent GoI share in respect of cost of food grains, transportation and MME assistance. Funds for cooking cost and honorarium to cook-cum-helper are to be shared at 75:25 between GoI and the State. Besides, under non-recurring expenditure, GoI provides assistance to construct kitchen cum store (75:25 basis) and ₹ 5,000 per school for procurement and replacement of kitchen devices.

#### 2.3.10.1 Allocation of food grain and lack of inventory

As per guidelines, the assessment of food grains is to be made on the basis of number of children approved for availing Mid Day Meals across the State by the PAB. Further, the food grains have to be allocated bi-annually with deduction of any unutilised balance of food grains available with the State.

Scrutiny of the records of JSMDMA and test checked DSEs revealed that neither of them maintained records of unutilised balance of food grains thereby precluding judicious allocation of food grains to the State. Failure to call for inventory records of unutilised balances of SFC godowns may lead to possible abuse of food grains allotted to the State.

In reply, the Government stated (December 2015) that a certificate regarding unutilised balance of food grains would be obtained from block and school level.

10 As per notification of GoI, MHRD dated 31 December 2009
2.3.10.2 Lifting of food grains

As per guidelines, the FCI was to allow lifting of food grains as per the allocation to the State Government and lifting schedule provided by the district administration starting from 1\textsuperscript{st} day of the month preceding the allocation quarter and up to 25\textsuperscript{th} of the last month of the allocation quarter.

Scrutiny of records revealed that:

- Allocation of food grains to districts was delayed by one to two months (Appendix-2.3.8) by the JSMDMA against the prescribed schedule resulting in delayed lifting of food grains in all six test checked districts. Block wise allocation in three test checked districts revealed that in Bokaro, Dhanbad and Chatra, food grains were issued with a delay of one to four months by the DSEs of the concerned districts.

The Government accepted (November 2015) the delay of allocation. But no specific remedial measures were initiated.

- In Chatra district, total 70,596.30 quintals of food grains was lifted by a private transporter (contractor) from FCI depot during July 2011 to March 2013 for delivery at block godowns but only 69,902.14 quintals of food grains was delivered at block godowns. This resulted in short supply of food grains of 694.16 quintals worth ₹ 16.00 lakh\textsuperscript{11}.

The Government noted (November 2015) and stated that proper action would be taken. But details of proper action were not specified to audit.

- Out of the total allocation of 3552.05 MT food grain to DSE, Bokaro by JSMDMA for the year 2014-15, 1288.902 MT food grain was not lifted as SFC failed to lift the allotted food grain from FCI godown due to non-availability of trucks to transport it which resulted in disruption of MDM in 40 schools.

The Government noted (November 2015) and stated that proper action would be taken. But the details of proper action were not specified to audit.

2.3.10.3 Quality of food grains

As per guidelines, FCI will issue food grains of best available quality, which will in any case be at least of Fair Average Quality (FAQ). The District Collector will also ensure that food grains of at least FAQ are issued by FCI after joint inspection by a team consisting of FCI and the nominee of the Collector and confirmation by them that the grain conforms to at least FAQ norms. FCI will keep samples of such food grains supplied by it for future verification and analysis. This was to be kept to meet any complaints. Scrutiny of records of six DSEs revealed that there was no system created by JSMDMA to ensure the supply of FAQ food grains for MDMS, as per procedure laid down. JSMDMA also stated (May 2015) that quality of food grains of FAQ was not ensured.

The Government replied (November 2015) that system was being developed to assess the FAQ of food grains.

\textsuperscript{11} At the economy rate of ₹ 2,304.87 per quintal.
2.3.10.4 Interruption of MDM

MDM Scheme provides that every child attending the school shall be served mid day meal on all school days.

Scrutiny of 180 test-checked schools revealed that there was interruption of supply of MDM for 15,404 school days (7.04 per cent) out of total 2,08,440 approved school days (Appendix-2.3.9). Summarized position of interruption is given in Table-2.3.4.

Table-2.3.4: The interruption of MDM in 180 test checked schools during 2010-15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of district</th>
<th>No. of test checked schools</th>
<th>Shortage of Cooking cost</th>
<th>Shortage of Food grains</th>
<th>Shortage of Food grain + Cooking cost</th>
<th>Dispute among VEC members and others</th>
<th>Strike of teachers</th>
<th>Total days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bokaro</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>831</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>1543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chatra</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>3869</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>826</td>
<td>5700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhanbad</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>1637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamtha</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>844</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>1349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latehar</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakur</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3081</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>4995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>510</strong></td>
<td><strong>5567</strong></td>
<td><strong>2839</strong></td>
<td><strong>652</strong></td>
<td><strong>1245</strong></td>
<td><strong>15404</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Sampled schools data)

We noticed 787 days of interruption in two schools of Chatra district (UMS Rajpur: 406 days, 33.41 per cent and UMS Sagasot: 381 days, 32.39 per cent) due to shortage of food grains and 883 days interruption in two schools in Pakur district (NPS Chirudih Kalichandi: 475 days, 39.09 per cent and NPS Rajpur: 408 days, 33.58 per cent) due to shortage of fund meant for cost of cooking during 2010-15.

The interruptions of MDM in schools in test checked districts were due to lack of close monitoring by the DSEs/BEEOs of concerned districts/blocks.

The Government noted (November 2015) and also stated that proper action would be taken.

2.3.10.5 Administration of nutrients and medicine

MDM scheme provides provision of extra nutrients and administration of (a) six monthly dose for de-worming and Vitamin A supplements (b) weekly Iron and Folic-acid supplement with Zinc and (c) other appropriate supplements in convergence with Health Department depending on common deficiency found in the local area.

We noticed that convergence with Health Department/NRHM for supply of Iron and Folic-acid supplement with Zinc and other appropriate supplements to the students was not found in 175 sampled schools as JSMDMA did not approach the Health Department for it (Appendix-2.3.5).

The Government replied (November 2015) that effective mechanism was being developed in co-ordination with Health Department. However details of the action were not reported.

2.3.10.6 Nutritional level of children

As per guideline, regular supply of micronutrients and de-worming tablets are to be given to children.
Audit observed following deficiencies in all sampled 180 schools during the period 2010-15:

- Regular health check-ups were not done in any sampled school. Hence, there was no mechanism to access the requirement of micro nutrient and de-worming tablets for the students. Further, in the MHRD Review Report (January 2014) which analysed the nutritional status of 528 boys and 552 girls of Jharkhand 25 per cent boys and 18 per cent girls were in the category of (-) 2SD (moderately malnourished) and 6 per cent boys and 3 per cent girls were in the category of (-) 3SD (severely malnourished).

- Weighing Machines and Height recorders were not found in any of the test checked schools except in six schools out of 180 tests checked schools as such monitoring the status of health of the children were not being recorded at school level to monitor their growth and health.

The Government stated that (November 2015) steps were being taken to improve the nutritional level. However details of these steps were not provided.

**Recommendation**

We recommend that the system of inspections must be strengthened to ensure that food grains of at least Fair Average Quality as prescribed are received from FCI Depot and regular health checkup of children carried out.

2.3.10.7 Testing of meal by recognised laboratory

As per guidelines, the State may consider engaging Council of Scientific and Industrial Research/National Accreditation Board for Laboratories or recognised laboratories for carrying out sample testing of MDM to ensure quality meal to the children.

We noticed that in test checked districts no recognised institute for testing of meal/food samples was engaged by the JSMDMA during 2010-15. This fact was also confirmed by the concerned DSEs.

The Government accepted (November 2015) that no such laboratory has been engaged for testing of meal.

Thus, quality of food grain and testing of meal itself could not be assured, as envisaged in the guideline of the Scheme.

2.3.10.8 Convergence with other schemes

JSMDMA did not maintain data of convergence with developmental programmes of other departments like Rural Development, Drinking water and Sanitation, Panchayati Raj, Health and Family Welfare departments etc. However, we noticed convergence only in case of construction of 808 numbers of kitchens-cum-stores with Backward Region Grant Fund-Integrated Action Plan in Bokaro district. Further, no health check-up camps were organised under School Health Programme by National Rural Health Mission (NRHM) under Department of Health, Medical Education and Family
Welfare, in 175 out of 180 sampled schools\textsuperscript{12}. Safe drinking water facility was not available in 17 schools out of 180 test checked schools.

\textbf{Recommendation}

We recommend that the JSMDMA should ensure convergence with other departments for improvement of infrastructure facilities at schools.

\textbf{2.3.10.9 Infrastructure facilities}

The guidelines provide for central assistance to construct Kitchen-cum-Store (KS) in a phased manner up to a maximum of ₹ 60,000 per unit. Further, the GoI revised (December 2009) the norms for construction of KS based on plinth area as per number of students instead of fixed rate. The cost of construction of KS would be shared between the Centre and the State on 75:25 basis.

Assistance for provisioning and replacement of kitchen devices is to be given in a phased manner at an average cost of ₹ 5,000 per school for cooking devices (Stove, Chulha, etc.), containers for storage and utensils for cooking and serving. The detail of physical and financial progress of construction of KS during 2010-15 is given in \textbf{Appendix-2.3.10}.

\textbf{Audit observed that:}

- construction of 39,001 units of KS was targeted upto 2013-14 out of which 35,435 units (80 per cent) were taken up for construction but only 20,654 units (58 per cent) were completed and construction of 8,396 (24 per cent) units was in progress. Construction work of 6,385 units (18 per cent) was not even started up to 2013-14.

- expenditure of ₹ 277.27 crore of central share and ₹ 41.62 crore of state share was incurred on these 29050 KS (20,654 units+8,396 units). Further, there was a short allocation of state share of ₹ 34.71 crore as only ₹ 76.12 crore was allotted by the State Government against ₹ 110.83 crore to be released during 2011-13. Thus, the State Government failed to allocate its share for construction of KS during 2011-13 and the JSMDMA/Districts also failed to utilise the allotted funds.

- out of 180 test-checked schools, 48\textsuperscript{13} schools did not have KS. Temporary arrangements were made either at one corner of school veranda or temporary structure was erected within the school campus for preparation of MDM. Place of safe storage of food grains, eating area and separate arrangement for washing of utensils were not available in any of test checked schools.

The absence of KS in 48 schools was due to non-availability of land, short release and under utilisation of available funds, running of school in other than Government buildings, non-drawal of fund etc. by the DSEs of test checked districts.

In reply Government stated (November 2015) that efforts would be taken to utilize allocated fund with instruction to timely complete the KS and also

\textsuperscript{12} Five schools in Jamtara health check-ups done: Upper primary schools: Ashanbani, Nawatand, Rangamat and Rajkiyakrut: Middle School Jamtara and Primary School Kurmpara

\textsuperscript{13} Bokaro-06, Chatra-07, Dhanbad-08, Jamtara-10 and Latehar -06 and Pakur-11
stated that short release of allotment in respect of state share would be released in 2015-16.

Poor infrastructure facilities in test checked schools are shown in the following photographs:

- It was also noticed that serving plates were insufficient in 166 schools out of all 180 test checked schools. In 14 schools, plates were arranged by the children themselves for MDM.

2.3.10.10 Responsibility for carrying of food grains

As per guidelines, transportation of food grains from nearest FCI godown to each School/EGS/AIE center is to be ensured. The Jharkhand State Food Corporation (JSFC) is the State Nodal Transport Agency responsible for lifting of food grains from FCI godown and delivering them at Block level. The State Government is also responsible for making arrangements for transportation of food grain to ensure that it is carried from Block godown to schools in timely manner.

We noticed that arrangements to carry food grains from Block godown to school was not found in any of the sampled schools as no transport agency was appointed for this purpose, except in Dhanbad Block under Dhanbad District during 2013-15 where a private transporter was engaged for this purpose. Further, the teacher in-charge of all sampled schools arranged transportation of food grains from Block godowns to school themselves for which cost of transportation was not reimbursed to them.

The Government stated (November 2015) that instruction has been issued to all DSEs to ensure transportation of food grains from block to schools.
Recommendation

We recommend that the JSMDMA should make convergence with other Departments and quantity as well as quality of MDM served to the children should be as per prescribed standard.

2.3.11 Management, Monitoring and Evaluation

For Management, Monitoring and Evaluation (MME) 1.8 per cent of the cost of food grains, cooking cost, transportation cost and honorarium to cook cum helpers was to be provided as central assistance. Half of the MME assistance was to be used at school level to provide supply of soap, plates, tumblers, mats, replacement/ repair/maintenance of cooking devices, utensils, storage bean etc. and remaining at State/District level on training to cook-cum-helper, furniture, computer hardware and software and consumables, capacity building of officials, publicity etc.

We noticed that utilisation of MME fund ranged between 33.07 per cent and 65.79 per cent during 2010-15 in the State as a whole whereas it ranged between 2.14 per cent and 97.50 per cent in sampled districts (Appendix-2.3.11). Verification of records of test checked schools revealed that they were unaware of the MME fund as the funds were transferred in lump sum and details of it being earmarked under different component/head were not clearly specified.

The underutilisation of MME fund at state and district had resulted in non-fulfilling the requirements as envisaged in the guidelines. Also at the school level no expenditure on any MME activities was made.

The Government stated (November 2015) that effective mechanism would be developed to utilise the fund allocated under MME.

2.3.11.1 Steering-cum-Monitoring Committees

The Steering-cum-Monitoring Committees (SMCs) were set up in February 2006 by the Department at State, District and Block levels. SMC meeting was to be held on half yearly, quarterly and monthly basis at state, district and block levels respectively to oversee the deficiencies noticed at various levels for taking remedial actions.

We noticed that against the required ten half yearly meetings during 2010-15, only two SMC meetings were held at the State level. Against the required 120 quarterly meetings at the district level, only four meetings were held in three test-checked districts during 2010-15 and no SMC was constituted in other three test-checked districts (Appendix-2.3.12).

Further, no meeting was held at block level in any test-checked districts during 2010-15, except one in one block of Latehar district.

In absence of periodical monitoring, co-ordination and proper remedial action at all levels, deficiencies in attendance of children, quality of food grains and meal, cleanliness in cooking and serving, interruption in serving MDM etc. were not addressed.

---

14 Bokaro, Chatra and Latehar
The Government stated (November 2015) that instructions were being issued to all districts for regular meeting of SMC at all level and also at state level.

2.3.11.2 Management Information System

As per guidelines, the State was to establish Management Information System (MIS) for review of their accounting procedures and practices and reporting to evolve a simple but effective system by constituting a MIS Cell.

Audit observed that MIS was not established in the State at any level to monitor the scheme and prescribed reports were being prepared manually. We observed that various reports viz. monthly, quarterly, utilization of funds and food grains were not submitted timely to the concerned Controlling Officers.

In reply, the Government stated (November 2015) that a Monitoring Cell has been constituted but no manpower had been appointed.

2.3.11.3 Inspection

The GoI mandates 25 per cent inspection of schools in every quarter by the government officers at district, sub-divisional and block levels so that all schools should be covered once in a year for effective monitoring of implementation of programme in field level.

Audit noticed that no records of inspection were available at the state as well as in any of the test-checked schools for the period 2010-15. Further, no inspection was ever conducted in any of the test-checked schools during 2010-15.

In reply it was stated (November 2015) that the Government noted the facts. However no remedial action was specified.

Recommendation

We recommend that the monitoring and inspection mechanisms should be strengthened at all levels ensuring proper implementation of the scheme. System of surprise inspections should also be introduced to check malpractices.

2.3.11.4 Evaluation of scheme by independent agencies

As per guidelines, half yearly external monitoring and evaluation of the scheme was to be done. We noticed that the ‘Xavier Institute of Social Service’, Ranchi conducted the scheme evaluation and reported that buffer stock of one month’s requirement was not maintained at schools, current amount per child was not enough to match the menu, irregular payment of remuneration to cooks/helpers, kitchen and storage facility were either poor or not available, VEC/SMC not fulfilling their responsibilities, less time for academics as teachers were often busy arranging MDM, clash of interests between teachers and VEC hampering the scheme etc. but the finding of the XISS on MDMS were neither discussed in the State Steering-cum-Monitoring Committee meeting held on dated 18.02.2013 and 03.01.2014 nor any remedial action was taken by the JSMDMA.

The Government offered (November 2015) no comment.
2.3.11.5 Grievances Redressal

As per guidelines, Grievances Redressal Mechanism was to be established and a separate website for this programme was to be set up by the State to address public grievances by the JSMDMA, DSEs and BEOs to share the information with all stakeholders. Further, it was also to maintain data of those sick children who fall ill after taking MDM. We noticed that State Government neither developed Grievances Redressal Mechanism nor any separate website. State Government had also not maintained any data of sick children and adverse press reporting on the implementation of MDM Scheme. In the absence of such mechanism, no feedback was being received from concerned stakeholders and no corrective measures on deficiencies were taken.

In reply, the Government stated (November 2015) that Grievances Redressal Mechanism would be developed very soon with a toll free number. The State should develop a proper MIS and strengthen the Grievances Redressal Mechanism for lodging and monitoring complaints of MDMS.

2.3.12 Conclusion & Recommendations

- There was delayed release of fund and food grains which resulted in disruption of MDM for 15404 days in 180 test checked schools during 2010-15 and no mechanism was in place to arrive at unutilised food grains at schools in order to adjust subsequent allocations.

State should streamline fund flow and supply of food grains up to school level for uninterrupted and smooth implementation of the scheme, while keeping checks on abuse or leakage of food grains.

- The number of enrolment of children under MDMS in the state registered a consistent decline during 2010-15. It was decreased from 60.35 lakh to 50.80 lakh during the period. The coverage of children under MDM ranged between 56 and 74 per cent of the total enrolment during 2010-15. The reason behind declining enrolment and poor coverage of children was absence of effective plan to identify the children of poor and disadvantage sections of the society and lack of awareness building for the scheme.

State should ensure identification of target groups of disadvantaged children to gain access to the education and meals provided under the scheme. Proper awareness programme should be launched through audio-visual and print media to make aware the parents of children about the benefits of the scheme.

- Although guidelines stipulates supply of at least Fair Average Quality of food grains to the schools for MDM and for this purpose joint inspection was to be conducted by a team consisting of representative of FCI and implementing agency, the same was not done by the JSMDMA.

State should strengthen the system by constituting teams to conduct inspections as required to ensure the supply of at least Fair Average Quality food grains to schools.

- There was no system in place to ensure that required calorie and protein contain of MDM were being checked before being served to children. Thus serving of quality food was not assured.
State should engage a recognised institute/laboratory for testing nutritional content of MDM.

- The basic infrastructure of KS was not available in all the schools covered under MDM. A target of 39001 units of KS was fixed for construction upto 2013-14 but only 20654 units were completed and work on 6385 units was not even started. In 180 test checked schools, 48 schools did not have any KS. Due to lack of KS in schools, food was being prepared in open area.

State should ensure acceleration of construction of targeted KS within a fixed time.

- There were shortfalls in the meetings of SMC as only two meetings were held at state level against the required ten half yearly meetings during 2010-15. In three test checked districts only four meetings were held against the required 120 meetings while in the other three test districts, no SMC was constituted during 2010-15. In the absence of periodical monitoring, coordination and remedial measures at all levels were not ensured and irregularities like deficiencies in attendance of children, lack of cleanliness in cooking and serving, interruption in serving MDM etc. were not ensured.

State should ensure effective monitoring and inspection mechanisms at all levels to prevent leakages and misappropriations. System of surprise inspections should also be introduced to check malpractices.
2.4 Implementation of Right to Guarantee of Service Act in Jharkhand

Executive Summary

Government of Jharkhand enacted (September 2011) ‘Jharkhand Rajya Sewa Dene Ki Guarantee Adhiniyam i.e. Right to Guarantee of Service Act 2011’ (RTGS Act) and notified (November 2011) ‘Jharkhand Rajya Seva Dene Ki Guarantee Niyamawli i.e. Right to Guarantee of Service Rules 2011’ (RTGS Rule) to provide rights-based delivery of public services to the citizens of the State within stipulated time. Rules prescribe maintenance of requisite records for monitoring services delivered, training and capacity building of service providers, designation of appellate authorities etc.

Department of Personnel, Administrative Reforms and Rajbhasha (Nodal Department) was to oversee implementation of RTGS Act/Rules in the State. Service delivery of 14 out of 54 services pertaining to the Labour, Employment & Training, Food & Civil Supplies and Home Departments for the period 2012-13 to 2014-15 was assessed. Significant audit findings emerging from test check are as under:

• State Public Service Delivery Commission (SPSDC) was to be constituted for achieving the objectives of the RTGS Act but, even after four years of implementation of the Act, the SPSDC had not been constituted. Thus, the basic provision of the Act was not adhered to.

   (Paragraph 2.4.6.1)

• There were delays of up to 552 days in delivery of services of police verification report for appointment, passport and character certificate under Home Department. Further, under Food & Civil Supplies Department pertaining to the services for issue of license for ration shop and revocation of cancellation of ration shop license there was delay of up to 1,008 days. Even though there were delays in delivery of the services in 46,695 test checked cases, not a single appeal was made before the appellate authority. This indicates lack of awareness about the Act thereby defeating the objective.

   {Paragraphs 2.4.7.1(i) (ii), 2.4.7.2}

• In violation of the provision of RTGS Act, notice boards/ hoardings for awareness of RTGS Act/Rule were not displayed in 25 out of 33 test checked offices resulting in lack of awareness and sensitisation amongst the citizens.

   (Paragraph 2.4.8)

• Nodal Department failed to organise training programmes at regular intervals for service providers responsible for delivering the services leading to low levels of capacity building, which was required to overcome the existing shortfall in delivery of services.

   (Paragraph 2.4.9)
• Due to ineffective monitoring, Nodal Department and District Nodal Officers had no information of the status of the applications for services. As a result, effectiveness of the services rendered could not be ascertained.

(Paragraph 2.4.10)

2.4.1 Introduction

The Government of Jharkhand enacted (September 2011) ‘Jharkhand Rajya Sewa Dene Ki Guarantee Adhiniyam i.e. Right to Guarantee of Service Act (RTGS Act), 2011’ and notified (November 2011) ‘Jharkhand Rajya Seva Dene Ki Guarantee Niyamawli i.e. Right To Guarantee of Service Rules (RTGS Rules), 2011’ to provide rights-based delivery of public services to the citizens of the State within stipulated times. Rules prescribe maintenance of requisite records for monitoring services delivered, for training and capacity building of service providers, for designation of appellate authorities and realisation of penalty from the erring officials.

As on date the Rules have prescribed time bound delivery of 54 services across 11 departments (Appendix-2.4.1) such as issue of caste certificate, issue of residential certificate, correction of electric bill, issue of licence of medical shop, issue/renewal of driving licence, temporary registration of vehicle, issue of licence of retail shop of fertilizer, issue of licence of bricks chimni, decision on application for holding assessment etc. A test check of a few services such as police verification report for issue of passport, appointment, character certificate under Home Department; issue of certificate/licenses under Labour, Employment & Training Department; issue of licenses for ration shop etc under Food & Civil Supplies Department was undertaken in the audit.

2.4.2 Organisational structure

The organisational structure for carrying out the provisions of the RTGS Act/Rules is indicated below:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Nodal Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Designated Officers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>District Nodal Officers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Appellate Authorities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.4.3 Audit Objectives

The audit was conducted to ascertain whether:

• institutional arrangements provided for implementation of the Act were sufficient, functioning and effective;
• there were deficiencies/delays in service delivery and penalties were imposed for non-compliance/delay;
• necessary arrangements were made for sensitisation and awareness among the citizens about the Act;
• necessary measures were taken for training and capacity development of service providers; and
• monitoring mechanism was adequate and effective.

2.4.4 Audit Criteria

The audit criteria were sourced from:

• Jharkhand Rajya Sewa Dene Ki Guarantee Act 2011;
• Jharkhand Rajya Sewa Dene Ki Guarantee Niyamawli (Rules) 2011 and
• Important orders/notifications/standing instructions issued by the State Government from time to time.

2.4.5 Scope and methodology of audit

To assess implementation of the Act/Rules in delivery of 14 out of 54 services pertaining to the Labour, Employment & Training (LE&T), Food & Civil Supplies (FCS) and Home Departments (Home) (Appendix-2.4.1) for the period 2012-13 to 2014-15, records were examined at the Nodal Department, at offices of District Nodal officers, District Supply Officers, Sub-Divisional Officers, Superintendents of Police and Labour Superintendents in six districts\(^1\) and three Factory Inspectors\(^2\). An entry conference was held with Deputy Secretary, Nodal Department on 03 June 2015 wherein the audit objective, scope and methodology was discussed. An exit conference was held on 16 October 2015 with the Secretary, Nodal Department to discuss audit findings. While replies of the Government are incorporated appropriately, the Government accepted (October 2015) the audit recommendations and stated that they would be given high priority in future implementation of the Act.

Audit Findings

2.4.6 Institutional Arrangements: Preparedness for implementation

2.4.6.1 Non-constitution of State Public Service Delivery Commission

According to section 10 of RTGS Act, the Nodal Department of the State Government is to constitute a State Public Service Delivery Commission (SPSDC) or empower an existing Commission and assign it functions for achieving the objectives of the Act.

---
\(^1\) Godda, Hazaribag, Latehar, Pakur, Palamu and Ranchi districts
\(^2\) Hazaribag, Palamu and Ranchi districts
We noticed that the Nodal Department was required to set up the SPSDC but even after four years of implementation of the Act, neither the SPSDC has been constituted nor any existing Commission empowered.

In reply the Government stated (October 2015) that steps were being taken to establish the SPSDC and draft proposal has been prepared. Thus, the basic provision of the Act was not adhered to. Further, the objectives and targets of the Act were not achieved in a majority of test-checked services as pointed out in succeeding paragraphs. This could be attributed at least partly to the absence of a SPSDC.

2.4.6.2 Non-notification of first and second appellate authority

According to Para 3 of the RTGS Act, the Nodal Department of the State Government shall, from time to time, notify the services, designated officer, first appeal officers, second appellate authority and stipulated time limits for delivery of services.

We observed that the first appeal officers and second appellate authority were notified for 50 out of 54 services under Rule 21 of RTGS Rules. However, notification of appellate authorities for four services i.e. Mutation of land with objection, Mutation of land without objection, issue of revised slips and issue of land possession certificates-relating to the Revenue & Land Reforms Department were not issued. These being widely used public services, failure to do so defied the objective of the RTGS Act, as no redressal mechanism for delays in providing such services was available to the citizens of the State.

In response, the Government accepted the facts and stated (October 2015) that proposal for notification of appeal/ appellate authorities for these four services has been received and they would be notified soon.

2.4.6.3 Non-preparation of simplified guidelines

According to Rule 18 (5) & (6) of RTGS Rules, the State Government must prepare, update and publish simplified guidelines enumerating the procedures and other information as required by citizens availing various service. We observed that no such guidelines were ever prepared or published by the Government thus denying the citizens opportunity of awareness about the Act and its procedures.

In reply, the Government stated (October 2015) that the simplified guidelines for average citizens have already been issued and were displayed on the Departmental website and on RTGS website.

The reply of the Government was not acceptable as no simplified guideline was found displayed on the departmental website. Further, it was also confirmed by the officials in the Designated Offices. Besides, the District Nodal offices stated that simplified guidelines to be displayed for the use of the public were not provided to their offices by the State Government/Nodal Department.

2.4.6.4 Non-linking of Pragya Kendras/Citizen Service Centres

With a view to expedite the provisions of services to citizens, decision for delivering services through Pragya Kendras/Citizen Service Centres was communicated (September 2012) by the Chief Secretary, Government of
Jharkhand (GoJ) to the Principal Secretaries/Head of the Departments. Further, in November 2012 it was decided that all departments would develop a Management Information System (MIS) for online monitoring of applications received.

We observed that Pragya Kendras were delivering only three services (Issue of income certificates, caste certificates and residential certificates) out of 54 services notified under the RTGS Rules. Further, MIS system for online monitoring of pending applications was neither developed by the Nodal Department nor by the concerned departments. We further observed that no fund was provided by the Nodal Department/ respective Departments to develop MIS for RTGS at the designated offices. Thus, the intention of providing services either through the Pragya Kendra or by MIS could not be achieved.

In reply, the Government stated (October 2015) that Pragya Kendra and Jan Suvidha Kendras had been inaugurated on 15 September 2015 to facilitate services under RTGS umbrella through website (Jhr2.nic.in/rtgs). Comprehensive MIS system has been introduced through RTGS website under which all applications falling under RTGS have to be acknowledged. The record management was being done through MIS system. The reply was not tenable as it was evident from the web site that the MIS system was non-functional. However audit verified (13 October 2015) that the website did not provide services online and it only stated “coming soon”.

Recommendation

We recommend that use of IT and mobile phone applications may be developed for receiving and acknowledging citizen's request for service. This will also lead to efficiency in MIS.

### 2.4.7 Deficiencies in Service Delivery and penalty leviable for non-compliance

#### 2.4.7.1 Service Delivery

According to sections 4 and 5 of the RTGS Act, the designated officer shall provide the notified services to eligible applicants within stipulated time limits or reject the application and in cases of rejection shall record and intimate the reasons to the applicant. Further, Rule 21 of RTGS Rules, notified specific time limits for delivery of these services by designated officers. We examined records of Home, Food & Civil Supplies and Labour Employment & Training departments and noticed followings deficiencies in the delivery of services:

(i) Home Department

RTGS rules notify four services to be provided by Home Department related to police verification report for appointment, passport services, character certificate and for issue of arms license. For providing these services designated officer nominated was Thana-in-charge and seven days was the prescribed time limit for the delivery of these service. However, applications are first received in Superintendent of Police (SP) office of the concerned district and thereafter forwarded to concerned thana. The Deputy Superintendent of Police and Superintendent of Police have been notified as first appeal officer and second appellate authority under Home department.
Test-check of 57,199 applications (Police verification for passport- 53,687, Police verification report for appointment-2,041, Police verification report for character certificate-219 and Police verification for issue of Arms license-1,252) out of total 1,49,849 applications received during January 2012 to March 2015 for these four services revealed the following:

(a) Police verification report for appointment

We noticed in respect of 2,041 test checked applications, 1,814 applications (89 per cent) were delayed by two to 552 days, 36 applications (two per cent) were disposed in stipulated time and remaining 191 applications (nine per cent) were pending between 90 and 1,260 days as of June 2015. However, the delay from Thana/SP office could not be ascertained due to non-maintenance of date of despatch/receipt of application to/from Thanas in their register (except SP Office, Hazaribag) (Appendix-2.4.2).

(b) Police verification report for Passport services

Test check of 53,687 cases in respect of Passport verification revealed that 8,741 applications were verified in stipulated time of seven days. However, 44,676 applications (83 per cent) were not verified within the stipulated time. Remaining 270 applications were pending between 90 and 1,260 days as of June 2015 (Appendix-2.4.2).

(c) Police verification report for character certificate

Out of six test checked districts three SP offices (Latehar, Pakur and Palamu) had maintained the register, but necessary entries like name of applicant, date of sending application to thana, date of receiving from Thana etc. were not recorded, due to which audit could not ascertain delays from Thana/SP office. However, SP office Godda did not maintain register for this service while SP office Hazaribagh did not produce required register to audit. SP office Ranchi had maintained the register in which 219 cases were test checked. Of this, 119 applications (54 per cent) were delayed ranging from one to 73 days and 34 applications were pending between 541 days to 1260 days (Appendix-2.4.2).

(d) Police verification report for issue of arms license

Test check of 1,252 cases revealed that 933 cases were pending between 90 days to 540 days. Remaining 319 cases could not be verified due to non/improper maintenance of required register as of June 2015 (Appendix-2.4.2).

Though the first appeal officer and second appellate authority were notified under Home Department, no appeals were made by applicants despite the delays in provision of services. Even though, there were delay in delivery of services in 46,609 cases but, concerned citizens had not appealed before the appellate authorities. This could be for reasons of lack of awareness of appeal procedure among the people.

While accepting the facts, the Deputy SPs at Ranchi, Pakur and Palamu stated that delays in delivery of services were due to delay in police verification by the concerned Thana, shortage of man power and engagement of personnel in maintaining law and order. The Deputy SPs of Ranchi and Palamu assured clearance of pending cases, while the former stated that the seven days target
for delivery of services was unrealistic as the verification requests received in SP offices are forwarded to respective Thana.

The Government stated (October 2015) that the response in this regard had been sought from the concerned department. Further, the Secretary, Nodal Department stated (October 2015) during exit conference that shortage of manpower was the main constraint for delivery of services in time.

Further, audit observed the following irregularities in maintenance of prescribed registers in the test checked offices under Home Department:

- Though the SPs and concerned Police Stations (Thanas) under Home Department maintained the Registers for delivery of the four services but we observed that the date of receipt of applications and due date of disposal were not recorded except in SP office, Hazaribagh.

- SP Office, Godda did not maintain register for the service of issue of character verification report.

- In SP office Palamu, we observed that in 3,044 applications received between January and December 2013, for police verification for appointments to a job, only diary number was entered in the Register, whereas name, address and other details of applicants were not recorded in it. Thus, the adherence to the time schedule as per rule could not be verified in audit.

The designated officers accepted the audit findings and stated that due to shortage of manpower and engagement of officials in maintaining law and order the required registers were not maintained and assured to maintain required registers in future.

The Government stated (October 2015) that the response in this regard has been sought from the concerned department. Further, the Secretary, Nodal Department stated (October 2015) during exit conference that shortage of manpower was the main constraint for delivery of services on time.

(ii) Food and Civil Supplies Department

In Food and Civil Supplies Department, 625 applications were received in the six test-checked Sub-Divisional Offices (SDOs) during 2012-15 for services of issue of licences for ration shop and revocation/cancellation of ration shop license. The time for issue of licences for ration shop was 30 days and revocation of cancellation of ration shop license was 90 days under the RTGS Rule. We test-checked 211 applications out of 625 applications and noticed 65 cases (31 per cent) of delays in disposal of services ranging from seven to 1,008 days (Appendix-2.4.3). Though the Deputy Commissioner and Divisional Commissioner have been notified as first appeal officer and second appellate authority under Food & Civil Supplies Department, no appeals were made by applicants despite the delays in provision of services. This shows that the beneficiaries were unaware of the provision of appeal as the department had failed to generate sufficient awareness.

No application for issue of new ration card was received in any of test-checked office over the last 3 years. Further, in SDO, Ranchi, six cases of revocation of cancellation of ration shop license service and one case of issue

---

3 Register related to issue of character certificate for service, diary no. 6801 to 9845.
of license for ration shop were neither disposed off nor rejected (as of June 2015) even after lapse of four to 17 months.

In reply, designated officers stated that the services could not be rendered in time due to non-availability of separate staff for these services, code of conduct for election and engagement of officials in other important works. However, the Government stated (October 2015) that responses in this regard had been sought from the concerned offices of the department. Further, the Secretary, Nodal Department stated (October 2015) during exit conference that shortage of manpower was the main constraint for delivery of services on time.

We further noticed that except in office of SDO Sadar, Ranchi, no registers for receipt and disposal of applications for services were maintained in any of the test checked offices. In reply, the SDOs stated that the register in the prescribed format would be maintained.

(iii) Labour, Employment and Training Department

We test-checked 594 applications out of 5,732 applications received during 2012-15 for rendering six services for issue of (i) certificate under Building Construction workers Act; (ii) certificate under Contract Labour Act; (iii) certificate under Motor Transport Employees Act; (iv) certificate under Regulation of Bidi and Cigar Workers Act; (v) registration certificate for Shop and Establishment; and (vi) licenses for Industrial Establishment under Dal mill/Aataa chakki factory Act 1948. We noticed that 573 cases (97 per cent) were serviced in time and there were delays ranging between 3 and 234 days in 21 cases in three services (Appendix-2.4.4). No application was received for issue of certificate under Inter State Migration Labour Act over the last three years.

The Assistant Labour Commissioner and Deputy Labour Commissioner were notified as first appeal officer and second appellate authority under Labour, Employment and Training Department for six services and Deputy Chief Inspector of Factories and Chief Inspector of Factories for the service “Licenses for Industrial Establishment under Dal mill/Aataa chakki factory Act 1948”. However, no appeal was made by any applicant for delay in delivery of services.

The Government stated (October 2015) that the response in this regard has been sought from the concerned department. Further, the Secretary, Nodal Department stated (October 2015) during exit conference that shortage of manpower was the main constraint for delivery of services on time.

Further, the Registers for Receipt and Disposal of applications for services were not maintained in the prescribed format in any of the offices. Only copy of challans\(^4\) and certificate of license were found in the file of disposed cases. In reply the designated officers stated that the register in the prescribed format would be maintained.

\(^4\) Document in support of deposit of fee in treasury.
2.4.7.2 No appeal against delayed service delivery

As per Para 6 of the RTGS Act, any person who is not provided service within the stipulated time limit may file an appeal to the first appeal officer within thirty days from the date of rejection of application or the expiry of stipulated time limit and similarly before the second appellate authority officer within sixty days of decision of the first appeal officer. As per Para 7 of the RTGS Act, the second appellate authority, if it is of the opinion that a designated officer has failed or delayed in providing a service without sufficient and reasonable cause, may impose penalty up to a maximum of ₹ 5,000.

Even though, there were delay in delivery of services in 46,695 cases (Home: 46,609 cases, LE&T: 21 cases and FCS: 65 cases), concerned citizens had not appealed before the appellate authorities. This could be for reasons of lack of awareness of appeal procedure among the people.

The Government stated (October 2015) that the response in this regard had been sought from the concerned department.

2.4.7.3 Non-authorisation of manpower for delivering the services

According to Rule 3 of the RTGS Rules, the designated officer was empowered to authorise/nominate a subordinate official for receiving applications and issuing acknowledgement. We observed that no designated officials had been nominated in the test checked offices. During the period of audit, 1,56,206 applications were received for delivery of services during 2012-15, but their acknowledgements were not issued as designated official had not been nominated.

The designated officers stated (May and June 2015) that officials would be nominated as per the RTGS Rules upon obtaining directions from the department.

In reply, the Government stated (October 2015) that requests for additional manpower would be arranged.

Recommendation

We recommend the stipulated time frame for rendering services may be reviewed given the conditions on the ground and feedback from designated offices, while arranging for requisite manpower in order to meet the target laid down in the Rules.

2.4.8 Public Sensitisation and Awareness generation

According to Rule 18 (1) of RTGS Rules, the State Government is to sensitise and create awareness among the citizens by organising public awareness programmes, especially for deprived sections of the society. Rule 18 (3) further stipulated that the Government should ensure widespread promulgation of notified services, time limit for delivery and process of submission of application. As per Rule 7, the designated officer shall display RTGS related information, documentation requirement, procedure for appeal etc. on a notice board for the convenience of the citizens. Nodal Department also issued instructions (December 2012 and January 2013) to concerned departments for placement of hoardings at offices indicating the name of notified services, Designated Officer, time limit for disposal of services etc.
Audit noticed that:

- The notified services and their time limit for delivery were never published by the nodal department in print media except once in November 2011 after the enactment of the Act/notification of Rules as of June 2015.

- Notice boards/hoardings relating to RTGS Act were not displayed in 25 out of 33 test checked offices. Further, in none of the eight offices where notices/hoardings were present, the mandatory documents required for each service displayed.

Poor public awareness about the appellate provisions of the Act was evident since not a single appeal was filed by any applicant against delay/non-delivery of service in the test-checked offices even after delay in delivery of services which went up to 1,008 days.

The district level offices while accepting the audit observation stated that due to lack of funds for awareness generation and sensitization among citizens through print/electronic media, placement of hoardings and establishment of contact points etc. could not be done.

In reply, the Government stated (October 2015) that hoardings were displayed outside offices pertaining to RTGS and series of advertisements had been published in newspapers. The reply of the Government was not tenable as notice boards or hoardings relating to RTGS Act were not displayed in 25 out of 33 test checked offices. The Secretary, Nodal Department assured (October 2015) during exit conference that colourful advertisements would be published at regular intervals.

**Recommendation**

We recommend creation of appellate institutions as per provision of the Act and spread of public awareness for achieving the objectives of the Act.

### 2.4.9 Training and Capacity Development

**Failure to organize training programmes**

According to Rule 18 (4) of the RTGS Rules, the Designated Officer and Appellate Authorities are required to be trained in respect of their duties prescribed under the RTGS Rules.

Scrutiny of records at Nodal department revealed that only one training programme for three trainees from each district was organised (between 8 and 17 December 2011). Further, all the district nodal officers in the test-checked districts (except Pakur and Ranchi) confirmed (May and June 2015) that no training was provided to officers at any level.

Thus, capacity building under RTGS Act could not be achieved due to inadequate training efforts.

**Recommendation**

We recommend capacity building at the designated offices to overcome the existing shortfall in delivery of services to the public.

---

5  SDO Offices at Hazaribag, Latehar, Pakur, Palamu and Ranchi; Labour Superintendent Offices at Godda and Hazaribag and SP Office, Ranchi.
2.4.10 Monitoring

According to Rule 19 of RTGS Rules, the State Government must introduce a system of monitoring of services delivered for which a Deputy Collector (Up-Samaharta) was to be nominated by the Deputy Commissioner (DC) in each district. Further, Principal Secretary, Nodal department directed (March 2012, November 2013) all DCs to submit monthly reports by 15th of the next month.

Scrutiny of records revealed that although Deputy Collectors were nominated for monitoring of the services rendered under the RTGS rules there were significant arrears ranging from one to 18 months (Appendix-2.4.5) in submission of monthly reports by 23 out of 24 of them (as at January 2015). It was noticed that Deputy Collectors of Godda, Pakur and Bokaro districts had not submitted any monthly progress reports after July 2013 while Deputy Collectors Chatra, Sahibganj, East Singhbhum and Simdega districts had not done so after August 2013.

Thus, Nodal Department and District Nodal Officers had no knowledge of status of services like applications received, acknowledgement issued, services delivered in time, services not delivered in time and reasons for delays. This indicated ineffective monitoring of the Nodal Department and District Nodal Officers.

In reply the Government stated (October 2015) that the monitoring has been started through an MIS. The reply was not acceptable as it was evident from the website that the MIS system was non-functional as of October 2015.

Recommendation

We recommend strengthening of the monitoring mechanism in order that it will lead to accountability of officials.

2.4.11 Notification of Additional services

We noticed that after initial notification of 54 services, no service has been added to the list of services under RTGS Act. Although proposals for inclusion of 39 additional services under eight departments were submitted (November 2012) to the nodal department, none were considered for inclusion under Right to Services (Appendix-2.4.6).

In reply, the Government accepted the fact and stated (October 2015) that notification for additional services would be done within a short period.

2.4.12 Conclusion and Recommendations

The implementation of RTGS Act in the State was slow as institutional arrangements for implementation of the Act were inadequate. Government did not provide staff or fund for rendering the services under the Act.

- We observed 46,695 cases of delayed provision of services in the test-checked offices which defeated the objectives of the Act/Rule to provide rights based public services to the citizens of the State within a stipulated time.

We recommend development of IT application and use of mobile phones for receiving and acknowledging applications for the services for timely delivery. This will also lead to efficiency in MIS.
• No appeal was made by any applicant even after delay in delivery of services. As adequate publicity of the provisions of the Act and Rules was not made, people were unaware of their right to delivery of notified services within a specific time frame.

We recommend sensitising the public to make them aware of their rights.

• In the absence of requisite record keeping, assessment and monitoring of rendering the services were not being done. Further, the Nodal Department as well as District Nodal Officer did not have any consolidated data of applications received, services rendered in time, delayed cases and reasons thereof. Thus, the implementation of RTGS Act/Rule was unsatisfactory.

We recommend capacity building and use of IT application/MIS to overcome the present inadequacies in delivery of services to the public.

• The objective of enforcing accountability of public officials as per the provisions of the Act could not be achieved so far as services to the public remained largely on paper as no action has been taken on the accountable officials in case of delay in provision of services.

We recommend strengthening of monitoring mechanism so as to enforce accountability on the officials.
Executive Summary

Audit of the ‘Functioning of the Building Construction Department (BCD)’ covering the period from 2008-09 to 2010-11 was included in the CAG’s Audit Report (Civil and Commercial) for the year ended 31st March 2011. Based on the findings, the Audit had made recommendations which were accepted by the State Government for implementation. A Follow up Audit was conducted covering the period 2012-13 to 2014-15 to assess whether the BCD had implemented the accepted audit recommendations and adequately addressed the deficiencies with remedial measures.

The outcomes of follow up audit are as follows:

- Though the Government had accepted audit recommendation that perspective/annual plan of the department be prepared after preparation of a database by conducting a survey regarding requirement of buildings. We noticed in follow up audit that the Government had neither conducted the survey of government buildings nor prepared a database. As such, the accepted recommendation was not implemented.

  (Paragraph 2.5.5)

- Despite acceptance of the recommendation that all works would be executed invariably after prior accordance of technical sanction (TS), we noticed in audit that all departmental works were executed after prior accordance of TS except 81 deposit works which were executed on model estimate without obtaining TS. Thus, the accepted recommendation was partially implemented.

  (Paragraph 2.5.6)

- Despite Government acceptance of recommendation that assurances from administrative departments for sufficiency of fund and availability of clear sites for carrying out deposit works would be obtained prior to taking them up, it was observed in follow up audit that such assurances were not obtained resulting in 18 works remaining incomplete due to paucity of fund (11 works) and non-availability of clear sites (seven works).

  (Paragraph 2.5.7)

- Although the Department accepted the audit recommendation to prepare systematic schedule of inspection of Superintending Engineer (SE)/ Chief Engineer (CE)/ Engineer-in-Chief (E-in-C) to monitor the progress of works yet it was noticed in follow up audit that against the norms of 48 inspections of Divisions, only two inspections were carried out by SE. Further, the CE stated that inspection of circles/division were carried out as per norms, however, no inspection report issued by the CE could be shown to audit to establish such claim. Thus, periodic inspection of the divisions and works were not carried out as per norms.

  (Paragraph 2.5.8)
2.5.1 Introduction

Audit of the ‘Functioning of the Building Construction Department covering the period from 2008-09 to 2010-11 was conducted between April and July 2011 by scrutinising records of the Secretariat, the offices of the Chief Engineer (CE), Circles and eight selected divisions\(^1\), findings of which were included in the CAG’s Audit Report (Civil and Commercial) for the year ended 31 March 2011, Government of Jharkhand. The Report was laid in the State Legislature in September 2012.

The highlights of audit findings were as follows:

- The planning process of the department was not in accordance with the codal provisions;
- Financial management was deficient as there were persistent savings and unnecessary supplementary grants during 2008-11;
- Works were executed without according technical sanctions;
- There were abnormal delays of up to 13 and 34 months respectively to finalisation of tenders and execution of agreements. Under the Plan head, an amount of ₹ 5.15 crore spent on incomplete works proved unfruitful;
- There were cases of irregular grant of time extension and execution of works without inviting tenders in newspapers;
- Establishment charges totalling ₹ 4.88 crore were not levied on other departments against the execution of deposit works;
- There was shortage of technical and non-technical manpower in the department; and
- Periodic inspections of the divisions and sub-divisions were not conducted by the Superintending Engineers.

Based on the above findings, Audit made the following recommendations which were accepted (October 2011) by the State Government for implementation:

(i) A survey should be conducted to prepare a database regarding requirement of buildings, on the basis of which perspective/annual plans should be drawn up;

(ii) Technical sanctions should invariably be accorded prior to the execution of any work/scheme. For deposit works, assurances from the respective departments for sufficient provision of funds in cases of variations in quantities of works and availability of clear sites should be taken prior to taking them up; and

(iii) Systematic schedule of inspections of Superintending Engineer (SE)/Chief Engineer (CE)/Engineer-in-Chief (E-in-C) should be prepared to monitor the progress of works.

\(^1\) Bokaro, Dumka, Godda, Jamshedpur, Ramgarh, Ranchi I, Ranchi II and Simdega.
2.5.2 Audit objective

The objective of the Follow up Audit is to assess whether the Building Construction Department (BCD) implemented the accepted audit recommendations and adequately addressed the deficiencies pointed out in audit and took remedial measures.

2.5.3 Audit criteria

The main criteria of the follow up audit were the Audit Recommendations accepted by the State Government.

2.5.4 Scope and Methodology of Audit

The Follow up Audit covered the period 2012-13 to 2014-15 and was conducted between May and June 2015. Audit was carried out in eight2 (two divisions from each circle) out of 26 divisions that were selected for test check through Simple Random Sampling without Replacement method. Records in the offices of the Secretariat, CE and SEs were also examined. An entry conference was held with the Secretary, BCD on 27 April 2015 wherein the audit objective, methodology and coverage were discussed. An exit conference was also held on 19th November 2015 with the Secretary of the Department to discuss the significant audit findings and recommendations. Reply of the Government (October 2015) has been suitably incorporated in the Report.

Audit findings

2.5.5 Non-implementation of recommendation for preparing database

Database of existing or of required buildings was not prepared

Audit had observed in 2011 that after creation of the State, neither had the department asked for the annual budget estimates nor had the CE prepared the same for the works under his control. It was also found that no such annual budget estimates/plans were prepared by the divisions and sent to the department through CE, instead individual works were proposed by the divisions for approval of the department.

Based on the above findings, Audit had recommended that a survey should be conducted to prepare a database of existing residential and non-residential buildings and for requirement of new buildings, on the basis of which perspective/annual plans should be drawn. This was accepted by the Government for implementation.

In the Follow-up Audit, we observed that the department neither issued any instructions to its divisions for conducting survey nor undertook the same on its own. Scrutiny of records of eight test checked divisions also revealed that neither any survey was conducted by the divisions for preparing a database of existing residential and non-residential buildings nor for the requirement of new buildings to facilitate preparation of a perspective/annual plan done. The plans were continued to be made based on requisition from user offices and

---

The department did not conduct survey of government buildings for preparing a database to facilitate preparation of perspective/annual plan.

---

2 Chotanagpur Circles- Jamshedpur and Ranchi I, Circle II Ranchi- Daltonganj and Ranchi II, Dumka Circle- Deoghar and Godda, Hazaribag Circle- Bokaro and Koderma.
priority fixed by Deputy Commissioners (DCs)/Department. Thus, the accepted audit recommendation was not implemented.

The Government accepted the facts and stated (October 2015) that instructions would be issued to all EEs to prepare database of existing buildings.

### 2.5.6 Partial implementation of recommendation for granting technical sanction

**Technical sanctions not accorded for construction works prior to their execution.**

Audit had observed in 2011 that in 58 works in the eight selected divisions technical sanction (TS) had not been obtained before their commencement. As detailed estimates were not sanctioned, it could not be ensured whether the works, though completed, were structurally sound, as the possibility of sub-standard works could not be ruled out. It also resulted in variations in different components of works as compared to the components of the original estimates, revisions in the estimates, increase in cost and finally, delays in completion of the works. Accordingly, audit recommended that TS should invariably be accorded prior to the execution of any work/scheme which was accepted by the Government.

In order to get an assurance that the accepted recommendation has been implemented, we reviewed 331 out of 3039 works taken up for construction during the period 2012-15 in the eight selected divisions. It was noticed that 250 works were taken up for construction after obtaining TS while 81 works were executed only on the basis of model estimates and without obtaining TS. All these 81 works were deposit works. The details of such works are given in Appendix-2.5.1.

The Government replied (October 2015) that every construction work in the last three years was executed only after according of TS. Every allotment order also contains instructions to execute the work only after TS has been accorded. The reply was partially acceptable as all departmental works were taken up after obtaining TS, but 81 test checked deposit works were executed without obtaining TS. In the exit conference the Secretary of the department assured that instructions will be issued that deposit work will also be executed only after obtaining TS.

Thus, the accepted audit recommendation was partially implemented.

### 2.5.7 Non-implementation of recommendation to ensure sufficiency of funds and availability of clear sites

Non-obtaining of assurances from administrative departments for sufficiency of funds and availability of clear sites for carrying out Deposit works

Audit observed in 2011 that eight deposit works had remained incomplete after incurring an expenditure of ₹ 14.73 crore against estimated cost of ₹ 28.16 crore in Dumka, Jamshedpur and Ramgarh divisions due to paucity of

---

 Deposit works in 81 numbers were executed without obtaining technical sanction.

3 Bokaro, Daltonganj, Deoghar, Godda, Jamshedpur, Koderma, Ranchi I and Ranchi II
funds because BCD had not taken assurance of availability of funds from the user departments prior to commencement of the works.

Based on the above findings, audit had recommended that for deposit works, assurances from the respective departments for sufficient provision of funds in case of variations in quantities of works and availability of clear sites should be taken prior to taking them up.

Audit reviewed (May-June 2015) the implementation of the above recommendation in 182 out of 414 Deposit Works undertaken during 2012-15 in eight selected divisions. It was noticed that in none of the works, was assurance from the concerned department obtained before their commencement. This resulted in stoppage of 11 works due to paucity of funds. In these works expenditure of ₹8.44 crore had been incurred, which was blocked (Appendix-2.5.2). Besides, seven works got stuck midway due to non-availability of clear sites in spite of availability of fund (₹1.16 crore) as detailed in Appendix-2.5.3.

Audit further observed that BCD issued an instruction in May 2015 to refund the money received for Deposit works to concerned departments if clear sites were not made available to the concerned divisions. However, compliance of the instruction was not made till conclusion of audit (June 2015).

The Government accepted the facts and stated (October 2015) that all the administrative departments had been requested to follow the instruction.

Thus, the implementation of the accepted recommendation was not ensured by BCD.

2.5.8 Non implementation of recommendation for inspection

Absence of systematic schedule of inspection of SE/CE for ensuring monitoring of the progress of works in the division.

Audit had observed in 2011 that inspection by SE was done only once in three years in one out of eight test checked divisions and no report had been sent to the CE as prescribed. Further, the CE neither inspected his subordinate offices during the last three years nor submitted any report stating the reasons thereof to the Government. It was also observed that there was no systematic schedule of inspection of ongoing works to monitor their progress. The progress of works was monitored mainly by the Secretary BCD during the monthly meeting. During 2008-11, only 14 works in five out of eight selected divisions were inspected by the higher authorities. In remaining three divisions, no work was inspected by the higher authorities.

Based on the above findings, audit recommended that systematic schedule of inspections of SE/CE/E-in-C should be prepared to monitor the progress of works.

We reviewed (May-June 2015) the inspection status of four SEs and the CE across eight selected divisions and observed that:

---

4 Bokaro, Daltonganj, Deoghar, Godda, Jamshedpur, Koderma Ranchi I and Ranchi II
As per norms\(^5\), against 48\(^6\) inspections of the divisions, to be carried out by the SEs during 2012-15, only two inspections were undertaken by SE, Hazaribag and all other three SEs did not conduct any inspection of divisions (Appendix-2.5.4);

During 2012-15, SE Hazaribag and SE Dumka inspected four\(^7\) and two\(^8\) works respectively. Thus, inspection of only six works (0.20 per cent) out of total 3039 works of eight selected divisions were taken up during 2012-15 (Appendix-2.5.5);

The State level position indicated that during 2012-15 SE, Hazaribag conducted seven\(^9\) inspections of divisions under his jurisdiction during 2013-14. While the other three SEs did not inspect any division during 2012-15;

SE, Hazaribag and SE, Dumka inspected 17 and seven works, respectively during 2012-15. SEs, Ranchi I and Ranchi II however, did not carry out any inspection of works during 2012-15 (Appendix-2.5.6);

Audit also observed that the number of inspections carried out by the CE in the eight sampled divisions during 2012-15 was not on record though the CE was required to inspect each division once in two years. Although the CE stated (May 2015) that inspection of circles/divisions was carried out as per norms, however, no inspection report issued by the CE was shown to audit. The Government once again accepted the facts and stated (October 2015) that E-in-C/CE/SEs/EEs had already been instructed in September 2011 to monitor the progress of schemes within their jurisdictions.

Despite the instructions issued by the Government in 2011 neither had a schedule of inspection been prepared since nor had the Government followed up the matter to ensure that the instructions were carried out by CE and SEs.

### 2.5.9 Conclusions and Recommendation

The State Government:

- failed to ensure implementation of the accepted recommendation to conduct a survey of existing government buildings in order to prepare a database on which annual plans should have been prepared;
- could only partially implement the recommendation regarding commencement of works only after their technical sanctions. In respect of deposit works, it was found that works were taken up on the basis of model estimate without technical sanctions;

---

5 SE would inspect each division in every six months and also inspect the state of various works.
6 Eight division at the rate of two times in a year = 16 times i.e. in three years 16x3 = 48 numbers of inspection
7 Inspection of three out of 233 works of Bokaro and one out of 98 works of Koderma division.
8 Inspection of two out of 165 works of Godda division and nil out of 145 works of Deoghar division.
9 Inspection of Bokaro, Chatra, Dhanbad, Giridih, Hazaribag, Koderma and Ramgarh only once during 2012-15.
• failed to implement the recommendation that assurances would be sought from respective departments for availability of sufficient funds and clear sites in cases of deposit works;

• failed to ensure implementation of accepted recommendation to prepare a systematic schedule of inspection of divisions and works by SE/CE/E-in-C as only two inspection were done against the prescribed 48 inspections.

The Government should ensure implementation of its orders issued based on audit recommendations relating to Functioning of the Building Construction Department that were accepted by the Government in September 2011.