

Appendices



Appendix 1.1
Important Government schemes dealing with
Empowerment of Women and gender equality as on 31 March 2015
(Reference: Paragraph no. 1.1: page 2)

Sl. No.	Sector	Components	Schemes/Legislation
1.	Social Empowerment	1. Nutrition	1. ICDS 2. KSY 3. SABLA
		2. Health	1. NHM (JSY, MDR and Family Planning)
		3. Education	1. Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan 2. Mid-Day Meal Scheme
2.	Economic Empowerment	1. Self Help Group 2. Skill development 3. Income generation 4. Employment	1. Swarn Jayanti Shahri Rojgar Yojana 2. Swarn Jayanti Gram Swarojgar Yojana 3. MNREGA 4. Support to Training and Employment Programme (STEP) 5. KSY 6. SABLA
3.	Violence/crime against women/ girls	Legal framework.	1. PC-PNDT Act, 1994 2. MTP Act, 1971 3. ITP Act, 1956 4. Child Marriage Restraint Act 5. Registration of Marriage 6. Laws relating to sexual assault 7. Importation of girls, kidnapping and abduction 8. Uttar Pradesh Victim Compensation Scheme 2014 9. Financial assistance and Support Services to the Victims of Rape.
4.	Support Schemes for women/girl victims of crime/ violence	1. Shelter Homes for women and girls in destitute. 2. Rehabilitation and repatriation of trafficked women/ girls. 3. Rehabilitation of sex workers.	1. <i>Ujjawala</i> Scheme 2. <i>Swadhar Greh</i> Scheme

(Source: Data provided by the Departments)

Appendix 3.1

Roles and functions of various authorities under the PC-PNDT Act

(Reference: Paragraph no. 3.1.2; page 13)

Sl. No.	Authority	Roles	Functions
1.	Central Supervisory Board (CSB)	Supervision	Advises on policy matters, reviews and oversees implementation of the Act.
2.	State Supervisory Board (SSB)	Supervision	Creates awareness about the Act, reviews Appropriate Authority's activities, monitors implementation and sends reports to CSB.
3.	State Appropriate Authority (SAA)	Implementation of the Act at State level	To grant, suspend or cancel registration of USG Centres; to enforce standards prescribed for USG centres; to investigate complaints of breach of the provisions of this Act or the rules made thereunder and take immediate action on complaints for suspension or cancellation of registration.
4.	State Advisory Committee (SAC)	Assisting SAA	To aid and advise the Appropriate Authority in the discharge of its functions.
5.	State Inspection and Monitoring Committee (SIMC)	Surprise Visits to USG centres	Conducts surprise visits to ultrasound centres; check their compliances, records, facilitate the process of search and seizure by the District Appropriate Authorities within the State.
6.	District Appropriate Authority (DAA)	Implementation of the Act at district level	Implements the Act at the district level, registers ultrasound clinics/hospitals, inspects them, investigates complaints and files court complaints.
7.	District Advisory Committee (DAC)	Assisting DAA	Serves as an advisory to the DAA in implementing the Act, offers advice regarding registration of clinics, inspections and court complaints.
8.	Sub-district Appropriate Authority	Implementation of the Act at Tehsil level	Implements the Act at the sub-district level, registers clinics, inspects clinics, investigates complaints and files court complaints.
9.	Sub-district Advisory Committee	Assisting Sub-district Appropriate Authority	Serves as an advisory to the sub-district Appropriate Authority in implementing the Act, offers advice regarding registration of ultrasound centres, inspections and court complaints.

(Source: PC-PNDT Act and Rules)

Appendix 3.2

Allotment of fund to the State from GoI under NHM for implementation of PC-PNDT Act

(Reference: Paragraph no. 3.1.3: page 14)

(₹ in Lakh)

Sl. No.	Year	Proposed	Allotment	Expenditure	Shortage in allotment against proposed (in per cent)	Shortage in expenditure against allotment (in per cent)
1.	2010-11	202.13	180.16	84.96	11	46
2.	2011-12	205.55	47.35	51.86	77	-9
3.	2012-13	244.10	222.65	125.83	14	41
4.	2013-14	1,373.92	258.58	123.49	81	52
5.	2014-15	1,041.99	2,327.31 ¹	1,144.08	--	--
Total² (2010-14)		2,025.70	708.74	386.14		

(Source: PIP of National Health Mission)

Appendix 3.3

Details of expenditure incurred on various PC-PNDT activities under NHM during 2010-15

(Reference: Paragraph no. 3.1.3; page 14)

(₹ in Lakh)

Sl. No.	Year	PNDT activities & Training / Mobility Support		PNDT Cell		IEC	
		Budget allotted	Expenditure	Budget allotted	Expenditure	Budget allotted	Expenditure
1.	2010-11	0.00	0.00	50.53	38.96	129.63	46.00
2.	2011-12	41.23	7.68	6.12	5.25	0.00	38.93
3.	2012-13	12.70	2.68	21.70	12.29	188.25	110.86
4.	2013-14	52.76	38.30	205.82	69.05	0.00	16.14
5.	2014-15	139.93	27.11	154.42	63.56	2023.64 ³	1046.14
Total		246.62	75.77	438.59	189.11		

(Source: PIP of National Health Mission)

¹ Separate figures for this component for 2014-15 was not made available to audit.

² Calculated for 2010-14 only as Separate figures for allotment under this component for 2014-15 was not made available to audit.

³ Composite allotment for various IEC activities under NHM

Appendix 3.4

Amount received and utilised at district level

(Reference: Paragraph no. 3.1.3; page 14)

(₹ in lakh)

Year	Opening Balance	Receipt	Total Available	Expenditure	Closing Balance	Percentage utilised
2010-11	15.53	3.06	18.60	0.50	18.09	2.69
2011-12	18.09	15.26	33.36	0.06	33.29	0.18
2012-13	33.29	16.69	49.98	0.02	49.96	0.03
2013-14	49.96	48.56	98.52	0.05	98.47	0.05
2014-15	98.47	110.05	208.52	0.88	207.64	0.42
Total		193.62		1.51		0.78

(Source: Information provided by CMOs of test checked districts)

Appendix 3.5

Registration/renewals of ultrasound centres (2010-15)

(Reference: Paragraph no. 3.1.4.1; page 15)

Sl. No.	Districts	Delay in registration		Delay in renewals	
		No. of cases	Delay ranging (in days)	No. of cases	Delay ranging (in days)
1.	Agra	06	11-104	Nil	Nil
2.	Ambedkar Nagar	Form H not maintained		02	26 to 132 days
3.	Allahabad	Form H not maintained		70	December 2011 onwards
4.	Azamgarh	Not furnished			
5.	Bareilly	Nil	NA	01	52 days
6.	Banda	Form H not maintained			
7.	Bulandshahar	Nil	NA	Form H not properly maintained	
8.	Deoria	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
9.	Firozabad	Form H not properly maintained			
10.	Gorakhpur	20	Form H not maintained	57	Date not mentioned
11.	Hardoi	Form H not maintained			
12.	Jhansi	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
13.	Meerut	Form H not properly maintained			
14.	Pratapgarh	Form H not maintained			
15.	Sant Kabir Nagar	Form H not maintained			
16.	Saharanpur	Form H not maintained, undated applications were entertained.			
17.	Sitapur	Form H not properly maintained			
18.	Sultanpur	06	May,2012	08	329-1,490
19.	Unnao	Form H not properly maintained			
20.	Varanasi	Form H properly maintained			
Total		32	11-104 days, May 2012	138	26-1490 days, December 2011 onwards

(Source: Information provided by CMOs of test-checked districts)

Appendix 3.6

Joint Physical Inspection of 100 USG centres

(Reference: Paragraph no. 3.1.4.2; page 16)

Sl. No.	District	No. of centres inspected	No. of Form F / cases checked	Referral slip attached	No. of cases with incomplete Form F	No. of Forms details of procedure conducted not mentioned
1.	Agra	05	66	10	25	25
2.	Ambedkar Nagar	05	20	18	8	03
3.	Allahabad	05	38	26	17	17
4.	Azamgarh	05	50	50	0	0
5.	Bareilly	05	115	25	21	21
6.	Banda	05	105	40	65	0
7.	Bulandshahr	05	50	50	0	0
8.	Deoria	05	Nil	50	0	0
9.	Firozabad	05	50	50	0	0
10.	Gorakhpur	05	48	0	48	22
11.	Hardoi	05	334	15	05	324
12.	Jhansi	05	50	50	0	0
13.	Meerut	05	50	50	0	0
14.	Pratapgarh	05	169	03	166	133
15.	Sant Kabir Nagar	05	33	24	27	0
16.	Saharanpur	05	579	0	579	565
17.	Sitapur	05	50	50	0	0
18.	Sultanpur	05	30	0	0	0
19.	Unnao	05	50	50	0	0
20.	Varanasi	05	50	50	0	0
Total		100	1,937	611	961	1,110

(Source: JPI of test-checked districts)

Appendix 3.7

Maintenance of records in the test checked districts (2010-15)

(Reference: Paragraph no. 3.1.4.3 & 3.1.4.11; page 18 & 21)

Sl. No.	Name of the District	No. of centres registered as on Mar, 15 (Under section 18 & 19 Of PCPNDT Act)	No. of inspection report issued (Sec 18 A Rule PNDT Rules, 2014)	Form-F {Record with respect to patients subjected to diagnostic procedure to be maintained by USG centres} Not Maintained (Rule 9 PCPNDT Rules, 1996)	Referral slip (Doctors prescription for prenatal diagnostics) not attached (Rule9(6) of PNDT Rules, 1996)	Reasons for Diagnostic mentioned in Form-F (Rule 9 of Rules, 1996)	Show cause notice issued to USG Centers (U/s 20,PC PNDT Act)	Legal action initiated for contravention of the Act (U/s 20, 22, 23 & 25 of the PCPNDT Act,1994)	Total no of centres at default
1.	Agra	287	Nil	47	NA	Yes	Nil	03	47
2.	Ambedkar Nagar	21	40	21	NA	NA	NA	Nil	21
3.	Allahabad	187	Nil	33	NA	NA	NA	NA	33
4.	Azamgarh	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
5.	Bareilly	147	Not maintained	Not maintained	90	Yes	120	06	0
6.	Banda	14	Nil	02	65	Yes	Nil	Nil	02
7.	Bulandshahr	67	NA	0	Nil	Yes	0	NA	67
8.	Deoria	38	Nil	Not maintained	Nil	No	Nil	03	38
9.	Firozabad	37	NA	37	20	Yes	2	NA	37
10.	Gorakhpur	214	NA	NA	NA	NA	Nil	06	214
11.	Hardoi	31	32	11	NA	Yes	Nil	01	11
12.	Jhansi	61	Nil	Nil	Nil	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil
13.	Meerut	170	NA	0	NA	NA	86	14	170
14.	Pratapgarh	58	NA	NA	169	Yes	NA	NA	58
15.	Sant Kabir Nagar	17	NA	13	54	Yes	NA	NA	13
16.	Saharanpur	107	NA	29	565	28	Nil	02	29
17.	Sitapur	33	58	33	20	Yes	Nil	NA	33
18.	Sultanpur	36	NA	36	50	Yes	13	NA	36
19.	Unnao	20	NA	0	NA	Yes	NA	NA	20
20.	Varanasi	107	NA	NA	NA	Yes	NA	NA	107
Total		1,652	130	262	1,033	28	221	35	936

(Source: Information provided by CMOs, test-checked districts)

Appendix 3.8

Number of Ultrasound centres register and inspection conducted by DAA

(Reference: Paragraph no. 3.1.4.4; page 18)

Sl. No.	Year	No of registered centres (Genetic Counselling Centre, Genetic Laboratory and Genetic Clinic and others)	No. of inspections due	No. of inspections conducted	Percentage of inspection conducted
1.	2010-11	3,639	NA	892	
2.	2011-12	4,349	NA	893	
3.	2012-13	4,430	NA	2,567	
4.	2013-14	4,545	NA	3,476	
5.	2014-15	4,622	18,488	4,681	25

(Source: Information provided by Directorate, Family Welfare)

Appendix 3.9

Statement of inspections of registered centres during 2010-15

(Reference: Paragraph no. 3.1.4.4; page 18)

Sl. No.	District	Inspections				
		2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
1.	Agra	18	70	102	33	52
2.	Ambedkar Nagar	-	-	22	10	08
3.	Allahabad	-	-	-	-	195
4.	Azamgarh	-	-	-	-	-
5.	Bareilly	-	-	135	47	218
6.	Banda	13	07	24	11	17
7.	Bulandshahr	16	31	71	62	69
8.	Deoria	-	-	40	34	33
9.	Firozabad	-	-	72	42	59
10.	Gorakhpur	-	-	-	57	251
11.	Hardoi	-	-	29	31	35
12.	Jhansi	-	-	-	48	89
13.	Meerut	-	-	126	38	102
14.	Pratapgarh	41	41	47	58	93
15.	Sant Kabir Nagar	-	-	23	09	42
16.	Saharanpur	-	59	216	42	52
17.	Sitapur	-	-	-	60	58
18.	Sultanpur	-	-	23	25	35
19.	Unnao	-	-	-	26	20
20.	Varanasi	-	-	56	56	133
Total		88	208	986	689	1561

(Source: Information provided by CMOs of test-checked districts)

Appendix 3.10

Decisions/discussions in meetings at various levels not followed

(Reference: Paragraph no. 3.1.5.1; page 22)

Sl. No.	Date	Decisions
A. State Supervisory Board		
1.	06.04.2011	District Appropriate Authorities were directed to analyze Form F.
		Centres against which court cases were pending for contravention of the PNDT Act may be inspected and regularly followed up.
		District Advisory committees may meet regularly.
2.	29.10.2012	A Toll free phone line may be developed to register complaints.
		Meetings may be arranged with manufacturers/dealers of ultrasound machines.
		Tracking of all those women who were already having two or more girl child and had done ultrasound procedure may be initiated.
		Regular inspections of ultrasound centres may be ensured.
3.	16.3.2013	Meetings with medical practitioners and community may be arranged in every two months in each district.
4.	03.07.2013	Monthly reports by registered centres may be analyzed and all those centres who had not sent their monthly report for three consecutive months may be considered as unregistered and appropriate action may be taken.
		Online filing of Form F by ultrasound centres may be arranged.
		Inspections of ultrasound centres may be maximized at the level of CMOs. All the centres committed breach of the provisions of the Act may be sealed and legal action may be initiated against them.
5.	30.05.2014	Public awareness were not done thus awareness programs may be initiated at large.
		All the officers who were not cooperative in implementation of the Act may be identified and action may be taken against them.
B. State Advisory Committee		
1.	18.05.2011	Advised to change the format of Form F
2.	22.02.2013	In order to stop sex selection the 12 to 20 weeks termination of pregnancies were required to be effectively monitored.
		MTP Act may also be effectively implemented, especially MTPs up to 12 to 20 weeks may be monitored. Status reports from districts may be called in this regard.
		Online filing of Form F may be established.
		Appropriate authorities of bordering districts were advised for not allowing ultrasonography without identity cards in case of patient from other States.
3.	05.06.2013	SAA was advised for effective implementation of MTP Act, especially MTPs up to 12 to 20 weeks may be monitored. Status reports from districts may be called in this regard.
		SAA was advised for making identity cards necessary for ultrasound in all the districts.
4.	08.05.2014	Details of pregnant women such as phone number, address etc. may be maintained in case of ultrasound was conducted during 12 to 20 weeks of pregnancy.
		Advised to form inspection and monitoring committee at zonal level.
		Proposal for media workshop at regional level may be included in supplementary PIP of NHM.

		Advised for verification of atleast 200 Form F by Assistant/ Additional Research Officers in each district.
5.	21.07.2014	Advised to track pregnancies which were terminated during second trimester For effective monitoring the SAC advised for nomination of different officers for first, second, third and fourth week in a month in each district of the State.
C. State Appropriate Authority		
1.	09.06.2011	Expedite inspections of ultrasound centres A checklist must be prepared for inspection. Form F must be checked during inspection. Mapping of ultrasound centres may also be ensured. A database consisting of Centre name, Medical practitioners and machines used etc. of all the ultrasound centres functioning in the district may be prepared and activities under the PCPNDT Act (inspections, registration and court cases) may be sent to Directorate, Family Welfare every month. Action may be taken against centres not maintaining mandatory records. Regular meetings of DAC may be conducted

(Source: Directorate, Family Welfare)

Appendix 3.11

District Advisory Committee (DAC) meetings in test-checked districts during 2010-15

(Reference: Paragraph no. 3.1.5.2: page 22)

Sl. No.	District	No. of meetings due to be conducted	No. of meetings conducted	Shortfall
1.	Agra	30	23	07
2.	Ambedkar Nagar		06	24
3.	Allahabad		14	16
4.	Azamgarh		NA	NA
5.	Bareilly		15	15
6.	Banda		19	11
7.	Bulandshahr		14	16
8.	Deoria		18	12
9.	Firozabad		05	25
10.	Gorakhpur		07	23
11.	Hardoi		06	24
12.	Jhansi		07	23
13.	Meerut		13	17
14.	Pratapgarh		20	10
15.	Sant Kabir Nagar		07	23
16.	Saharanpur		11	19
17.	Sitapur		06	24
18.	Sultanpur		11	19
19.	Unnao		12	18
20.	Varanasi		17	13

(Source: Information provided by CMOs of test-checked districts)

Appendix 3.12
Allotment of fund under NHM for implementation
and monitoring of MTP Act

(Reference: Paragraph no. 3.2.2: page 25)

(₹ in lakh)

Sl. No.	Year	Particulars	Budget approved	Budget allotted	Expenditure	Excess/ savings
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	2010-11	MVA procurement	10.83	10.83	Nil	10.83
		MTP training	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
2	2011-12	Drug kits	2.00	161.80	Nil	161.80
		MVA procurement	8.66	Nil	Nil	Nil
3	2012-13	Procurement of equipment for safe abortion services	13.20	13.20	25.68	-12.48
4	2013-14	Strengthening of DLC	7.50	Nil	Nil	Nil
		Qtr meeting of DLC	15.00	15.00	1.14	13.86
		MVA /EVA procurement	21.65	21.65	5.61	16.04
5	2014-15	MTP training	11.20	22.10	1.73	20.37
		MVA /EVA procurement	10.201	711.61	70.56	641.05
		Drug kits	53.50	3,101.93	346.23	2,755.70
Total				4,058.12	450.95	

(Source: Project Implementation Plan and Financial Management Report of National Health Mission)

Appendix 3.13

Total number of registered Hospitals/ Nursing Homes under MTP Act, 1971

(Reference: Paragraph no. 3.2.4.1 & 3.2.5.3: page 26 & 27)

Sl. No.	District	No. of Registered Nursing Homes/ Hospitals under CMO & Government Hospitals (including CHC/PHC)	No. of Registered Nursing Homes/ Hospitals under MTP Act (including Govt.)	No. of Registered Nursing Homes/ Hospitals submitting monthly report
1	2	3	4	5
1	Agra	164	146	15
2	Ambedkar Nagar	14	02	02
3	Allahabad	355	32	0
4	Azamgarh	NA	NA	NA
5	Bareilly	153	61	21
6	Banda	19	01	NA
7	Bulandshahr	117	20	0
8	Deoria	50	01	01
9	Firozabad	61	04	04
10	Gorakhpur	NA	23	12
11	Hardoi	39	08	0
12	Jhansi	122	38	04
13	Meerut	306	130	0
14	Pratapgarh	0	01	0
15	Sant Kabir Nagar	24	03	0
16	Saharanpur	NA	26	NA
17	Sitapur	229	04	0
18	Sultanpur	83	01	0
19	Unnao	85	01	0
20	Varanasi	262	46	0
Total		2,083	548	59

(Source: Information provided by CMOs of test-checked districts)

Appendix 3.14

Total MTPs done in State and in test-checked districts

(Reference: Paragraph no. 3.2.4.1: page 26)

Sl. No.	District Name	Total Centres registered under MTP	Public institutions (Govt. hospitals, CHCs/ PHCs)	Private institutions	Total Number of Abortions (Spontaneous/ Induced) Reported		Total Number of MTPs (Public) reported		Percentage of MTPs (Public) to Abortions	
					2014-15	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14	2014-15	2013-14
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	Agra	146	18	NA	1,805	2,451	1,391	1,534	77.1	62.6
2	Allahabad	32	34	355	415	390	368	292	88.7	74.9
3	Ambedkar Nagar	02	9	04	94	32	88	43	93.6	134.4
4	Azamgarh	NA	NA	NA	102	116	439	251	430.4	216.4
5	Banda	01	10	10	383	957	338	531	88.3	55.5
6	Bareilly	61	19	215	596	470	382	556	64.1	118.3
7	Bulandshahr	20	33	84	1,285	1,308	1,222	1,292	95.1	98.8
8	Deoria	01	12	38	329	395	202	518	61.4	131.1
9	Firozabad	04	12	49	1,607	635	2,419	2,858	150.5	450.1
10	Gorakhpur	23	15	243	354	1,007	809	390	228.5	38.7
11	Hardoi	08	01	39	902	1,502	338	720	37.5	47.9
12	Jhansi	38	49	73	500	211	1,891	1,641	378.2	777.7
13	Meerut	130	14	292	1,155	894	622	694	53.9	77.6
14	Pratapgarh	01	01	NA	747	723	1,407	1,071	188.4	148.1
15	Saharanpur	26	03	23	1,416	1,619	1,213	1,126	85.7	69.5
16	Sant Kabir Nagar	03	03	21	272	307	131	84	48.2	27.4
17	Sitapur	04	21	208	93	361	2,446	2,438	2630.1	675.3
18	Sultanpur	01	15	68	891	314	57	245	6.4	78
19	Unnao	01	17	68	1,026	702	1,985	2,018	193.5	287.5
20	Varanasi	46	11	251	975	2,331	1,753	2,349	179.8	100.8
Total		548	297	2,041			19,501	20,651	40,152	
Total Number of MTPs in test-checked districts							40,152			
Uttar Pradesh		576	493	NA	40,546	45,012	45,803	49,130	113	109.1
Total Number of MTPs in Uttar Pradesh							94,933			

(Source: HMIS-2015 and Information provided by CMOs of test-checked districts)

Appendix 3.15

Position of District Level Committee (DLC) and status of meetings

(Reference: Paragraph no. 3.2.5.1: page 27)

Sl. No.	District	DLC constituted	Timely Reconstituted	No. of meetings held during 2010-15
1	2	3	4	5
1	Agra	Yes	NA	06
2	Ambedkar Nagar	Yes	No	02
3	Allahabad	Yes	No	07
4	Azamgarh	NA	NA	NA
5	Bareilly	Yes	NA	08
6	Banda	NA	NA	NA
7	Bulandshahr	No	NA	0
8	Deoria	Yes	NA	0
9	Firozabad	Yes	NA	0
10	Gorakhpur	No	NA	0
11	Hardoi	Yes	NA	02
12	Jhansi	Yes	NA	07
13	Meerut	Yes	NA	02
14	Pratapgarh	No	NA	0
15	Sant Kabir Nagar	Yes	NA	02
16	Saharanpur	Yes	NA	0
17	Sitapur	Yes	NA	05
18	Sultanpur	No	NA	NA
19	Unnao	Yes	NA	NA
20	Varanasi	Yes	NA	Not provided
Total		4 (Not Constituted)	14 (Not Constituted timely)	41

(Source: Information provided by CMOs of test-checked districts)

Appendix 4.1

Year-wise Allotment and Expenditure under JSY during 2010-15

(Reference: Paragraph no. 4.1.1: page 31)

(₹ in crore)

Year	Allotment	Expenditure	Balance	Unutilised fund in percent
2010-11	399.28	450.18	-50.90	-
2011-12	475.34	430.85	44.49	09
2012-13	521.22	428.02	93.20	18
2013-14	471.24	445.79	25.45	05
2014-15	513.03	441.72	71.31	14
Total	2,380.11	2,196.56	183.55	08

(Source: Financial Management Report of NHM)

Appendix 4.2

The target and achievement in institutional deliveries in test-checked districts

(Reference: Paragraph no. 4.1.2: page 32)

District	Year	Registered pregnant women	Target for institutional deliveries	Percentage of target set against registered pregnant women	Achievement of institutional deliveries	Percentage of achievement against registered pregnant women	Percentage of achievement against target
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Agra	2010-11	1,26,415	39,728	31	39,809	31	100
	2011-12	1,25,281	41,051	33	44,468	35	108
	2012-13	1,20,372	41,451	34	47,614	40	115
	2013-14	1,14,781	44,447	39	49,516	43	111
	2014-15	1,14,402	44,188	39	49,516	43	112
Allahabad	2010-11	1,43,495	40,800	28	69,816	49	171
	2011-12	1,34,122	71,955	54	68,381	51	95
	2012-13	1,36,998	78,955	58	62,248	45	79
	2013-14	1,40,992	71,615	51	64,278	46	90
	2014-15	1,31,216	72,490	55	55,586	42	77
Ambedkar Nagar	2010-11	60,195	22,281	37	37,805	63	170
	2011-12	53,736	28,973	54	26,898	50	93
	2012-13	52,599	29,293	56	21,715	41	74
	2013-14	49,333	45,379	92	25,421	52	56
	2014-15	49,484	27,369	55	26,186	53	96
Azamgarh	2010-11	1,07,385	87,355	81	54,096	50	62
	2011-12	96,503	87,355	90	50,784	53	58
	2012-13	94,633	87,355	92	46,194	49	53
	2013-14	1,08,375	87,355	81	48,284	45	55
	2014-15	1,23,580	87,355	71	61,621	50	71
Banda	2010-11	49,865	30,582	61	33,650	67	110
	2011-12	43,585	30,582	70	33,140	76	108

	2012-13	46,848	38,815	83	31,785	68	82
	2013-14	51,375	36,780	72	32,388	63	88
	2014-15	54,922	36,022	66	31,031	57	86
Bareilly	2010-11	1,07,856	-	-	30,917	29	-
	2011-12	95,897	-	-	31,164	32	-
	2012-13	99,413	65,341	66	43,728	44	67
	2013-14	96,814	44,933	46	32,249	33	72
	2014-15	98,832	41,098	42	32,246	33	78
Bulandshahr	2010-11	95,586	34,748	36	35,652	37	103
	2011-12	82,455	38,031	46	34,025	41	89
	2012-13	82,624	39,465	48	30,657	37	78
	2013-14	86,788	39,087	45	34,049	39	87
	2014-15	87,260	39,288	45	35,529	41	90
Deoria	2010-11	51,776	42,439	82	45,820	88	108
	2011-12	56,926	48,665	85	49,030	86	101
	2012-13	68,812	56,869	83	44,070	64	77
	2013-14	59,742	51,062	85	48,643	81	95
	2014-15	60,253	51,062	85	46,732	78	92
Firozabad	2010-11	69,774	-	-	23,707	34	-
	2011-12	70,634	-	-	25,140	40	-
	2012-13	62,462	29,084	43	24,036	36	83
	2013-14	67,208	31,463	45	25,471	37	81
	2014-15	69,375	31,463	27	25,334	22	81
Gorakhpur	2010-11	1,16,384	-	-	54,097	46	-
	2011-12	1,18,268	-	-	61,494	52	-
	2012-13	1,01,772	-	-	37,043	36	-
	2013-14	2,50,227	-	-	59,237	24	-
	2014-15	1,29,878	-	-	46,852	36	-
Hardoi	2010-11	1,21,726	61,371	50	62,524	51	102
	2011-12	1,08,773	61,371	56	61,188	56	100
	2012-13	1,07,093	64,929	61	53,489	50	82
	2013-14	1,71,020	64,929	38	60,845	36	94
	2014-15	1,48,420	51,249	35	59,375	40	116
Jhansi	2010-11	57,312	31,516	55	36,647	64	116
	2011-12	49,011	37,702	77	32,413	66	86
	2012-13	53,008	33,814	64	32,782	62	97
	2013-14	54,885	33,708	61	37,410	68	111
	2014-15	49,759	33,708	68	38,579	78	114
Meerut	2010-11	1,12,788	26,449	23	18,120	16	69
	2011-12	1,06,063	26,449	25	19,799	19	75
	2012-13	88,741	22,974	26	20,254	23	88
	2013-14	78,372	29,183	37	22,357	29	77
	2014-15	77,141	25,628	33	21,409	28	84
Pratapgarh	2010-11	21,927	-	-	17,886	82	-
	2011-12	73,393	-	-	40,997	56	-

	2012-13	74,163	-	-	42,769	58	-
	2013-14	81,673	-	-	41,974	51	--
	2014-15	75,856	49,164	65	37,664	50	77
Saharanpur	2010-11	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2011-12	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2012-13	75,092	31,839	42	26,295	35	83
	2013-14	1,07,456	38,653	36	27,702	26	72
	2014-15	90,324	38,653	43	28,741	32	74
Sitapur	2010-11	1,35,600	-	-	74,861	55	-
	2011-12	1,35,637	72,030	53	77,553	57	108
	2012-13	1,26,426	89,633	71	67,772	54	76
	2013-14	1,77,246	78,229	44	75,504	43	97
	2014-15	1,57,840	78,229	50	72,728	46	93
Sant Kabir Nagar	2010-11	40,254	25,147	62	31,812	79	127
	2011-12	43,595	28,408	65	32,189	74	113
	2012-13	43,808	31,249	71	29,525	67	94
	2013-14	44,458	34,022	77	33,322	75	98
	2014-15	45,480	34,721	76	31,803	70	92
Sultanpur	2010-11	93,804	58,068	62	58,880	63	101
	2011-12	55,822	46,451	83	42,228	76	91
	2012-13	46,876	46,451	99	37,714	80	81
	2013-14	54,804	41,418	76	41,596	76	100
	2014-15	53,108	41,418	78	39,934	75	96
Unnao	2010-11	86,756	32,123	37	36,333	42	113
	2011-12	77,561	39,074	50	35,342	46	90
	2012-13	69,509	40,958	59	32,858	47	80
	2013-14	82,039	37,981	46	36,964	45	97
	2014-15	78,577	42,938	55	38,246	49	89
Varanasi	2010-11	1,12,652	37,415	33	38,881	35	104
	2011-12	1,00,451	39,227	39	35,923	36	92
	2012-13	84,306	45,964	55	35,802	42	78
	2013-14	1,02,153	69,660	68	37,867	37	54
	2014-15	83,371	69,660	84	37,102	45	53
Total for 20 test-checked districts	2010-11	16,90,483	6,17,261	37	8,07,412	48	131
	2011-12	16,19,541	7,37,871	46	8,21,649	51	111
	2012-13	16,40,301	9,14,666	56	8,11,165	49	89
	2013-14	19,81,908	9,04,045	46	8,54,687	43	95
	2014-15	18,26,087	9,34,854	51	8,56,749	47	92

(Source: Information provided by CMOs of test-checked districts and HMIS data) (-- = Not available)

Appendix 4.3

Year-wise Allotment and expenditure under MDR during 2010-15

(Reference: Paragraph no. 4.2.1: page 35)

(₹ in crore)

Year	Allotment	Expenditure	Balance	Unutilised fund in per cent
2010-11	0.63	0.31	0.32	51
2011-12	0.57	0.11	0.46	81
2012-13	0.87	0.08	0.79	91
2013-14	4.42	1.06	3.36	76
2014-15	0.73	0.14	0.59	81
Total	7.22	1.70	5.52	76

(Source: Financial Management Report of NHM)

Appendix 4.4

Allotment and Expenditure under Family Planning during 2010-15

(Reference: Paragraph no. 4.3.1: page 37)

(₹ in crore)

Year	Allotment	Expenditure	Balance	Unutilised fund in per cent
2010-11	83.45	44.27	39.18	47
2011-12	79.06	28.80	50.26	64
2012-13	67.43	32.61	34.82	52
2013-14	66.29	37.34	28.95	44
2014-15	84.34	51.65	32.69	39
Total	380.57	194.67	185.90	49

(Source: Financial Management Report of NHM)

Appendix 4.5
Year wise target and achievement of limiting methods
in test-checked districts

(Reference: Paragraph no. 4.3.2: page 37)

District	Year	Vasectomy			Tubectomy		
		Target	Achievement	Percentage	Target	Achievement	Percentage
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Agra	2010-11	--	107	--	--	8,546	--
	2011-12	2,110	587	28	18,985	7,958	42
	2012-13	2,110	460	22	18,985	8,477	45
	2013-14	2,110	1,230	58	18,985	8,398	44
	2014-15	2,110	948	45	18,985	7,783	41
Allahabad	2010-11	--	501	--	--	17,053	--
	2011-12	--	866	--	--	14,873	--
	2012-13	--	688	--	--	15,015	--
	2013-14	--	654	--	--	17,810	--
	2014-15	--	845	--	--	13,248	--
Ambedkar Nagar	2010-11	--	19	--	--	2,598	--
	2011-12	--	13	--	--	1,929	--
	2012-13	--	5	--	--	2,165	--
	2013-14	--	3	--	--	2,559	--
	2014-15	--	3	--	--	2,744	--
Azamgarh	2010-11	2,308	78	--	20,777	8,826	--
	2011-12	2,308	24	--	20,777	8,308	--
	2012-13	2,308	9	--	20,777	7,721	--
	2013-14	2,308	1	--	20,777	6,229	--
	2014-15	2,308	1	--	20,777	6,579	--
Banda	2010-11	875	44		7,880	4,165	
	2011-12	875	06		7,880	3,345	
	2012-13	620	22		5,587	3,345	
	2013-14	620	14		5,587	3,414	
	2014-15	620	07		5,587	2,876	
Bareilly	2010-11	2,104	216	--	18,932	4,952	--
	2011-12	2,104	147	--	18,932	4,647	--
	2012-13	2,104	147	--	18,932	4,154	--
	2013-14	2,104	811	--	18,932	5,229	--
	2014-15	2,104	1,649	--	18,932	6,343	--
Bulandshahr	2010-11	1,707	209	12	15,365	5,041	33
	2011-12	1,707	243	14	15,365	4,713	31
	2012-13	1,707	181	11	15,365	4,124	27
	2013-14	1,707	82	5	15,365	4,666	30

	2014-15	1,707	58	3	15,365	4,700	31
Deoria	2010-11	1,595	13	1	14,357	6,000	42
	2011-12	1,618	5	0	14,563	5,732	39
	2012-13	1,618	4	0	14,563	5,235	36
	2013-14	1,618	3	0	14,563	5,605	38
	2014-15	1,618	9	1	14,563	4,122	28
Firozabad	2010-11	1,196	10	1	10,760	3,173	29
	2011-12	1,196	6	1	10,760	2,646	25
	2012-13	1,196	3	0	10,760	2,434	23
	2013-14	1,196	7	1	10,760	2,435	23
	2014-15	1,196	35	3	10,760	3,108	29
Gorakhpur	2010-11	--	81	--	--	11,611	--
	2011-12	--	148	--	--	13,080	--
	2012-13	--	260	--	--	12,245	--
	2013-14	--	328	--	--	12,761	--
	2014-15	--	168	--	--	11,271	--
Hardoi	2010-11	1,985	129	6	17,867	5,271	30
	2011-12	1,985	43	2	17,867	3,759	21
	2012-13	1,985	25	1	17,867	3,159	18
	2013-14	1,985	11	1	17,867	3,256	18
	2014-15	1,985	35	2	17,867	3,518	20
Jhansi	2010-11	1,020	24	2	9,181	9,297	101
	2011-12	1,020	16	2	9,181	8,463	92
	2012-13	26	15	58	12,280	8,937	73
	2013-14	26	30	115	10,201	8,933	88
	2014-15	26	21	81	10,201	8,845	87
Meerut	2010-11	1,717	489	28	15,820	6,633	42
	2011-12	1,717	786	46	15,820	6,069	38
	2012-13	1,717	286	17	15,820	4,868	31
	2013-14	1,717	119	7	15,820	4,668	30
	2014-15	1,717	279	16	15,820	4,601	29
Pratapgarh	2010-11	--	46	--	--	8,091	--
	2011-12	--	245	--	--	7,407	--
	2012-13	--	253	--	--	6,546	--
	2013-14	--	46	--	--	7,059	--
	2014-15	--	18	--	--	6,243	--
Saharanpur	2010-11	--	--	--	--	--	--
	2011-12	1,664	288	17	14,976	3,396	23
	2012-13	1,664	97	6	14,976	2,996	20
	2013-14	1,664	116	7	14,976	2,995	20
	2014-15	1,664	68	4	14,976	2,549	17

Sitapur	2010-11	2,113	25	--	19,019	9,164	48
	2011-12	2,113	11	1	19,019	7,731	41
	2012-13	2,113	2	0	19,019	7,761	41
	2013-14	2,113	3	0	19,019	9,641	51
	2014-15	2,113	6	0	19,019	8,835	46
Sant Kabir Nagar	2010-11	833	6	1	7,495	1,637	22
	2011-12	833	6	1	7,495	1,324	18
	2012-13	833	3	0	7,495	618	8
	2013-14	833	16	2	7,495	2,028	27
	2014-15	833	7	1	7,495	1,880	25
Sultanpur	2010-11	1,201	4	0	10,812	2,771	26
	2011-12	1,201	3	0	10,812	2,662	25
	2012-13	1,201	2	0	10,812	2,437	23
	2013-14	1,201	7	1	10,812	2,276	21
	2014-15	1,201	1	0	10,812	2,210	20
Unnao	2010-11	--	20	--	--	3,853	--
	2011-12	--	7	--	--	3,822	--
	2012-13	--	5	--	--	2,650	--
	2013-14	--	7	--	--	3,237	--
	2014-15	--	6	--	--	3,301	--
Varanasi	2010-11	189	138	73	18,207	12,361	68
	2011-12	166	243	146	15,913	10,556	66
	2012-13	166	450	271	15,913	9,935	62
	2013-14	166	148	89	15,913	10,775	68
	2014-15	166	253	152	15,913	10,192	64
All Test Checked Districts	2010-11	18,843	2,159	11	1,86,472	1,31,043	70
	2011-12	22,617	3,693	16	2,18,345	1,22,420	56
	2012-13	21,368	2,917	14	2,19,151	1,14,822	52
	2013-14	21,368	3,636	17	2,17,072	1,23,974	57
	2014-15	21,368	4,417	21	2,17,072	1,14,948	53
Grand Total		1,05,564	16,822	16	10,58,112	6,07,207	57

(Source: Information provided by CMOs of test-checked districts)

Appendix 4.6
Target and Achievement of IUD, OPU and CC users
in test-checked districts

(Reference: Paragraph no. 4.3.3: page 38)

Name of District	Year	Intra Uterine Device			Oral Pills User		Condom Users	
		Target	Achievement	Per cent	Target	Achievement	Target	Achievement
Agra	2010-11	55,045	33,617	61	--	5,099	--	22,628
	2011-12	55,045	32,300	59	--	3,445	--	24,606
	2012-13	55,045	30,165	55	--	1,473	--	23,144
	2013-14	55,045	42,742	78	--	6,385	--	27,322
	2014-15	55,045	42,812	78	--	5,649	--	26,107
Allahabad	2010-11	76,828	16,690	22	--	10,131	--	8,901
	2011-12	76,828	28,660	37	--	3,302	--	7,805
	2012-13	76,828	25,942	34	--	1,198	--	6,904
	2013-14	76,828	27,112	35	--	6,310	--	7,283
	2014-15	76,828	25,104	33	--	3,223	--	10,624
Ambedkar Nagar	2010-11	30,585	11,616	38	--	0	--	1,664
	2011-12	30,585	11,171	37	--	2,308	--	2,498
	2012-13	30,585	14,313	47	--	152	--	4,166
	2013-14	30,585	14,288	47	--	1,559	--	1,666
	2014-15	30,585	14,833	48	--	4,452	--	6,114
Azamgarh	2010-11	60,095	15,848	26	--	5,092	--	11,187
	2011-12	60,095	19,325	32	--	1,153	--	6,972
	2012-13	60,095	22,586	38	--	3,012	--	10,871
	2013-14	60,095	31,782	53	--	4,302	--	8,159
	2014-15	60,095	18,810	31	--	3,051	--	9,243
Banda	2010-11	22,725	18,113	80	11,615	3,900	22,725	8,391
	2011-12	22,725	14,650	64	11,615	3,799	22,725	18,629
	2012-13	22,725	13,551	62	12,415	1,538	21,726	20,035
	2013-14	21,726	14,812	68	12,416	7,741	21,726	21,775
	2014-15	21,726	14,302	66	12,415	5,231	21,726	22,514
Bareilly	2010-11	55,550	20,844	38	20,301	3,905	40,905	19,004
	2011-12	55,550	23,090	42	20,301	5,266	40,905	25,886
	2012-13	55,550	28,556	51	20,301	3,248	40,905	31,743
	2013-14	55,550	35,900	65	20,301	10,427	40,905	27,063
	2014-15	55,550	37,730	68	20,301	5,943	40,905	39,380
Bulandshahr	2010-11	44,945	37,586	84	16,867	7,295	35,350	21,033
	2011-12	44,945	26,434	59	16,867	1,370	35,350	13,588
	2012-13	44,945	43,350	96	16,867	2,256	35,350	23,960
	2013-14	44,945	42,936	96	16,867	9,306	35,350	30,198
	2014-15	44,945	45,542	101	16,867	6,532	35,350	33,155
Deoria	2010-11	45,450	35,405	78	17,170	2,417	39,390	8,542
	2011-12	47,427	26,793	56	17,382	2,988	39,858	7,044
	2012-13	47,427	40,135	85	17,382	384	39,858	15,644

	2013-14	47,427	38,565	81	17,382	3,373	39,858	10,229
	2014-15	47,427	28,172	59	17,382	580	39,858	4,390
Firozabad	2010-11	31,310	16,585	53	12,625	2,655	22,725	2,210
	2011-12	31,310	23,655	76	12,625	4431	22,725	10,652
	2012-13	31,310	19,856	63	12,625	3,128	22,725	15,915
	2013-14	31,310	22,145	71	12,625	4,446	22,725	22,053
	2014-15	31,310	23,860	76	12,625	6,750	22,725	17,900
	Gorakhpur	2010-11	64,640	33,763	52	--	4,205	--
2011-12		64,640	42,330	65	--	3,505	--	16,238
2012-13		64,640	32,780	51	--	3,700	--	24,997
2013-14		64,640	36,528	57	--	6,463	--	14,870
2014-15		64,640	42,259	65	--	7,128	--	11,075
Hardoi	2010-11	54,035	40,653	75	19,695	3,808	37,875	9,500
	2011-12	54,035	37,111	69	19,695	4,076	37,875	21,956
	2012-13	54,035	44,441	82	19,695	2,689	37,875	22,347
	2013-14	54,035	28,918	54	19,695	1,949	37,875	25,823
	2014-15	54,035	24,139	45	19,695	18,588	37,875	5,132
Jhansi	2010-11	26,765	23,462	88	10,100	6,010	20,705	16,260
	2011-12	26,765	18,707	70	10,100	2,690	20,705	7,527
	2012-13	26,765	18,792	70	10,100	2,394	20,705	14,190
	2013-14	26,765	20,746	78	10,100	6,501	20,705	10,996
	2014-15	26,765	18,587	69	10,100	4,957	20,705	10,950
Meerut	2010-11	45,450	27,061	60	18,180	9,782	35,350	14,552
	2011-12	45,450	24,552	54	18,180	3,991	35,350	10,259
	2012-13	45,450	21,040	46	18,180	385	35,350	10,454
	2013-14	45,450	16,077	35	18,180	4,282	35,350	9,442
	2014-15	45,450	16,966	37	18,180	5,590	35,350	10,467
Pratapgarh	2010-11	42,420	23,265	55	--	7,587	--	11,347
	2011-12	42,420	26,394	62	--	3,602	--	9,254
	2012-13	42,420	20,235	48	--	385	--	7,843
	2013-14	42,420	18,056	43	--	6,019	--	9,952
	2014-15	42,420	18,402	43	--	9,645	--	5,883
Saharanpur	2010-11	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	2011-12	43,430	20,429	47	18,685	3,500	40,400	12,125
	2012-13	43,430	24,687	57	18,685	384	40,400	17,219
	2013-14	43,430	21,811	50	18,685	10,256	40,400	16,788
	2014-15	43,430	21,301	49	18,685	4,468	40,400	16,411
Sitapur	2010-11	60,186	21,316	35	21,772	3,390	41,847	12,129
	2011-12	60,186	21,164	35	21,772	2,852	41,847	13,918
	2012-13	60,186	22,474	37	21,772	1,761	41,847	14,218
	2013-14	60,186	16,455	27	21,772	4,889	41,847	27,618
	2014-15	60,186	14,012	23	21,772	5,039	41,847	13,866
Sant Kabir Nagar	2010-11	18,436	11,363	62	8,613	3,785	15,650	8,379
	2011-12	18,436	12,616	68	8,613	2,330	15,650	5,721
	2012-13	18,436	6,269	34	8,613	439	15,650	--

	2013-14	18,436	8,708	47	8,613	2,136	15,650	--
	2014-15	18,436	7,168	39	8,613	4,780	15,650	6,658
Sultanpur	2010-11	36,888	25,603	69	14,050	4,298	27,389	15,957
	2011-12	36,888	30,567	83	14,050	3,142	27,389	10,263
	2012-13	36,888	32,805	89	14,050	4,781	27,389	24,885
	2013-14	36,888	32,457	88	14,050	8,593	27,389	25,481
	2014-15	36,888	29,412	80	14,050	8,777	27,389	24,191
	Unnao	2010-11	42,420	36,343	86	--	20,339	--
2011-12		42,420	32,601	77	--	5,080	--	21,477
2012-13		42,420	23,025	54	--	1,538	--	14,938
2013-14		42,420	19,293	45	--	3,569	--	10,847
2014-15		42,420	24,465	58	--	13,311	--	5,965
Varanasi	2010-11	47,813	17,892	37	19,922	9,890	45,157	8,683
	2011-12	47,813	10,109	21	19,922	1,291	45,157	2,999
	2012-13	47,813	18,888	40	19,922	581	45,157	1,431
	2013-14	47,813	26,309	55	19,922	4,985	45,157	8,993
	2014-15	47,813	23,260	49	19,922	3,425	45,157	9,279
All Test Checked Districts	2010-11	8,61,586	4,67,025	54		1,21,303		2,20,937
	2011-12	9,06,993	4,82,658	53		71,937		2,49,419
	2012-13	9,05,994	5,01,517	55		46,303		3,04,905
	2013-14	9,05,994	5,15,640	57		1,18,201		3,16,558
	2014-15	9,05,994	4,96,136	55		1,17,085		3,06,523
Total		44,86,561	24,62,976	55		4,74,829		13,98,342
Uttar Pradesh	Year	Intra Uterine Device			Oral Pills User		Condom Users	
		Target	Achievement (in lakh)	Per cent	Target	Achievement (in lakh)	Target	Achievement (in lakh)
	2010-11	26.1	15.44	59	--	3.43	--	8.13
	2011-12	26.1	13.91	53	--	2.46	--	8.12
	2012-13	26.1	13.92	53	--	1.13	--	8.95
	2013-14	26.1	14.85	57	--	3.49	--	8.98
	2014-15	26.1	14.5	56	--	3.33	--	9.56
	Total	130.5	72.62	56	--	13.84	--	43.74

(Source: Information provided by Directorate, Family Welfare and CMOs of test-checked districts)

Appendix 5.1

Details of services provided at Angan Wadi Centres

(Reference: Paragraph no. 5; page 40)

Sl. No.	Services	Target Group	Service Provided by
1.	Supplementary Nutrition	Children below 6 years, Pregnant women and Lactating Mothers	Angan Wadi Worker and Angan Wadi Helper
2.	Nutrition and Health Education	Women (15-45 years)	Angan Wadi Worker/ Auxiliary Nursing Midwife/ Medical Officer
3.	Pre-School Education	Children 3-6 years	Angan Wadi Worker
4.	Health Check-up	Children below 6 years, Pregnant women and Lactating Mothers	Auxiliary Nursing Midwife/ Medical Officer /Angan Wadi Worker
5.	Referral Services	Children below 6 years, Pregnant women and Lactating Mothers	Angan Wadi Worker/ Auxiliary Nursing Midwife/ Medical Officer
6.	Immunization	Children below 6 years, Pregnant women and Lactating Mothers	Auxiliary Nursing Midwife/ Medical Officer

(Source: Guidelines of the Scheme)

Appendix 5.2

Details and nutritional value of supplementary nutrition provided to beneficiaries

(Reference: Paragraph no. 5; page 40)

Sl. No.	Category of beneficiary	Name of supplementary nutrition	Per beneficiary per day	Rate and nutritional component approved by GoI for per beneficiary per day			Quantity of daily nutritional component supplied to beneficiaries	
				Rate (in ₹)	Protein (in gram)	Calorie	Protein (in gram)	Calorie
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
1	General children between age of six months and three years	Weaning food *	For six days in a week at the rate of 120 gram per day once in a week (in form of THR ⁴)	6.00	12-15	500	14.40	504
	Severely malnourished children between age of six months and three years		For six days in a week at the rate of 200 gram per day once in a week (in form of THR)	9.00	20-25	800	24.00	840
2	General children between age of three years and six years	Hot cooked food in form of <i>Khichdi/Dalia</i>	Approximately 100 to 125 gram per beneficiary per day	6.00	12-15	500	15-17	522-587
		Morning snack	Daily 50 gram amylase rich energy food for four days in a week (except Wednesday and Friday)					
			Local fruit/ <i>Gur-chana/lai-chana</i> two days in a week (on Wednesday and Friday)					
3	Severely malnourished children between age of three years and six years	Amylase rich energy food **	In addition to above at Sl. No. 2, amylase rich energy food at the rate of 75 gram per day for six days in a week at once (in form of THR)	9.00	20-25	800	25-26	822-887
4	Pregnant women and lactating mothers	Amylase rich energy food **	For six days in a week at the rate of 140 gram per day once in a week (in form of THR)	7.00	18-20	600	18.20	602

(Source: Directorate, ICDS)

* Weaning food constitute: wheat flour 25 per cent, soya flour 14 per cent, rice five per cent, corn five per cent, gram flour 15 per cent, sugar 25 per cent, vegetable oil 10 per cent, vitamin minerals one per cent. Nutritional component in per 100 gram weaning food: Calorie 420, Protein 12 gram, micronutrient - 50 per cent of RDA⁵.

** Amylase rich energy food constitute: wheat flour 25 per cent, soya flour 15 per cent, ragi five per cent, corn five per cent, peanut five per cent, gram flour 10 per cent, sugar 25 per cent, vegetable oil nine per cent, vitamin minerals one per cent. Nutritional component in per 100 gram amylase rich energy food: Calorie 430, Protein 13 gram, micronutrient - 50 per cent of RDA.

⁴ Take Home Ration.

⁵ Recommended Dietary Allowance.

Appendix 5.3

Details of health services provided at AWCs

(Reference: Paragraph no. 5; page 40)

Name of service	Core activities/interventions
Health check-up	(i) Ante-natal check-up/post-natal check-up/ <i>Janani Suraksha Yojna</i> (ii) <i>Janani Shishu Suraksha Yojna</i> (iii) Identification of severely underweight children requiring medical attention (iv) Support to community based care of underweight children
Referral services	(i) Referral of severely underweight to health facilities/Nutrition rehabilitation centre (ii) Referral for complications during pregnancy (iii) Referral of sick newborns (iv) Referral of sick children
Immunization	(i) Regular fixed monthly Village Health Nutrition Days (ii) Primary immunization (iii) Boosters (iv) TT for pregnant women (v) Vitamin 'A' supplementation

(Source: Guidelines of the Scheme)

Appendix 5.4

Budget allocation, releases and expenditure under ICDS-General

(Reference: Paragraph no. 5.1.2; page 41)

(₹ in crore)				
Year	Budget allocation	Releases	Expenditure	Savings
2010-11	744.14	738.49	689.20	49.29
2011-12	1,245.54	777.18	738.95	38.23
2012-13	1,737.79	1,719.04	1,564.63	154.41
2013-14	1,441.24	1,385.45	1,271.83	113.62
2014-15	1,513.21	1,511.11	1,349.31	161.80
Total	6,681.92	6,131.27	5,613.92	517.35

(Source: Directorate, ICDS)

Appendix 5.5

Details of non-availability of basic amenities at AWCs

(Reference: Paragraph no. 5.3.2; page 43)

Sl. No.	Name of the District	Total no. of Projects	Total no. of AWCs	Number of AWCs not having		
				Toilet facility	Safe drinking water facility	Kitchen facility
1.	Agra	16	2,982	995 (33)	2,165 (73)	739 (25)
2.	Allahabad	22	4,499	1,910 (42)	2,928 (65)	852 (19)
3.	Ambedkar Nagar	10	2,548	1,865 (73)	2,238 (88)	993 (39)
4.	Azamgarh	23	5,588	979 (18)	4,057 (73)	767 (14)
5.	Banda	9	1,705	1,116 (65)	1,569 (92)	707 (41)
6.	Bareilly	16	2,857	2,656 (93)	2,731 (96)	214 (7)
7.	Bulandshahr	16	3,958	3,506 (89)	3,646 (92)	904 (23)
8.	Deoria	17	3,243	2,512 (77)	2,966 (91)	432 (13)
9.	Firozabad	11	2,540	1,778 (70)	1,889 (74)	988 (39)
10.	Gorakhpur	20	4,032	2,822 (70)	3,746 (93)	872 (22)
11.	Hardoi	20	3,930	3,814 (97)	39,12 (100)	616 (16)
12.	Jhansi	9	1,379	1,234 (89)	1,371 (99)	303 (22)
13.	Meerut	13	2,076	1,862 (90)	1,757 (85)	965 (46)
14.	Pratapgarh	17	3,247	2,216 (68)	2,581 (79)	1,277 (39)
15.	Saharanpur	12	3,408	2,736 (80)	2,925 (86)	1,641 (48)
16.	Sant Kabir Nagar	10	1,765	251 (14)	980 (56)	251 (14)
17.	Sitapur	20	4,232	3,657 (86)	4,101 (97)	4,063 (96)
18.	Sultanpur	14	2,511	2,159 (86)	2,386 (95)	379 (15)
19.	Unnao	17	3,352	2,734 (82)	3,203 (96)	297 (9)
20.	Varanasi	9	3,914	2,798 (71)	2,606 (67)	1,207 (31)
Total		301	63,766	43,600 (68)	53,757 (84)	18,467 (29)

(Source: Information provided by DPOs of test checked districts)

Appendix 5.6

Budget allocation and expenditure under Supplementary Nutrition Programme

(Reference: Paragraph no. 5.4.1.1(i); page 45)

(₹ in crore)

Year	Budget demand	Budget allocation			Total Budget Released	GoI Share					State share spent	Total expenditure (10+12)	Saving (6-13)
		Central	State	Total (2+3)		OB	Releases	Available (7+8)	Spent	CB (7-8)			
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
2010-11	2,853.20	1,361.89	1,361.89	2,723.78	2,720.02	-27.46	1,382.67	1,355.21	1,359.80	-4.59	1,359.80	2,719.60	0.42
2011-12	2,792.00	1,341.56	1,341.56	2,683.12	2,680.61	-4.59	1,316.00	1,311.41	1,340.14	-28.73	1,340.14	2,680.28	0.33
2012-13	2,682.50	1,332.25	1,332.25	2,664.50	2,664.50	-28.73	1,179.53	1,150.80	1,198.15	-47.35	1,198.15	2,396.30	268.20
2013-14	2,712.90	1,481.44	1,481.44	2,962.88	2,962.90	-47.35	1,100.86	1,053.51	1,480.89	-427.38	1,480.89	2,961.78	1.120
2014-15	3,343.61	1,821.80	1,821.80	3,643.60	3,643.61	-427.38	1,523.71	1,096.33	1,774.62	-678.29	1,774.62	3,549.24	94.37
Total	14,384.21	7,338.94	7,338.94	14,677.88	14,671.64		6,502.77	5,967.26	7,153.60		7,153.60	14,307.20	364.44

(Source: Directorate, ICDS)

Appendix 5.7

Details of population and number of beneficiaries under SNP in the State

(Reference: Paragraph no. 5.4.1.1(ii); page 45)

Year	Population (Number in lakh)			No. of SNP beneficiaries (in lakh)			Shortfall (per cent)
	Pregnant and lactating women	Children up to 6 years of age	Total	Pregnant and lactating women (per cent)	Children up to 6 years of age (per cent)	Total	
2010-11	56.60	264.45	321.05	47.93 (85)	203.99 (77)	251.92	69.13 (22)
2011-12	58.11	278.91	337.02	49.41 (85)	187.03 (67)	236.44	100.58 (30)
2012-13	56.33	279.18	335.51	49.33 (88)	187.25 (67)	236.58	98.93 (29)
2013-14	56.69	286.16	342.85	46.95 (83)	188.48 (66)	235.43	107.42 (31)
2014-15	56.98	286.87	343.85	48.53 (85)	184.45 (64)	232.98	110.87 (32)

(Source: Directorate, ICDS)

Appendix 5.8

Number of SNP beneficiaries in test checked districts

(Reference: Paragraph no. 5.4.1.1(ii); page 46)

Sl. No.	Name of the District	Total number of beneficiaries			Number of beneficiaries benefitted under SNP					Shortfall	Shortfall per cent
		Children up to 6 years	Pregnant women and Lactating mothers	Total	Children up to 6 years	Shortfall per cent	Pregnant women and Lactating mothers	Shortfall per cent	Total		
1.	Agra	51,7049	1,02,563	6,19,612	3,19,321	38	96,463	6	4,15,784	2,03,828	33
2.	Allahabad	69,6398	1,30,623	8,27,021	4,42,701	36	1,13,799	13	5,56,500	2,70,521	33
3.	Ambedkar Nagar	39,1043	62,398	4,53,441	2,35,296	40	51,572	17	2,86,868	1,66,573	37
4.	Azamgarh	72,2650	1,46,787	8,69,437	5,36,480	26	1,26,270	14	6,62,750	2,06,687	24
5.	Banda	27,5741	49,101	3,24,842	2,05,700	25	41,301	16	2,47,001	77,841	24
6.	Bareilly	48,6043	96,953	5,82,996	2,99,184	38	81,154	16	3,80,338	2,02,658	35
7.	Bulandshahr	48,3351	98,669	5,82,020	3,18,404	34	88,090	11	4,06,494	1,75,526	30
8.	Deoria	51,4391	94,045	6,08,436	3,56,850	31	89,617	5	4,46,467	1,61,969	27
9.	Firozabad	38,9052	79,921	4,68,973	2,90,875	25	70,225	12	3,61,100	1,07,873	23
10.	Gorakhpur	68,8009	1,24,335	8,12,344	4,43,799	35	1,06,379	14	5,50,178	2,62,166	32
11.	Hardoi	67,1527	1,29,390	8,00,917	4,17,511	38	1,08,457	16	5,25,968	2,74,949	34
12.	Jhansi	19,6255	32,952	2,29,207	1,14,816	41	29,182	11	1,43,998	85,209	37
13.	Meerut	33,8583	71,086	4,09,669	2,31,889	32	67,613	5	2,99,502	1,10,167	27
14.	Saharanpur	43,6174	82,260	5,18,434	2,91,499	33	78,651	4	3,70,150	1,48,284	29
15.	Sant Kabir Nagar	29,9451	61,430	3,60,881	2,05,426	31	29,233	52	2,34,659	1,26,222	35
16.	Sitapur	72,6886	1,37,348	8,64,234	4,63,239	36	1,23,888	10	5,87,127	2,77,107	32
17.	Sultanpur	42,5324	68,045	4,93,369	2,78,699	34	54,475	20	3,33,174	1,60,195	32
18.	Unnao	51,1728	98,290	6,10,018	3,55,328	31	88,161	10	4,43,489	1,66,529	27
19.	Varanasi	51,1861	93,583	6,05,444	3,31,067	35	83,093	11	4,14,160	1,91,284	32

(Source: Information provided by DPOs of test checked districts)

Appendix 5.9

Details of calculation of total number of nutrition days in a month and year during 2010-15

(Reference: Paragraph no. 5.4.1.1(iii): page 46)

Year	Children 6-72 months excluding severely malnourished			Severely malnourished children 6-72 months			Pregnant women and lactating mothers			Average days in a month for which supplementary nutrition was provided to each category of beneficiaries	Average days in a year for which supplementary nutrition was provided to each category of beneficiary (Column 11 x 12 months)	Total expenditure incurred on distribution of supplementary nutrition as per utilisation certificates (₹ in crore)	Total expenditure calculated in audit which is most approximately equal to actual expenditure on supplementary nutrition during the year (₹ in crore) (Column 4+7+10)
	No. of Beneficiaries in lakh	Cost norm per beneficiary per day (in ₹)	Total expenditure (₹ in crore) ¹	No. of Beneficiaries in lakh	Cost norm per beneficiary per day (in ₹)	Total expenditure (₹ in crore) ²	No. of Beneficiaries in lakh	Cost norm per beneficiary per day (in ₹)	Total expenditure (₹ in crore) ³				
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
2010-11	203.70	4	2,098.27	0.28	6	4.33	47.93	5	617.15	21.46	258	2,719.60	2,719.75
2011-12	186.70	4	2,010.09	0.34	6	5.49	49.41	5	664.96	22.43	269	2,680.28	2,680.54
2012-13	186.66	4	1,795.52	0.59	6	8.51	49.33	5	593.14	20.04	240	2,396.30	2,397.17
2013-14	187.87	(4 & 6) ⁴	2,269.82	0.61	(6 & 9) ⁵	11.16	46.95	(5 & 7) ⁶	681.58	20.00	240	2,961.78	2,962.56
2014-15	182.99	6	2,686.44	1.46	9	32.15	48.53	7	831.20	20.39	245	3,549.34	3,549.79

(Source: Information provided by Directorate, ICDS)

1 Column 2 x column 3 x column 11 x 12 months /100 (to convert ₹ in lakh to ₹ in crore).

2 Column 5 x column 6 x column 11 x 12 months/100.

3 Column 8 x column 9 x column 11 x 12 months/100.

4 90.73 lakh children were provided supplementary nutrition at the rate of ₹ 4 per day and 97.14 lakh at the rate of ₹ 6 per day during the year.

5 0.28 lakh severely malnourished children were provided supplementary nutrition at the rate of ₹ 6 per day and 0.33 lakh at the rate of ₹ 9 per day during the year.

6 22.33 lakh pregnant women and lactating mothers were provided supplementary nutrition at the rate of ₹ 5 per day and 24.62 lakh at the rate of ₹ 7 per day during the year.

Appendix 5.10

Details of AWCs functioning without new growth charts, maternal and child protection cards, weighing machines

(Reference: Paragraph no. 5.4.2.1(ii); page 53)

Sl. No.	Name of the District	Total no. of AWCs	Number of AWCs not having (as of March 2015)			
			New growth charts (per cent)	Maternal and child protection card (per cent)	Baby weighing machine (per cent)	Adult weighing machine (per cent)
1.	Agra	2,982	2,825 (95)	2,774 (93)	865 (29)	843 (28)
2.	Ambedkar Nagar	2,548	2,311 (91)	2,311 (91)	1,476 (58)	1,344 (53)
3.	Banda	1,705	NA	1705 (100)	392 (23)	377 (22)
4.	Bareilly	2,857	NA	NA	638 (22)	719 (25)
5.	Deoria	3,243	NA	3,243 (100)	898 (28)	898 (28)
6.	Jhansi	1,379	824 (60)	1,379 (100)	NA	NA
7.	Sant Kabir Nagar	1,765	1,565 (89)	1,765 (100)	1,487 (84)	1,487 (84)

(Source: Information provided by DPOs of test checked districts)

Appendix 5.11

Details of training slots organized for ICDS functionaries

(Reference: Paragraph no. 5.5; page 55)

(in number)

Name of the Cadre	Target		Achievement		Shortfall (in per cent)	
	Job	Refresher	Job	Refresher	Job	Refresher
CDPO	551	1,487	201	149	64	90
LS	2,291	7,547	517	3,383	77	55
AWW	81,482	3,64,422	36,468	31,385	55	91
AWH	1,11,904	3,31,423	31,780	29,173	72	91

(Source: Directorate, ICDS)

Appendix 5.12

Status of monitoring and evaluation of ICDS Scheme

(Reference: Paragraph no. 5.6; page 56)

Sl. No.	Monitoring issue	Deficiencies noticed in audit
1	Status of monitoring and evaluation committee meetings	<p>The GoI directed (March 2011) for constitution of monitoring and evaluation committees at different levels. Accordingly, GoUP constituted (June 2011) district, block and AWC level monitoring and evaluation committees under the chairpersonship of District Magistrate, Sub-Divisional Magistrate and Gram Panchayat/Ward Member respectively. District level committees (DLC) and block level committees (BLC) were to meet minimum once in three months while AWC level committee (AWLC) meetings were required to be held each month. These committees were to monitor the overall functioning of AWCs.</p> <p>However, meetings of these committees were not held except in Allahabad and Agra. In Allahabad and Agra only two meetings⁶ of DLC, against prescribed 20 meetings, were held during 2010-15. BLC meetings were held only in Agra where only one meeting in six⁷ out of 16 ICDS Projects was held in July 2012. Further, no AWLC meetings were held in any test-checked districts. Thus, there was inadequate and insufficient monitoring of the Scheme by these committees and this adversely affected the implementation of the Scheme.</p>
2	Status of Monitoring by ICDS functionaries	<p>With a view to improving the quality of service delivery and day-to-day functioning of AWCs, GoI directed (October 2010) for regular monitoring and inspection of ICDS projects and AWCs by various ICDS functionaries. As per directions, LSs and CDPOs had to inspect minimum 50 <i>per cent</i> of AWCs and 20 AWCs per month respectively while DPOs had to carry the inspection in such a manner that all blocks were covered each quarter and 10 <i>per cent</i> of AWCs were covered annually. In addition, joint visit of AWCs/blocks by ICDS functionaries with health functionaries was also to be carried out.</p> <p>However, prescribed inspections were not carried out as per schedule mentioned above. Joint inspection of AWCs with functionaries of health department was also not carried out.</p> <p>Government in reply stated (December 2015) that directions were issued in October 2015 to ensure regular monitoring of the Scheme. Reply was not tenable as regular monitoring of the Scheme was not held during 2010-15.</p>
3	Non-implementation of revised MIS	<p>GoI revised (March 2012) Management Information System (MIS), depicting gender segregated data, which was to be adopted by June 2013. However, scrutiny of records of test-checked districts revealed that the revised MIS registers were not adopted by AWCs as of March 2015. Due to non-adoption of revised MIS registers by AWCs, vital gender segregated data in respect of SNP, immunization, health check-up and referral services were not available with the Department.</p> <p>Government in reply stated (December 2015) that revised MIS registers had been supplied to AWCs. Reply was not tenable as these registers were yet not put to use.</p>
4	Non-implementation of web-based MIS	<p>The GoI directed (December 2012) for implementation of web-based MIS at AWC level. Monthly Progress Reports and Annual Status Reports of AWCs and ICDS Projects were to be fed by using the web based system.</p> <p>Scrutiny revealed that web based system was not implemented at AWC level as of March 2015. It was observed that 11 digit code was not allotted in two to 98 <i>per cent</i> of AWCs in 15 out of 20 test-checked districts.</p> <p>Government in reply stated (December 2015) that code allotment will be completed within three months. Reply was not tenable as web based MIS was not implemented even after three years of issuance of directions.</p> <p>In absence of web-based MIS, the e-data of the Scheme was not available for monitoring at various level.</p>

(Source: Information provided by DPOs of test checked districts)

⁶ In Allahabad, one meeting each was held in 2010-11 and 2014-15 whereas in Agra both meetings were held in 2012-13.

⁷ Bah, Barauli Aheer, Bitchpuri, Etmadpur, Jagner and Shamshabad.

Appendix 6.1

Funds released and expenditure (State level)

(Reference: Paragraph no. 6.1.1.1; page 57)

(₹ in crore)

Sl. No.	Year	Fund requirement as per norm ⁸	Fund allotted	Funds released	Expenditure	Surrender/Lapsed
1.	2010-11	6.622	9.24	4.64	4.50	0.14
2.	2011-12	6.622	6.62	6.62	3.31	3.31
3.	2012-13	6.622	6.62	1.66	0.01	1.65
4.	2013-14	6.622	6.62	2.65	1.95	0.70
5.	2014-15	6.622	3.32	3.31	1.92	1.39
Total		33.110	32.42	18.88	11.69	7.19
Percentage of released against allocated amount			58	Percentage of spent against released amount		62

(Source: Directorate, ICDS)

Appendix 6.2

Details of identified and targeted Adolescent Girls in Test-checked districts and State

(Reference: Paragraph no. 6.1.2; page 58)

Sl. No.	District	2012-13			2013-14			2014-15		
		Identified AGs	Selected AGs as Beneficiary	Selected AGs in per cent	Identified AGs	Selected AGs as Beneficiary	Selected AGs in per cent	Identified AGs	Selected AGs as Beneficiary	Selected AGs in per cent
1.	Allahabad	3,24,557	1,320	0.41	3,18,601	1,320	0.41	3,54,952	1,320	0.37
2.	Ambedkar Nagar	1,87,618	600	0.32	2,17,797	600	0.28	2,11,855	600	0.28
3.	Azamgarh	75,326	1,380	1.83	4,68,946	1,380	0.29	1,69,971	1,380	0.81
4.	Bareilly	2,12,820	960	0.45	2,18,105	960	0.44	57,413	960	1.67
5.	Firozabad	1,23,785	660	0.53	94,964	660	0.70	1,00,856	660	0.65
6.	Gorakhpur	1,71,310	1,200	0.70	1,42,662	1,200	0.84	1,11,949	1,200	1.07
7.	Hardoi	NA	NA	NA	2,21,079	1,200	0.54	2,23,185	1,200	0.54
8.	Jhansi	78,308	540	0.69	79,491	540	0.68	67,633	540	0.80
9.	Meerut	1,11,168	780	0.70	1,08,321	780	0.72	1,13,344	780	0.69
10.	Pratapgarh	2,59,716	1,020	0.39	2,29,974	1,020	0.44	1,83,017	1,020	0.56
11.	Sant Kabir Nagar	73,717	600	0.81	83,731	600	0.72	83,731	600	0.72
12.	Sultanpur	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1,95,945	840	0.43
13.	Unnao	1,71,226	1,020	0.60	1,84,472	1,020	0.55	1,84,721	1,020	0.55
14.	Varanasi	2,98,671	540	0.18	2,92,628	540	0.18	2,66,675	540	0.20
Total		20,88,222	10,620	0.51	26,60,771	11,820	0.44	23,25,247	12,660	0.54
Uttar Pradesh		NA	36,120		NA	36,120		NA	36,120	

(Source: Directorate, ICDS and Test-checked Districts)

⁸ ₹ 1,10,000 per project x 602 projects in the state

Appendix 6.3

Status of Identified and Benefited AGs for SNP

(Reference: Paragraph no. 6.1.3; page 59)

(in numbers)

Sl. No	District	2012-13			2013-14			2014-15		
		Identified AGs	Selected AGs as Beneficiary	Shortfall in per cent	Identified AGs	Selected AGs as Beneficiary	Shortfall in per cent	Identified AGs	Selected AGs as Beneficiary	Shortfall in per cent
1.	Allahabad	3,24,557	12,868	96	3,18,601	13,336	96	3,54,952	12,865	96
2.	Ambedkar Nagar	1,87,618	7,465	96	2,17,797	7,631	97	2,11,855	7,476	96
3.	Azamgarh	75,326	16,490	78	4,68,946	16,838	96	1,69,971	17,949	89
4.	Bareilly	2,12,820	8,571	96	2,18,105	8,202	96	57,413	8,238	86
5.	Firozabad	1,23,785	7,620	94	94,964	7,620	92	1,00,856	7,620	92
6.	Gorakhpur	1,71,310	11,297	93	1,42,662	11,950	92	1,11,949	12,096	89
7.	Hardoi	NA	NA	NA	2,21,079	11,790	95	2,23,185	11,790	95
8.	Jhansi	78,308	3,890	95	79,491	4,099	95	67,633	3,584	95
9.	Meerut	1,11,168	6,093	95	1,08,321	7,481	93	1,13,344	6,219	95
10.	Pratapgarh	2,59,716	9,741	96	2,29,974	9,741	96	1,83,017	9,745	95
11.	Sultanpur	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1,95,945	7,246	96
12.	Sant Kabir Nagar	73,717	5,315	93	83,731	5,315	94	83,731	5,315	94
13.	Unnao	1,71,226	10,006	94	1,84,472	10,056	95	1,84,721	10,056	95
14.	Varanasi	2,98,671	11,742	96	2,92,628	11,742	96	2,66,675	11,742	96
Total		2088,222	1,11,098	95	26,60,771	1,25,801	95	23,25,247	1,31,941	94

(Source: DPO of test-checked districts)

Appendix 6.4

Components under KSY scheme

(Reference: Paragraph no. 6.1.4; page 59)

Sl. No.	Name of the component	Sl. No.	Name of the component
1	Stitching	9	Wooden work
2	Beautician	10	Computer training
3	Pickle making	11	Fashion designing
4	Painting	12	Soft toys making
5	Food Processing	13	Cookery and Bakery
6	Embroidery	14	Candle making
7	Chicken work	15	Mobile repairing
8	Knitting	16	Recipe from SNP

Appendix 6.5

Status of training imparted (test-checked districts level)

(Reference: Paragraph no. 6.1.4; page 60)

Sl. No.	Year	Total no. of Projects	No. of Beneficiaries as per norm ⁹	Actual no. of Beneficiaries	Shortage	Shortage in per cent
1.	2010-11	211	12,660	840	11,820	93
2.	2011-12	211	12,660	0	12,660	100
3.	2012-13	211	12,660	0	12,660	100
4.	2013-14	211	12,660	3,250	9,410	74
5.	2014-15	211	12,660	4,440	8,220	65
Total		1,055	63,300	8,530	54,770	87

(Source: DPO of test-checked districts)

Appendix 6.6

Release & Expenditure of Central and GoUP Share

(Reference: Paragraph no. 6.2.1.1; page 62)

(₹ in crore)

Year	Central share		State share		Total release (Col 2+4)	Total Expenditure (Col 3+5)	Excess Expenditure over Central share
	Releases	Expenditure	Releases	Expenditure			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
2010-11	37.17	13.47	24.78	13.47	61.95	26.94	(-)23.70
2011-12	112.63	137.57	137.77	137.57	250.41	275.13	24.93
2012-13	129.75	138.12	138.50	138.12	268.25	276.24	8.37
2013-14	138.36	149.25	155.18	149.25	293.54	298.49	10.88
2014-15	146.43	154.80	155.17	154.80	301.59	309.61	8.38
Total	564.34	593.21	611.40	593.21	1175.74	1186.41	28.86

(Source: Directorate, ICDS)

Appendix 6.7

Funds released and expenditure (State level)

(Reference: Paragraph no. 6.2.1.2; page 62)

(₹ in crore)

Sl. No.	Year	Non-nutrition component (100 per cent GoI share)					
		Opening balance	Released by GoI	Total Fund available	Expenditure incurred (percentage of utilisation)	Expenditure (in per cent)	CB (col. 4-5)
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.	2010-11	0.00	9.73	9.73	0.00 (0)	--	9.73
2.	2011-12	9.73	4.86	14.59	4.90 (34)	34	9.69
3.	2012-13	9.69	0.00	9.69	0.00 (0)	--	9.69
4.	2013-14	9.69	0.00	9.69	1.96 (20)	20	7.73
5.	2014-15	7.73	0.00	7.73	3.23 (42)	42	4.51

(Source: Records furnished by the Directorate)

⁹ 60 AGs per project

Appendix 6.8

Number of AGs identified and covered for THR (District level)

(Reference: Paragraph no. 6.2.3.1; page 63)

Sl. No.	District	2011-12		2012-13		2013-14		2014-15		Total		
		Identified AGs for THR (in number)	No. of AGs Provided THR	Identified AGs for THR (in number)	No. of AGs Provided THR	Identified AGs for THR (in number)	No. of AGs Provided THR	Identified AGs for THR (in number)	No. of AGs Provided THR	Identified AGs for THR (in number)	No. of AGs Provided THR	No. of AGs deprived of THR
1.	Agra	2,02,813	1,54,264	2,47,020	1,73,585	2,39,160	1,72,920	2,27,882	1,72,185	9,16,875	6,72,954	243,921
2.	Bulandshahr	1,82,929	1,07,355	1,92,591	1,15,912	2,14,278	1,29,321	1,92,131	1,24,984	7,81,929	4,77,572	304,357
3.	Deoria	2,16,835	1,23,236	2,28,459	1,39,990	2,16,835	1,47,470	2,16,835	1,49,254	8,78,964	5,59,950	319,014
4.	Saharanpur	1,59,206	1,42,018	1,85,768	1,53,894	1,83,108	1,69,509	1,88,831	1,75,295	7,16,913	6,40,716	76,197
5.	Sitapur	2,42,664	2,02,998	2,71,239	2,04,867	2,60,267	1,90,326	2,99,579	2,03,912	10,73,749	8,02,103	271,646
6.	Banda	1,00,932	65,042	1,07,329	67,537	84,041	71,254	1,09,563	67,467	4,01,865	2,71,300	130,565
Total		11,05,379	7,94,913	12,32,406	8,55,785	11,97,689	8,80,800	12,34,821	8,93,097	47,70,295	34,24,595	13,45,700

(Source: DPO of test-checked districts)

Appendix 6.9

Status of supply of SABLA Training Kits

(Reference: Paragraph no. 6.2.3.2(ii); page 65)

(in Numbers)

Sl. No.	District	2010-11		2011-12		2012-13		2013-14		2014-15		Total	
		AWCs	training kit provided	training Kit to be provided	training kit provided								
1.	Agra	2,982	0	2,982	0	2,982	0	2,982	0	2,982	2,400	14,910	2,400
2.	Bulandshahr	3,466	NA	3,958	NA	3,958	NA	3,958	NA	3,958	2,400	19,298	2,400
3.	Deoria	3,243	0	3,243	0	3,243	0	3,243	0	3,243	2,550	16,215	2,550
4.	Saharanpur	2,398	0	2,689	0	3,410	0	3,410	0	3,410	1,795	15,317	1,795
5.	Sitapur	4,232	NA	21,160	0								
6.	Banda	1,705	0	1,705	0	1,705	0	1,705	0	1,705	1,350	8,525	1,350
Total		18,026	0	18,809	0	19,530	0	19,530	0	19,530	10,495	95,425	10,495

(Source: DPO of test-checked districts)

Appendix 7.1

Cases related to rape

(Reference: Paragraph no. 7.2; page 69 & 70)

Sl. No.	Year	Minor Girls			Above 18 Years of age (Women)	Total no. of cases	Percentage of minor girls to total case (col. 5 to 7)
		Up to 10 Years of age	11 to 18 Years of age	Total			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	2010-11	101	801	902	680	1,582	57
2	2011-12	115	1,002	1,117	845	1,962	57
3	2012-13	150	1,033	1,183	875	2,058	57
4	2013-14	227	1,565	1,792	1,148	2,940	61
5	2014-15	216	1,619	1,835	1,110	2,945	62
Total		809	6,020	6,829	4,658	11,487	59

(Source: Home (Police) Department)

Appendix 7.2

Cases related to assault on women with intent to outrage her modesty

(Reference: Paragraph no. 7.2; page 69 & 70)

Sl. No.	Year	Minor Girls			Above 18 Years of age (Women)	Total no. of cases	Percentage of minor girls to total case (col. 5 to 7)
		Up to 10 Years of age	11 to 18 Years of age	Total			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	2010-11	68	1,528	1,596	1,393	2,989	53
2	2011-12	99	1,800	1,899	1,531	3,430	55
3	2012-13	136	2,144	2,280	1,826	4,106	56
4	2013-14	206	3,762	3,968	3,124	7,092	56
5	2014-15	218	4,079	4,297	3,675	7,972	54
Total		727	13,313	14,040	11,549	25,589	55

(Source: Home (Police) Department)

Appendix 7.3

Cases related to kidnapping/abduction

(Reference: Paragraph no. 7.2; page 69 & 70)

SI. No.	Year	Minor Girls			Above 18 Years of age (Women)	Total no. of cases	Percentage of minor girls to total case (col. 5 to 7)
		Up to 10 Years of age	11 to 18 Years of age	Total			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	2010-11	171	3,586	3,757	1,388	5,145	73
2	2011-12	101	4,624	4,725	1,953	6,678	71
3	2012-13	112	4,827	4,939	2,118	7,057	70
4	2013-14	181	5,771	5,952	2,558	8,510	70
5	2014-15	151	6,356	6,507	2,457	8,964	73
Total		716	25,164	25,880	10,474	36,354	71

(Source: Home (Police) Department)

Appendix 7.4

Cases related to missing girls/women

(Reference: Paragraph no. 7.3; page 71)

SI. No.	Year	Minor Girls			Above 18 Years of age (Women)	Total no. of cases	Percentage of minor girls to total case (col. 5 to 7)
		Up to 10 Years of age	11 to 18 Years of age	Total			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	2010-11	124	978	1,102	1,183	2,285	48
2	2011-12	154	1,277	1,431	1,569	3,000	48
3	2012-13	149	1,379	1,528	1,615	3,143	49
4	2013-14	143	1,307	1,450	1,929	3,379	43
5	2014-15	146	975	1,121	1,650	2,771	40
Total		716	5,916	6,632	7,946	14,578	45
Increase per cent		18			39	21	

(Source: Home (Police) Department)

Appendix 7.5
Cases related to late FIR
(Reference: Paragraph no. 7.5; page 73)

Sl. No.	Case No.	District	FIR filed at the behest of executive	FIR filed at the behest of Judiciary	Date of incident	Date of FIR
1.	769/06	Varanasi	-	yes	03.09.2006	04.11.2006
2.	547/12	Mau	-	yes	31.01.2012	17.05.2012
3.	278/09	Varanasi	-	-	04.05.2009	28.07.2009
4.	36/10	Varanasi	Yes	-	22.11.2009	04.01.2010
5.	199/10	Varanasi	-	yes	01.03.2010	03.07.2010
6.	101/12	-	-	yes	23.01.2012	05.04.2012
7.	29/12	Varanasi	-	-	16.02.2012	13.04.2012
8.	5/12	Varanasi	-	-	10.11.2011	02.01.2012
9.	74/12	-	-	-	20.04.2012	25.04.2012
10.	3410/10	Mau	-	yes	30.10.2009	11.11.2010
11.	292/12	Varanasi	-	-	08.06.2012	21.10.2012
12.	14/13	Varanasi	-	yes	14.07.2012	09.01.2013
13.	195/13	Mau	-	-	21.11.2012	04.02.2013
14.	600/12	-	-	-	22.07.2012	18.10.2012
15.	69/13	Varanasi	-	-	25.01.2013	02.02.2013
16.	105/13	Ghazipur	-	-	29.05.2013	05.06.2013
17.	58/13	Varanasi	-	-	12.05.2013	20.05.2013
18.	208/13	Ghazipur	-	-	08.06.2013	16.06.2013
19.	465/13	Ghazipur	-	-	25.04.2013	12.07.2013
20.	157/13	Varanasi	-	-	20.05.2013	24.05.2013
21.	185/13	Varanasi	-	yes	14.05.2013	15.06.2013
22.	206/13	Varanasi	-	-	17.05.2013	01.07.2013
23.	43/13	Varanasi	-	-	16.02.2013	18.02.2013
24.	146/13	Varanasi	Yes	-	14.05.2013	18.05.2013
25.	141/13	-	-	-	27.05.2013	28.05.2013
26.	609/13	Varanasi	-	-	22.07.2013	28.07.2013
27.	70/13	-	-	-	16.07.2013	26.07.2013
28.	296/13	Varanasi	-	-	30.06.2013	01.07.2013
29.	197/13	Ghazipur	-	-	13.09.2013	22.09.2013
30.	641/13	Varanasi	Yes	-	03.08.2013	07.08.2013
31.	229/13	Varanasi	-	-	12.08.2013	18.08.2013
32.	526/13	Ghazipur	-	-	18.08.2013	26.09.2013
33.	243/13	Ghazipur	-	-	10.04.2013	26.04.2013
34.	719/13	Ghazipur	-	-	24.07.2013	23.08.2013
35.	208/13	Ghazipur	-	-	22.05.2013	30.05.2013
36.	306/13	Ghazipur	-	-	26.08.2013	29.08.2013
37.	385/13	Ghazipur	-	-	18.06.2013	23.08.2013
38.	262/13	Ghazipur	-	yes	08.06.2013	23.07.2013
39.	93/13	Ghazipur	Yes	-	26.01.2013	24.03.2013

40.	251/13	Mau	-	-	08.08.2013	15.08.2013
41.	87/13	Ghazipur	-	yes	17.02.2013	23.03.2013
42.	334/13	Varanasi	-	-	15.10.2013	17.10.2013
43.	272/13	Varanasi	Yes	-	14.08.2013	22.08.2013
44.	279/13	Varanasi	-	-	20.09.2013	22.09.2013
45.	248/13	Ghazipur	-	-	31.10.2013	21.11.2013
46.	916/13	Mau	-	-	21.10.2013	23.10.2013
47.	371/13	Mau	-	-	17.06.2013	18.09.2013
48.	365/13	Varanasi	-	-	18.10.2013	11.11.2013
49.	399/13	Varanasi	-	-	15.11.2013	26.11.2013
50.	562/13	Ghazipur	-	-	08.11.2013	21.11.2013
51.	659/13	Ghazipur	-	-	25.11.2013	01.12.2013
52.	2419/13	Ghazipur	-	-	16.11.2013	29.11.2013
53.	224/13	Mau	-	-	28.05.2013	11.09.2013
54.	843/11	Mau	-	yes	02.07.2011	28.11.2011
55.	263/13	Ghazipur	-	-	31.07.2013	04.09.2013
56.	180/12	Mau	-	yes	28.03.2012	23.05.2012
57.	28/14	Ghazipur	-	-	10.01.2014	15.01.2014
58.	8/14	Ghazipur	-	-	22.12.2013	03.01.2014
59.	4/14	Varanasi	-	-	06.01.2014	07.01.2014
60.	151/13	Varanasi	-	-	13.12.2013	15.12.2013
61.	27/07	Mau	-	-	07.01.2007	08.01.2007
62.	283/13	Varanasi	Yes	-	18.03.2011	24.06.2013
Total			06	11		

(Source: Guria, NGO)

Appendix 7.6
Summary Statement of Grant under all components of the
***Ujjawala* scheme for a year**
(Reference: Paragraph no. 7.9; page 77)

Sl. No.	Name of the Component	Component-wise total budget (in ₹) for a year					
		Grade-A Cities			Grade-B Cities		
		Recurring	Non-recurring	Total	Recurring	Non-recurring	Total
1	Prevention	1,66,500	0	1,66,500	1,66,500	0	1,66,500
2	Rescue	23,500	0	23,500	23,500	0	23,500
3	Rehabilitation	17,36,500	1,50,000	18,86,500	16,76,500	1,50,000	18,26,500
4	Re-integration	1,14,500	10,000	1,24,500	1,14,500	10,000	1,24,500
5	Repatriation-cross border	35,000	0	35,000	35,000	0	35,000
Total		20,76,000	1,60,000	22,36,000	20,16,000	1,60,000	21,76,000
Grand Total				22,36,000			21,76,000

(Source: Guidelines of Ujjawala scheme)

Appendix 7.7

Status of *Ujjawala* projects in the State during 2010-15

(Reference: Paragraph no. 7.9.1; page 77)

(₹ in lakh)

Sl. No.	Name of Project	District	Sanctioned Date	Component of the Scheme	Period	Fund Released by GoI	Expenditure	Remarks
1	Kisan Sewa Sansthan Basti	Basti	21.05.10	03 (Prevention, Rescue, Rehabilitation)	6/10 to 05/11	19.42	17.91	UC of ₹ 1.51 lakh not sent
2	Mahila Utthan Sansthan, Barabanki	Barabanki	14.03.11	05 (Prevention, Rescue, Re-integration, Rehabilitation & Re-partition)	9/10 to 03/11	8.91	8.91	
3	Venus Vikas Sansthan, Lucknow	Lucknow	22.04.10	01 (Prevention)	11/10 to 4/11	0.75	0.75	
4	Jagriti Ashtabhujia Nagar, Pratapgarh	Pratapgarh	21.05.10	01 (Prevention)	6/10 to 3/11	0.75	0.75	
5	Panchsheel Thinkers Samiti, Siddarth Nagar	Siddarth Nagar	21.05.10	01 (Prevention)	6/10 to 3/11	0.75	0.75	
6	Jan Kalyan Gramodhoyag Sewa Ashram, Sonbhadra	Sonbhadra	16.01.12	01 (Prevention)	1/12 to 3/13	0.75	0.75	
7	Natural Human Resources Development Faizabad	Faizabad	27.06.12	01 (Prevention)	6/12 to 3/13	0.75	0.75	
8	Sanchit Vikas Sansthan, Labnapar, Basti	Balrampur	04.01.13	03 (Prevention, Rescue, Rehabilitation)	1/13 to 12/13	7.18	7.18	
9	Lok Sewa EWAM Gramin Pradhoyogiki Vikas Sansthan, Faizabad	Faizabad	27.06.12	03 (Prevention, Rescue, Rehabilitation)	7/12 to 12/12	6.44	6.44	
10	Charm Sharmik Udyog Sansthan, Kanpur	Kanpur	04.02.13	01 (Re-habilitation)	9/12 to 3/13	5.50	5.50	
11	Saryodaya Gram Vikas Parishad, Allahabad	Allahabad	06.06.13	01 (Prevention)	NA	0.75	0	UC not sent
12	Bhartiya Mahila Gramodyog Sansthan, Karchhana, Allahabad	Allahabad (closed on 15.4.13)	02.02.12	04 (Prevention, Rescue, Rehabilitation & Re-integration)	3/12 to 9/12	6.33	6.33	
13	Chauhan Gramodhyog Vikas Sewa Samiti, Unnao	Unnao	22.10.12	04 (Prevention, Rescue, Rehabilitation & Re-integration)	10/12 to 3/13	7.79	0	UC not sent
Total						66.07	56.02	

(Source: Directorate, Women Welfare Department and information provided by Probation Officers of test checked districts)

Appendix 8.1
Component wise assistance for a 30 residents *Swadhar Greh*
(Reference: Paragraph: Introduction; page 81)

Sl. No.	Name of the Component	Total budget for the component (in ₹)	Remarks
1.	Assistance for Construction	1,00,000 one time	Share: Central 75 per cent and State 25 per cent
2.	Assistance for Rent	25,000 per month	Share: Central 90 per cent and implementing agency 10 per cent
3.	Administration and Management	5,40,000 per annum	For 30 residents <i>Swadhar Greh</i>
4.	Other Recurring Expenditure (food, clothing, medicine, pocket money, recreational activities, vocational training, contingency including telephone charges)	6,59,000 per annum	For 30 residents <i>Swadhar Greh</i>

(Source: Guidelines of Swadhar Greh scheme)

Appendix 8.2
Grant release and expenditure under *Swadhar Greh* scheme during 2010-15
(Reference: Paragraph no. 8.1; page 81)

(₹ in lakh)

Year	No. of sanctioned projects	No. of project which received grant	Amount Sanctioned	Amount Released	Expenditure/ UC given for	UC not sent status
2010-11	56	29	181.14	181.14	181.14	00
2011-12	56	42	256.09	256.09	256.09	00
2012-13	56	38	237.96	237.96	207.63	30.33
2013-14	56	18	132.14	132.14	73.69	58.45
2014-15	56	00	00	00	00	00
Total			807.33	807.33	718.55	88.78

(Source: Directorate, Women Welfare Department)

Appendix 8.3

List of Districts where *Swadhar Grehs* were not established

(Reference: Paragraph no. 8.2.2; page 83)

Sl. No.	Name of District where Swadhar Greh not established	Sl. No.	Name of District where Swadhar Greh reported closed by district Nodal Officer
1.	Aligarh	1	Allahabad
2.	Auraiya	2	Azamgarh
3.	Baghpat	3	Deoria
4.	Ballia	4	Jhansi
5.	Balrampur	5	Varanasi
6.	Bijnor		
7.	Bulandshahr		
8.	Chitrakoot		
9.	Etah		
10.	Fatehpur		
11.	Firozabad		
12.	Gautam Buddha Nagar		
13.	Ghaziabad		
14.	Gorakhpur		
15.	Hapur		
16.	Jalaun		
17.	Jyotiba Phule Nagar		
18.	Kannauj		
19.	Kasganj		
20.	Kaushambi		
21.	Lalitpur		
22.	Mahoba		
23.	Mau		
24.	Meerut		
25.	Moradabad		
26.	Muzaffarnagar		
27.	Rampur		
28.	Saharanpur		
29.	Sambhal		
30.	Shahjahanpur		
31.	Shamali		
32.	Shrawasti		
33.	Unnao		

(Source: Directorate, Women Welfare Department and information provided by Probation Officers of test checked districts)

Appendix 8.4

Improper functioning of Swadhar Greh (Results of JPI at test-checked districts)

(Reference: Paragraph no. 8.3; page 83)

Sl. No.	Topic/ Subject	Provisions in scheme guidelines	Irregularities noticed in Joint Physical Inspection																																				
1	Lack of space and facilities	As per scheme guideline, every <i>Swadhar Greh</i> was to have residential space of not less than 80 square feet per inmate excluding common space and utilities with proper facilities of bathrooms, toilets and dining hall and adequate ventilation to ensure a respectable and dignified standard of living for residents. Further, guidelines envisaged that a certificate of suitability of the proposed premises for use as a <i>Swadhar Greh</i> was to be obtained from the concerned municipal authority/ PRI.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Swadhar Greh</i> managed by <i>Avadh Grameen Vikas Sansthan</i> in Sultanpur district was sanctioned for 50 inmates. During JPI it was found that its residential space was 540 square feet against provisioned 4000 square feet. Further, the single toilet situated outside the <i>Swadhar Greh</i>, was without door and the condition of toilet was shabby. • <i>Swadhar Greh</i> at Pratapgarh, managed by <i>Maxon Gramodyog Samiti</i> had only five rooms (10x14 feet) having only seven single bed sets, for 50 inmates against required 4000 square feet. • Certificate of suitability of the premises for use as <i>Swadhar Greh</i> was not obtained by any of the eight test-checked <i>Swadhar Greh</i>. 																																				
2	Excess reporting of beneficiaries	Beneficiaries should be present at <i>Swadhar Greh</i> as per registration.	<p style="text-align: center;">Details of Presence of Inmates during JPI</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">District</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Inmates registered</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Inmates found</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Per cent of presence</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Agra</td> <td style="text-align: center;">50</td> <td style="text-align: center;">00</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ambedkar Nagar</td> <td style="text-align: center;">40</td> <td style="text-align: center;">08</td> <td style="text-align: center;">20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Banda</td> <td style="text-align: center;">43</td> <td style="text-align: center;">09</td> <td style="text-align: center;">21</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Pratapgarh</td> <td style="text-align: center;">28</td> <td style="text-align: center;">02</td> <td style="text-align: center;">07</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1. Jagriti 2. Maxon</td> <td style="text-align: center;">50</td> <td style="text-align: center;">00</td> <td style="text-align: center;">00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sant Kabir Nagar</td> <td style="text-align: center;">NA</td> <td style="text-align: center;">00</td> <td style="text-align: center;">00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sitapur</td> <td style="text-align: center;">27</td> <td style="text-align: center;">17</td> <td style="text-align: center;">63</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sultanpur</td> <td style="text-align: center;">27</td> <td style="text-align: center;">19</td> <td style="text-align: center;">70</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	District	Inmates registered	Inmates found	Per cent of presence	Agra	50	00	0	Ambedkar Nagar	40	08	20	Banda	43	09	21	Pratapgarh	28	02	07	1. Jagriti 2. Maxon	50	00	00	Sant Kabir Nagar	NA	00	00	Sitapur	27	17	63	Sultanpur	27	19	70
District	Inmates registered	Inmates found	Per cent of presence																																				
Agra	50	00	0																																				
Ambedkar Nagar	40	08	20																																				
Banda	43	09	21																																				
Pratapgarh	28	02	07																																				
1. Jagriti 2. Maxon	50	00	00																																				
Sant Kabir Nagar	NA	00	00																																				
Sitapur	27	17	63																																				
Sultanpur	27	19	70																																				
3	Lack of support services	As per scheme guidelines, medical check-up of every resident was to be conducted within three days of her admission. Adequate medical facilities including medical kit were to be maintained at each <i>Swadhar Greh</i> . Further, indoor and outdoor activities, skill development, vocational training and rehabilitation were to be carried out in each project.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Records of medical checkups of inmates were not found in any of the eight <i>Swadhar Greh</i>. Further, <i>Swadhar Greh</i> established at Banda, Pratapgarh and Sant Kabir Nagar districts were not maintaining adequate medical facilities including medical kits; and • No evidence of indoor & outdoor activities, skill development, vocational training and rehabilitation of women being carried out was found in any of the eight <i>Swadhar Greh</i>. 																																				
4	Improper maintenance of Records and other shortcomings	As per scheme guideline, admission register, case file, admission forms and photo identity card of inmates were to be maintained. Further, information of each and every inmate admitted in the <i>Swadhar Greh</i> was to be sent to the nearest police station within 48 hours and an acknowledgement/copy of FIR was to be kept in record.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Admission register and case file were not maintained in <i>Swadhar Greh</i> at Pratapgarh and Banda. Further, admission forms of inmates were incomplete in <i>Swadhar Greh</i> at Ambedkar Nagar and Sant Kabir Nagar. Where as in district Banda, admission forms of 20 out of 43 inmates were not available; • None of the test-checked <i>Swadhar Greh</i> had sent information of inmates admitted in the <i>Swadhar Greh</i> to the nearest police station within 48 hours; and • Photo identity cards were not issued to the inmates in any of the eight test-checked <i>Swadhar Greh</i>. 																																				

(Source: Result of Joint Physical Inspection)

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACMO	Additional Chief Medical Officer
AGs	Adolescent Girls
AHS	Annual Health Survey
AIDS	Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome
ANM	Auxiliary Nursing Midwife
APIP	Annual Programme Implementation Plan
ASHA	Accredited Social Health Activist
AWC	Angan Wadi Centre
AWLC	Angan Wadi Level Committee
AWTC	Angan Wadi Training Centre
AWW	Angan Wadi Worker
BLC	Block Level Committee
BPL	Below Poverty Line
CAS	Core Application Software
CDPO	Child Development Project Officer
CHC	Community Health Centre
CMO	Chief Medical Officer
CSB	Central Supervisory Board
CSR	Child Sex Ratio
DAA	District Appropriate Authority
DAC	District Advisory Committee
DAP	District Action Plan
DHAP	District Health Action Plan
DLC	District Level Committee
DM	District Magistrate
DMTT	District Mobile Training Team
DPO	District Programme Officer
DWWC	District Women Welfare Committee
FIR	First Information Report
GoI	Government of India
GoUP	Government of Uttar Pradesh
HIV	Human Immunodeficiency Virus
HMIS	Health Management Information System
ICDS	Integrated Child Development Services
ID Card	Identification Card
IEC	Information Education and Communication
IFA	Iron and Folic Acid
IMR	Infant Mortality Rate
ITP Act	Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act
IUD	Intra-Uterine Device

List of Abbreviations

JSY	Janani Suraksha Yojna
JPI	Joint Physical Inspection
KSJ	<i>Kishori Shakti Yojana</i>
MDGs	Millennium Development Goals
MDR	Maternal Death Review
MIS	Management Information System
MLTC	Middle Level Training Centre
MMR	Maternal Mortality Rate
MHA	Ministry of Home Affairs
MPR	Monthly Progress Report
LS	Lady Supervisor
MTP	Medical Termination of Pregnancy
MWCD	Ministry of Women and Child Development
NA	Not Available
NCRB	National Crime Record Bureau
NGO	Non-Government Organisation
NHE	Nutrition and Health Education
NHM	National Health Mission
NPAG	Nutrition Programme for Adolescent Girls
PAB	Project Approval Board
P&R	Protective and rehabilitative
PC-PNDT	Pre-Conception and Pre-Natal Diagnostic Techniques (Prohibition of Sex Selection)
PHC	Primary Health Centre
PIP	Programme Implementation Plan
PLA	Personal Ledger Account
PMC	Project Monitoring Committee
PRI	Panchayati Raj Institution
PSE	Pre-School Education
RGSEAG (SABLA)	Rajiv Gandhi Scheme for Empowerment of Adolescent Girls
SAA	State Appropriate Authority
SDM	Sub-District Magistrate
SAC	State Advisory Committee
SBA	Skilled Birth Attendant
SHGs	Self Help Groups
SIMC	State Inspection and Monitoring Committee
SLEC	State Level Empowered Committee
SNP	Supplementary Nutrition Programme
SSB	State Supervisory Board
SLSA	State Legal Services Authority
TFR	Total Fertility Rate
THR	Take Home Ration

TT	Tetanus Toxoid
UCs	Utilisation Certificates
UID	Unique Identification Number
UNODC	United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
USG	Ultrasonography
VHND	Village Health and Nutrition Day
VHSNC	Village Health Sanitation and Nutrition Committee