

## Annexure 1

(Referred to in Paragraph 1.8 at page no. 12, Paragraph 1.9 at page no. 13 and 1.13 at page no. 18)  
Summarised financial results of Power Sector Undertakings for the latest year for which accounts were finalised

(₹ in crore)

Sl. No.	Activity & Name of the Power Sector Undertaking	Period of accounts	Net profit/ loss before interest & tax	Net profit/ loss after interest & tax	Turn over	Paid up capital	Capital Employed	Net Worth <sup>1</sup>	Accumulated Profit/ loss
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
<b>A.</b>	<b>Generation</b>								
1	Chhabra Power Limited (Subsidiary of Sl. No. 4)	2017-18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.01	0.01	-0.04
2	Dholpur Gas Power Limited (Subsidiary of Sl. No. 4)	2017-18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.01	0.01	-0.04
3	Giral Lignite Power Limited (Subsidiary of Sl. No. 4)	2017-18	-90.81	-241.45	0.00	370.05	-268.00	-570.59	-940.64
4	Rajasthan Rajya Vidyut Utpadan Nigam Limited	2017-18	3293.54	607.26	12784.11	9721.45	40463.76	5288.09	-4431.59
5	Rajasthan Renewable Energy Corporation Limited	2017-18	18.26	9.78	107.77	12.94	169.57	169.57	156.63
	<b>Sub-total</b>		<b>3220.99</b>	<b>375.59</b>	<b>12891.88</b>	<b>10104.54</b>	<b>40365.35</b>	<b>4887.09</b>	<b>-5215.68</b>
<b>B.</b>	<b>Transmission</b>								
6	Banswara Thermal Power Company Limited (Subsidiary of Sl. No. 9)	2017-18	-0.27	-0.27	0.00	0.05	-9.30	-9.30	-9.35
7	Barmer Thermal Power Company Limited (Subsidiary of Sl. No. 9)	2017-18	-0.02	-1.77	0.00	0.05	-15.26	-15.26	-15.31
8	Keshoraipatan Gas Thermal Power Company Limited (Subsidiary of Sl. No. 9)	2017-18	-0.02	-0.02	0.00	0.05	-2.00	-2.00	-2.05
9	Rajasthan Rajya Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited	2017-18	1297.04	195.71	2839.59	4270.73	13766.19	3024.67	-1246.06
	<b>Sub-total</b>		<b>1296.73</b>	<b>193.65</b>	<b>2839.59</b>	<b>4270.88</b>	<b>13739.63</b>	<b>2998.11</b>	<b>-1272.77</b>
<b>C.</b>	<b>Distribution</b>								
10	Ajmer Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited	2017-18	4910.61	1199.08	11285.67	8933.43	-589.00	-20551.94	-29485.37
11	Jaipur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited	2017-18	5207.92	943.16	16257.44	9625.12	-243.85	-22341.63	-31966.59
12	Jodhpur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited	2017-18	3904.80	30.47	12304.22	8892.03	-2136.63	-22116.53	-31008.53
	<b>Sub-total</b>		<b>14023.33</b>	<b>2172.71</b>	<b>39847.33</b>	<b>27450.58</b>	<b>-2969.48</b>	<b>-65010.1</b>	<b>-92460.49</b>
<b>D.</b>	<b>Others</b>								
13	Rajasthan Solarpark Development Company Limited (Subsidiary of Sl. No. 5)	2017-18	12.96	8.90	17.43	0.05	19.27	19.27	19.22
14	Rajasthan Urja Vikas Nigam Limited	2017-18	0.00	0.00	9.23	50.00	50.00	50.00	0.00
15	Rajasthan Rajya Vidyut Vitran Vitta Nigam	2017-18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	<b>Sub-total</b>		<b>12.96</b>	<b>8.90</b>	<b>26.66</b>	<b>50.05</b>	<b>69.27</b>	<b>69.27</b>	<b>19.22</b>
	<b>Grand total</b>		<b>18554.01</b>	<b>2750.85</b>	<b>55605.46</b>	<b>41876.05</b>	<b>51204.77</b>	<b>-57055.63</b>	<b>-98929.72</b>

<sup>1</sup> Net worth is the sum total of the paid-up capital and free reserves and surplus minus accumulated losses and deferred revenue expenditure. DRE of ₹ 1.77 crore, ₹ 0.16 crore and ₹ 0.03 crore was deducted in case of Rajasthan Rajya Vidyut Utpadan Nigam limited, Jaipur Vidyut Vitran Nigam limited and Jodhpur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited to arrive at the net worth figures.

**Annexure 2**

(Referred to in Paragraph 1.12 at page no. 16)

**Statement showing State Government funds infused in the seven power sector undertakings since inception till 31 March 2018**

(₹ in crore)

Year	AVVNL			JVVNL			JdVVNL			RVPNL	RVUNL	RRECL	RUVNL	Total		
	Equity	Interest Free Loan (IFL)	IFL converted into equity	Equity	Interest Free Loan (IFL)	IFL converted into equity	Equity	Interest Free Loan (IFL)	IFL converted into equity	Equity	Equity	Equity	Equity	Equity	Interest Free Loan (IFL)	IFL converted into equity
2000-01	150.00	0.00	0.00	140.00	0.00	0.00	120.00	0.00	0.00	-465.99	432.72	3.65	0.00	380.38*	0.00	0.00
2001-02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	363.00	0.00	0.00	363.00	0.00	0.00
2002-03	15.00	0.00	0.00	15.00	0.00	0.00	14.00	0.00	0.00	79.00	209.00	6.43	0.00	338.43	0.00	0.00
2003-04	15.00	0.00	0.00	15.00	0.00	0.00	14.00	0.00	0.00	79.00	159.00	0.76	0.00	282.76	0.00	0.00
2004-05	57.00		0.00	60.00		0.00	55.00		0.00	56.00	120.00	2.00	0.00	350.00		0.00
2005-06	75.50	118.70	0.00	80.00	131.97	0.00	74.00	99.33	0.00	70.00	331.00	0.10	0.00	630.60	350.00	0.00
2006-07	83.00	51.75	0.00	88.00	55.50	0.00	81.00	42.75	0.00	90.00	352.00	0.00	0.00	694.00	150.00	0.00
2007-08	120.00	54.00	0.00	80.00	54.00	0.00	80.00	42.00	0.00	125.00	658.00	0.00	0.00	1063.00	150.00	0.00
2008-09	120.00	90.00	0.00	235.00	90.00	0.00	110.00	70.00	0.00	165.00	706.00	0.00	0.00	1336.00	250.00	0.00
2009-10	160.00	61.20	0.00	230.00	61.20	0.00	0.00	47.60	0.00	240.00	650.00	0.00	0.00	1280.00	170.00	0.00
2010-11	192.29	0.00	0.00	210.00	0.00	0.00	402.00	0.00	0.00	400.00	336.00	0.00	0.00	1540.29	0.00	0.00
2011-12	524.36	295.47	375.65	562.67	391.34	392.67	466.68	308.19	301.68	400.00	521.00	0.00	0.00	2474.71	995.00	1070.00
2012-13	916.66	371.35	0.00	969.18	309.23	0.00	877.16	319.42	0.00	449.00	636.00	0.00	0.00	3848.00	1000.00	0.00
2013-14	910.18	0.00	0.00	901.57	0.00	0.00	1000.25	0.00	0.00	326.00	740.00	0.00	0.00	3878.00	0.00	0.00
2014-15	988.46	70.88	271.85	1041.10	94.50	231.11	968.15	70.87	226.44	370.00	881.50	0.00	0.00	4249.21	236.25	729.40
2015-16	2485.70	70.88	394.97	2726.78	94.50	469.46	2540.18	70.87	401.17	537.16	1144.00	0.00	0.00	9433.82	236.25	1265.60
2016-17	1041.70	0.00	0.00	1108.76	0.00	0.00	1026.62	0.00	0.00	194.56	694.08	0.00	50.00	4115.72	0.00	0.00
2017-18	1078.58	0.00	0.00	1162.06	0.00	0.00	1062.99	0.00	0.00	250.01	296.28	0.00	0.00	3849.92	0.00	0.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>8933.43</b>	<b>1184.23</b>		<b>9625.12</b>	<b>1282.24</b>		<b>8892.03</b>	<b>1071.03</b>		<b>3364.74</b>	<b>9229.58</b>	<b>12.94</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>40107.84</b>	<b>3537.50</b>	<b>3065.00</b>

\* This shows net investment/ equity net of accumulated losses invested by GoR. Total outgo of ₹ 376.73 crore (i.e. Equity of ₹ 1774.59 crore - accumulated losses of RSEB of ₹ 1397.86 crore) in five companies formed from unbundling of RSEB + ₹ 3.65 crore (initial equity of RREC).



## Annexure-3

(Referred to in paragraph 2.9 and 3.1.3 at page no. 32 and 70)

Statement showing deviation in implementation of provisions prescribed for procurement of material under the Rajasthan Transparency in Public Procurement Act 2012/ Rules 2013

S. No.	Provision/ criterion of deviation	Brief of deviation from the provision of the RTPP Act 2012/ Rules 2013
1	Negotiations	<p><b>Rule-69 of RTPP Rules-2013</b> provides that:</p> <p>(1) except in case of procurement by method of single source procurement or procurement by competitive negotiations, to the extent possible, no negotiations shall be conducted after the pre-bid stage.</p> <p>(2) Negotiations may, however, be undertaken only with the lowest or most advantageous bidder in circumstances as prescribed in the Rules.</p> <p>(6) In case the negotiations with lowest bidder are not satisfactory the bid evaluation committee may choose to make a written counter offer to the lowest or most advantageous bidder and if this is not accepted by him, the committee may decide to reject and re-invite bids or to make the same counter-offer first to the second lowest or most advantageous bidder, then to the third lowest or most advantageous bidder and so on in the order of their initial standing and work / supply order be awarded to the bidder who accepts the counter-offer. This procedure should be used in exceptional cases only.</p> <p><b>Para 21 of the Purchase Manual</b> stipulated that if the price tendered by the L-1 bidder was higher considering <i>inter alia</i> the price at which similar contract was awarded in past, negotiations may be held with the L-1 bidder. In case reduced price after negotiations is considered unreasonable, the purchasing authority should make counter offer at the reasonable price as worked out on the basis of costing/own updated price, to all the bidders and supply orders be awarded to the bidder(s) who accepts the counter offer.</p>
2	Time frame for procurement process.	<p><b>The Purchase Manual</b> provides a time frame of 120 days for validity of tenders (Clause 22.8) whereas the RTPP provides the time frame of 70 days under Rule 40.</p>
3	Repeat Orders	<p><b>Rule-73 of the RTPP Rules-2013</b> provides that repeat orders can be placed on suppliers subject to maximum limit of 50 <i>per cent</i> of value of good of the original contract. <b>Clause 23 of the Purchase Manual</b> provides that purchase authority reserves the right to sanction placement of repeat orders on the same supplier (s) for</p>

		quantities equal to 15 <i>per cent</i> of the originally ordered quantity after recording reasons and obtaining approval of the competent purchase committee provided such orders shall not be given after expiry of three months from the last date of scheduled supply of the original order. Further, CLPC shall however, full power to approve purchase of any quantity but up to quantity of original orders for reasons to be recorded in writing.
4	Allocation of Quantity among more than one bidder at the time of award	<b>Rule 74 of the RTPP Rules-2013</b> provides that when it is considered that the quantity of the subject matter of procurement to be procured is very large and it may not be in the capacity of the bidder, whose bid is accepted, to deliver the entire quantity or when it is considered that the subject matter of procurement to be procured is of critical and vital nature, the quantity may be divided between the bidder, whose bid is accepted and the second lowest bidder or even more bidders in that order, in a fair, transparent and equitable manner at the rates of the bidder, whose bid is accepted if such condition is specified in the bidding documents. <b>Clause 10.23 of Purchase Manual</b> has provision of distributing quantities in different ratios among bidders for different kind of materials.
5	Security Deposit	<b>Clause 1.59 of the GCC</b> has provision of accepting 2% of the order value as security deposit from suppliers. However, <b>the RTPP Act-2012/Rules-2013</b> has no such provision..
6	Penalty on supplier on withdrawal of bids/withdrawal from procurement process.	<b>Section 42 (2) of RTPP Act-2012 states that</b> a bidder who-(a) withdraws from the procurement process after opening of financial bids; (b) withdraws from the procurement process after being declared the successful bidder; (c) fails to enter into procurement contract after being declared the successful bidder; (d) fails to provide performance security or any other document or security required in terms of the bidding documents after being declared the successful bidder, without valid grounds, shall, in addition to the recourse available in the bidding documents or the contract, be punished with fine which may extend to fifty lakh rupees or ten per cent of the assessed value of procurement, whichever is less. <b>Company's GCC</b> has provisions (Rule 1.24) of forfeiture of EMD/cancellation of vendor registration and severment of business relations with such firm for the maximum period of three years from the date of issue of order.
7	Clarification of bids	<b>Rule 60 (4) of RTPP Rules 2013</b> provides no substantive change to qualification information or to a submission, including changes aimed at making a unqualified bidder, qualified or an unresponsive



		<p>submission, responsive shall be sought, offered or permitted.</p> <p><b>As per practice,</b> Company convey observations/shortcomings/deviations from qualifying requirement to the bidder and in case bidder fulfils/furnishes the same, the bids are considered responsive</p>
8	Maintenance of Procurement Management Information System (MIS)	<p><b>Rule 9 of the RTPP Rules 2013</b> provides that every procuring entity shall develop and maintain a Procurement Management Information System (MIS) for tracking the procurement process, <b>The Purchase Manual</b> did not provide for maintenance of an MIS for tracking the procurement process despite specific provision made under the RTPP Rules 2013.</p>
9	Trial Order	<p><b>The Purchase Manual</b> provides that only Rajasthan based suppliers <i>i.e.</i> new suppliers as well as the existing suppliers who failed to meet the minimum quantity supplied criteria but having adequate and required manufacturing and testing facility and technical knowhow of the tendered material, are to be eligible for trial order.</p> <p><b>The RTTP Act</b> do not provide for placing trial order.</p>
10	Comparison of rates of Rajasthan based firms and outside firms	<p><b>The RTPP Act</b> provides that while tabulating the bids of those firms which are not entitled to price preference, the element of Rajasthan Value Added Tax (R-VAT) shall be excluded from the rates quoted by the Rajasthan based firms whereas the element of Central Sales Tax shall be included in the rates of firms located outside Rajasthan for evaluation purpose.</p> <p><b>The Purchase Manual</b> provides for comparison of rates on the basis of net Free on Road (F.O.R.) destination price (including all taxes and insurance charges).</p>

**Annexure-4**

**(Referred to in paragraph 2.12.2 at page no. 36)**

**Statement showing stock of items remaining unutilised**

**(Quantity in number/ ₹ in lakh)**

S. No.	Supply item	Tender (TN) Number	Date of purchase order	Ordered quantity	Stock as on 31 March 2018	
					Quantity	Value
1	33 KV Line DP Set	947	22.01.16	1000	171	13.35
2	11 KV Disc Insulator	1185	18.09.15	75000	54076	155.17
3	Hardware fitting for 11 KV Disc Insulator	4475	23.03.15	51577	51957	233.52
		1198	12.01.16	40000		
4	MCCB Three Phase 40 Amp	970	05.12.15	28789	8200	81.57
5	MCCB Three Phase 32 Amp	970	05.12.15	28789	4170 <sup>2</sup>	41.48
6	MCCB Single Phase 135 Amp	2284	22.08.14	120000	8271	48.70
		970	05.12.15	5344		
7	MCCB Single Phase 80 Amp	970	05.12.15	8226	4669	23.38
	<b>Total</b>					<b>597.17</b>

2 Total Stock (10072) – Stock against subsequent TN-1087 (5902)



**Annexure-5**  
(Referred to in paragraph 2.19.11 at page no. 60)

**Statement showing cases of idle inventory**

***Case 1: Maintenance of high closing balance of steel stay sets***

As on 31 March 2013, there was balance of 11528 Steel Stay sets (Hot Dip Galvanized) lying with all ACOS. During the period 2013-18, the ACOS received supply of 163399<sup>3</sup> steel stay sets from suppliers and issued 152314<sup>4</sup> steel stay sets to the sub-divisions under their jurisdiction. Thus, 22613 steel stays sets remained unutilised as on 31 March 2018. During analysis of pattern of receipt and issue of steel stay sets, it was noticed that the closing balance maintained by the ACOS against the monthly requirement of steel sets remained equivalent to 3.30 months during 2013-14.

We observed that the closing stock of steel stay sets maintained by the ACOS steeply increased and remained abnormally high during 2014-18 as it ranged between 10.47 and 48.45 month consumption during that period. However, the Company continuously kept blocking its funds and utilised the procured steel stay sets in subsequent years instead of the respective year of procurement. This is also evident from the fact that closing balance maintained by the Company ranged between ₹ 1.84 crore<sup>5</sup> and ₹ 4.26 crore<sup>6</sup> during 2013-18.

***Case 2: Non-utilisation of MCCB distribution box***

As on 31 March 2006, 3002 Moulded Case Circuit Breaker (MCCB) distribution box (40 Ampere) were lying with the ACOS Ajmer City. During the year 2006-07, the ACOS Ajmer City received further supply of 29 MCCB distribution boxes. Out of total 3031 MCCB distribution boxes, the ACOS Ajmer City issued 1126 (515 in 2006-07, 231 in 2011-12, 109 in 2014-15 and 271 in 2015-16) MCCB distribution boxes till March 2018. We noticed that no quantity of MCCB distribution boxes was utilised during the eight years period i.e. 2007-11, 2012-14 and 2016-18 and remaining 1905 MCCB distribution boxes were lying with the ACOS Ajmer City (March 2018). We observed that despite lapse of more than 11 years from procurement, the ACOS could not ensure utilisation of remaining 1905 MCCB distribution boxes valuing ₹ 28.46 lakh. In absence of timely utilisation, the possibility of deterioration of quality of these boxes also cannot be ruled out.

***Case 3: Non-utilisation of LT-CT meters***

The Company placed (October 2015) order for supply of three phase low tension current transformer (LT-CT) meters on Genus Innovation Limited under TN-819. As per clause 8 of the purchase order, warranty of these meters was to be five years from the date of commissioning or five and half years from the date of receipt of last consignment whichever is earlier.

We noticed that supply of 2100 and 2800 LT-CT meters were received (March 2016) at ACOS Sikar and Ajmer City respectively. However, the ACOS Sikar and Ajmer City could ensure utilisation of 438 and 837 LT-CT meters respectively upto March 2018. Thus, remaining 3625 LT-CT meters<sup>7</sup> valuing ₹ 96.06 lakh were lying unutilised with the ACOS even after lapse of two years from receipt of these meters.

***Case 4: Non-utilisation of material***

During scrutiny of records of ACOS Udaipur, it was noticed that ACOS Sikar transferred (June 2012 and August 2012) 12 kV vacuum circuit breakers alongwith support structure and Control & Relay panel and seven<sup>8</sup> store items valuing ₹ 26.08 lakh and ₹ 32.95 lakh

- 3 76183 in 2013-14, 75107 in 2014-15, 4332 in 2015-16, 5101 in 2016-17 and 2676 in 2017-18
- 4 68797 in 2013-14, 50221 in 2014-15, 11250 in 2015-16, 8334 in 2016-17 and 13712 in 2017-18
- 5 18914 @ ₹ 972.98 in March 2014
- 6 43800 @ ₹ 972.98 in March 2015
- 7 Total meters procured (4900) – total meters utilised (438+837=1275)
- 8 Support structure for capacitor banks, 11 kV Pin insulators, Power transformers, Capacitor banks, battery chargers, Control and relay panel and 12 kV VCBs

respectively to ACOS, Udaipur. The ACOS Udaipur also received (November 2011) 15 current transformers valuing ₹ 34.31 lakh from Mehru Electricals under TN-1995. The entire material valuing ₹ 93.34 lakh could not be utilised (May 2017). In absence of possibilities of utilising the material in distribution network, the ACOS Udaipur proposed (May 2017) to divert the material to another state PSU (Rajasthan Rajya Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited) but no decision was found on records. Thus, the ACOS Udaipur could not ensure utilisation of this material even after lapse of more than five years and the material was lying with the ACOS till March 2018.

***Case 5: Acceptance of Galvanised Iron wire from turnkey contractors***

The Company discontinued separate purchase of galvanised iron (GI) wire as it come only alongwith AB cable and the last purchase of GI wire was made in November 2007 under TN-264. The DCF directed (August 2010) the DISCOMs for not accepting GI wire as surplus material from the turnkey contractors. However, the Company did not discontinue accepting deposit of surplus GI wire from the turnkey contractors due to short closure of the contracts. The quantum of total GI wire accepted from turnkey contractors in violation of directions of the DCF could not be ascertained in absence of requisite information/ data. We however noticed that total unutilised stock of 834.44 MT (8 SWG) GI wire valuing ₹ 2.67 crore were lying with the ACOS (March 2017). During test check of records, we noticed that ACOS Ajmer City accepted 18.56 MT GI wire valuing ₹ 9.82 lakh from August 2014 to October 2014 in violation of the directions of DCF.



## Annexure-6

(Referred to in paragraph 2.20.5 at page no. 64)

**Statement showing instances relating to acceptance of surplus material from turnkey contractors*****Case 1: Blockage of funds in Switch Fuse Units (SFUs)***

During review of records, it was noticed that while implementation of turnkey contracts under FRP, in some cases, SFUs remained surplus with the contractors due to their limited use and accordingly, the Company accepted deposit of 1744 three phase SFUs valuing ₹ 2.87 crore and 3301 single phase SFUs valuing ₹ 1.27 crore during closure of the turnkey contracts. Later, the matter was placed (June 2013) before technical committee to take decision on utilising these SFUs. The committee recommended to use three phase SFUs in urban and municipal town feeders having 24 hours electricity supplies and single phase SFUs in abadi area only.

We observed that the Company accepted deposit of single phase SFUs despite the fact that the contractors were allowed to use only three phase SFUs. We also observed that SFUs valuing ₹ 1.24 crore<sup>9</sup> were lying unutilised with the ACOS till March 2018 whereas remaining SFUs were issued to the sub-divisional stores. In absence of requisite information, utilisation of the SFUs issued to the field offices could also not be ensured.

The Government accepted the facts and stated that the utilisation of SFUs was slow for preventing the transformers from thefts in rural areas and assured to utilise the remaining SFUs within one month. (July 2018)

***Case 2: Acceptance of surplus material without testing by CTL***

The Chairman DISCOMs issued (February 2009) directions providing that the surplus material is required to be accepted from the turnkey contractors after successful testing by CTL only. During review of records, one instance was noticed where the turnkey contractor (Genus Power Infrastructure Limited) was required to supply 16 kVA DTs also as per scope of the work orders issued under TN-177 and 179. The work orders provided guarantee of five years for replacement of GP failed DTs. We noticed that the ACOS Chittorgarh accepted (May 2015) deposit of 169 surplus DTs valuing ₹ 0.56 crore which were supplied upto May 2011. We observed that the Company did not ensure timely deposit of surplus DTs by the contractor due to delay in closure of turnkey contracts and accepted deposit of these surplus DTs after lapse of more than four years from supply of these DTs. Thus, most of the guarantee period has been lapsed without utilisation of these DTs. Further, the DTs were accepted without CTL testing as per prescribed norms.

The Government/Company did not furnish any reply for accepting surplus DTs despite lapse of almost entire guarantee period.

***Case 3: Abnormal delay in constitution of committee for testing by CTL***

In case of supply and erection of the work under Urban Focus Programme, the Company placed (October 2007) work order on Raychem RPG (Contractor) under TN-172 and after execution of work, the contractor deposited (March 2012) the surplus material to the concerned ACOS (Udaipur). The ACOS Udaipur intimated (October 2014/February 2015) the SE (O&M) regarding deposition of surplus material by the contractor. However, the SE (O&M) did not appoint committee for acceptance of the material through testing by CTL. Later, the ACOS Udaipur intimated (September 2016) the SE (TW) that only 10 drums of 4C\*6 square metre cable was usable out of the entire deposited material (including 30 drums of cable). We also noticed that the Company had already released payment of ₹ 10.89 lakh (i.e. 40 per cent of value of material) upto May 2010 as per provisions of the work order. Despite this, the Company did not take proper and timely action to deposit the surplus material by assessing usability of the material and adjust/recover cost of unusable material from the contractor.

The Government accepted the facts and stated that recovery of applicable amount will be made from the financial hold available against the supplier.

<sup>9</sup> Single phase SFUs valuing ₹ 18.17 lakh and three phase SFUs valuing ₹ 1.06 crore



**Case 4: Acceptance of cable and conductors without proper approval and CTL testing**

The Company awarded (2007) the works of supply of material and erection and commissioning for Feeder Renovation Programme (FRP) to Jyoti Structure Limited (Contractor) under TN-152, 153 and 161. Later, the Managing Director issued (3 December 2012) directions to three SE (O&M)<sup>10</sup> that the conductor and cables were to be got tested at the time of depositing the surplus material by the contractors under TN-126, 151, 152 and 153. In case of three tenders (TN-151 to 153), the contractor deposited the surplus material at sub divisional stores. On the proposal of concerned SE (O&M), the Managing Director waived (November 2013) the CTL testing of cable and conductors deposited by the contractor in the sub divisions as the material was already utilised.

As per directions of the SE (TW), the surplus material was required to be deposited in respective ACOS or the material deposited at sub divisions was to be regularized through respective ACOS and material credit notes (MCN) receipt duly verified by the respective ACOS were to be submitted to the Senior Accounts Officer (Central Payment Cell).

We observed that the sub-divisions accepted deposit of material worth ₹ 8.56 crore<sup>11</sup> in violation of the directions of the SE (TW) and utilised the entire deposited material without permission of the SE (TW). We also noticed that material worth ₹ 1.05 crore was accepted and utilised without CTL testing. Further, in view of the fact that the material was deposited and again issued on the same day without adopting proper procedure, possibility of misappropriation of material could not be ruled out.

The Government accepted the facts and stated that the material was accepted and utilised without proper approval and CTL testing due to acute shortage of material at sub-divisional level. It further stated that in view of the fact that CTL testing of already utilised material could not be ensured therefore necessary approval of the competent authority has been obtained.

---

<sup>10</sup> Rajsamand, Udaipur and Jhunjhunu

<sup>11</sup> ₹ 3.37 crore (TN-152), ₹1.50 crore (TN-153) and ₹ 3.69 crore (TN-161)



## Annexure-7

(Referred to in paragraph 2.22.2 at page no. 67)

## Statement showing instances of non utilisation of material

**Case 1: Non utilisation/disposal of the retrieved transformers**

The Company issued (August 2006) directions for depositing the material retrieved under Feeder Renovation Programme (FRP) with the concerned Stores. The Company also directed to constitute a Committee to review status of the retrieved material and to give recommendation about its re-use or declaring the material as scrap. The Company also issued (November 2011) an office order which provided for constitution of a three<sup>12</sup> member committee at each Circle office for checking the conditions of the FRP retrieved transformers lying with the Stores and the committee was required to submit its report within a period of ten days to the concerned SE (O&M).

In the meeting of the Head of the Departments under the chairmanship of the Managing Director, it was apprised that the distribution transformers removed under FRP were lying unutilised since long time and expressed possibilities to use some of these transformers in the field after getting them repaired/reconditioned at CLRC. A Committee<sup>13</sup> was constituted for examining some of the transformers to verify the status and sort out the usable/unusable transformers lying in circle stores. The concerned SE (O&M) and the ACOS were to initiate repair/reconditioning of usable transformers and issue the transformers in the field accordingly. The process of conversion of remaining unused transformers into scrap was to be initiated by the DCOS. As per information compiled by the DCOS office, there were 2266<sup>14</sup> transformers retrieved during FRP and deposited with the ACOS. All ACOS intimated (November 2017) that various distribution transformers which were deposited were old and usable during the FRP work. It was also informed that these transformers were deposited without oil and all of them were having broken bushing, the core of these transformers had been already corrugated and such transformers cannot be repaired in such condition. It was directed by the Additional Chief Engineer (MM) to process the cases for approval of higher authorities for conversion of these transformers into scrap and sell through e-auction. Had the Company taken the timely decision for repair or disposal of transformers as scrap, the revenue of ₹ 1.99 crore at latest auction price could have been realized.

**Case 2: Amorphous and copper wound transformers**

The CLPC decided (March 2016) to auction copper wound transformers of rating 5 kVA and 25 kVA to realise funds and clear the space in the Stores. Audit noticed that despite holding auction in March 2016, the MM Wing did not consider the stock of 191 (25 kVA) transformers at the time of approval from the CLPC, hence, no decision regarding disposal these transformers lying in the stores since September 2009 could be taken. Had the Company taken the decision of repair or disposal as scrap, the revenue of ₹ 4.30 crore at latest auction price could have been realized.

**Case 3: Non repair of 5 kVA DTs failed beyond guarantee period**

The CLPC decided (February 2017) not to repair BGP failed DTs of 5 kVA under ongoing tender (TN-903). However, there were no ban on repairing of BGP failed DTs of 5 kVA till February 2017. During review of records, we noticed that ACOS Sikar received 4448 BGP failed DTs of 5 kVA during 2013-17 but these failed DTs were not got repaired by the ACOS and the SE (O&M). We further noticed that the ACOS Sikar received supply of 8856 new DTs of 5 kVA which were issued to the sub divisions during this period. This indicates that the ACOS were having sufficient requirement of 5 kVA DTs during 2013-17. Thus, non-repair of 5 kVA BGP failed DTs resulted in procurement of new DTs to the same extent. We also observed that the Company did not take any decision for disposal of these failed DTs which were lying with the ACOS till March 2018.

<sup>12</sup> TA to Superintending Engineer, AAO/Accountant and ACOS of concerned Circle office

<sup>13</sup> XEN (O&M) and TA to SE (O&M) of concerned circle, DCOS/XEN (Scrap), concerned ACOS and AAO (Revenue)

<sup>14</sup> Sikar (1049), Chittorgarh (966), Jhunjhunu (178), Ajmer City Circle (64) and Ajmer District Circle (9)

***Case 4: Survey and auction of power transformers***

Review of unserviceable items disclosed that there were 36 unserviceable power transformers (PTs) lying in store which had assessed value of ₹ 2.11 crore. Of these, nine and 13 power transformers were lying for a period ranged from one year to two years and more than two years respectively. Further, one 2.5 MVA PT and two 3.15 MVA PTs were declared uneconomical for repair in June 2014, December 2016 and September 2017 respectively. However, these unusable PTs had not been included in survey reports and value of these PTs was not assessed. Resultantly, these three PTs could not be put to auction even after lapse of a period ranged between six months and 45 months upto March 2018. Thus, delay in inclusion of scraped transformers in survey reports and putting in auction resulted in delay in recovery of revenue as well as occupancy of the space in the Stores.



## Annexure-8

(Referred to in paragraph 3.1.6 at page no. 73)

Statement showing assessment of requirement of transformers by the Chief Engineer (MM), quantity approved by Procurement, Planning and management Committee (PPMC), quantity for which tenders were invited and quantity for which purchase orders were issued by JVVNL during the period 2015-18

S. No.	Type of distribution transformer	Quantity assessed by Chief Engineer (MM) (in Nos.)	Quantity approved by PPMC (In Nos.)	Tenders invited against the required and approved quantity	Variation in Quantity approved by PPMC & NIT issued	Quantity for which PO issued	Variation in approved quantity and PO placed	Percentage variation in approved quantity against PO placed
<b>2015-16</b>								
<b>11/0.4 three phase DT with M&amp;P Box:</b>								
1	10 KVA	8032	8000	10000	2000	9501	+1501	19
2	16 KVA	37698	45000	45000	-	38404	-6596	(15)
3	25 KVA	9711	10000	Ajmer	-	9912	-88	(1)
4	40 KVA	3938	2500	2500	-	1522	-978	(39)
5	40 KVA without box	392	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	63 KVA without box	1211	1100	1100	-	1085	-15	(1)
7	100 KVA without box	472	1200	1200	-	1141	-59	(5)
8	160 KVA without box	302	600	600	-	567	-33	(6)
9	500 KVA without box	23	23	23	-	23	-	-
<b>6.35 KV/230 volts single phase DT:</b>								
10	25 KVA without box	10581	5580	5580	-	-	-5580	(100)
11	16 KVA without box	8406	5630	14442	8812	9021	+ 3391	60
12	10 KVA without box	143	14461	14461	-	9477	-4984	(34)
13	5 KVA without box	8862	15563	15563	-	15508	-55	-
<b>2016-17</b>								
<b>11/0.4 three phase DT with M&amp;P Box:</b>								
1	10 KVA	17862	15000	20381	5381	-	-15000	(100)

S. No.	Type of distribution transformer	Quantity assessed by Chief Engineer (MM) (in Nos.)	Quantity approved by PPMC (In Nos.)	Tenders invited against the required and approved quantity	Variation in Quantity approved by PPMC & NIT issued	Quantity for which PO issued	Variation in approved quantity and PO placed	Percentage variation in approved quantity against PO placed
2	16 KVA	-	29385	Ajmer	-	29883	-498	-
3	25 KVA	7540	10000	Ajmer	-	6846	-3154	(21)
4	40 KVA	4485	1500	600	- 900	600	-900	(60)
5	40 KVA without box	2426	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	63 KVA without box	3360	1500	1500	0	1500	0	-
7	100 KVA without box	2885	1800	1800	0	1800	0	-
8	160 KVA without box	1680	1000	1000	0	1000	0	-
9	250/315 KVA without box	727	500	500	0	370	-130	(28)
10	500 KVA without box	140	30	30	15	15	-15	(50)
<b>6.35 KV/230 volts single phase DT:</b>								
11	25 KVA without box	3918	4000	4000	0	-	-4000	(100)
12	16 KVA without box	5327	5000	5000	0	-	-5000	(100)
13	5 KVA without box	2570	-	-	-	15000	+15000	
<b>2017-18</b>								
<b>11/0.4 three phase DT with M&amp;P Box:</b>								
1	10 KVA	2544	10000	10000 (2016-17)	0	10000	0	-
2	16 KVA	28287	28000	20000	-8000	20000	-8000	(29)
3	25 KVA	19207	19000	5700	-13300	5700	-13300	(70)
4	40 KVA	1957	2000	2000	0	2000	0	-
5	40 KVA without box	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	63 KVA without box	568	1000	500	-500	500	-500	(50)
7	100 KVA without box	1509	1500	750	-750	750	-750	(50)
8	160 KVA without box	373	700	210	-490	210	-490	(70)
9	250/315 KVA without box	448	450	335	-115	335	-115	(26)
10	500 KVA without box	28	30	0	-30	0	-30	(100)



S. No.	Type of distribution transformer	Quantity assessed by Chief Engineer (MM) (in Nos.)	Quantity approved by PPMC (In Nos.)	Tenders invited against the required and approved quantity	Variation in Quantity approved by PPMC & NIT issued	Quantity for which PO issued	Variation in approved quantity and PO placed	Percentage variation in approved quantity against PO placed
<b>6.35 KV/230 volts single phase DT:</b>								
11	25 KVA without box	6379	6500	4875	-1625	4875	-1625	(25)
12	16 KVA without box	9486	9500	7125	-2375	7125	-2375	(25)
13	10 KVA without box	22454	16000	12000	-4000	7000	-9000	(56)
14	5 KVA without box	-	6400	-	-6400	0	-6400	(100)

**Annexure-9**

(Referred to in paragraph 3.1.6 at page no. 73)

**Statement showing assessment of requirement of transformers, quantity approved, quantity tendered and quantity ordered by JdVVNL during the period 2015-18**

S. No.	Type of distribution transformer	Quantity assessed by Chief Engineer (MM) (in Nos.)	Quantity approved by RAC	Tenders invited against the required and approved quantity	Variation in Quantity approved by RAC & NIT issued	Quantity for which PO issued	Variation in approved quantity and PO placed	Percentage variation in approved quantity against PO placed
<b>JdVVNL</b>								
<b>2015-16</b>								
	<b>11/0.4 three phase DT with M&amp;P Box:</b>							
1	10 KVA	0	1050	0	-1050 (-100%)	0	-1050	-100.00
2	16 KVA	5800	5650	8424	2774	8812	3162	55.96
3	25 KVA	14547	14547	23819	9272	12059	-2488	-17.10
4	40 KVA	14404	14404	14404	0	7873	-6531	-45.34
5	63 KVA	7479	7479	15579	8100	7975	496	6.63
6	100 KVA	4195	4195	7995	3800	6028	1833	43.69
7	160 KVA	437	437	152	-285	152	-285	-65.22
8	315 KVA	280	280	125	-155	125	-155	-55.36
9	500 KVA	91	91	50	-41	50	-41	-45.05
	<b>6.35 KV/230 volts single phase DT:</b>							
10	25 KVA	2411	2411	9737	7326 (303.86 %)	5842	3431	142.31
11	16 KVA	6503	6503	13238	6735	8657	2154	33.12
12	10 KVA	6803	6803	15044	8241	12458	5655	83.13
13	5 KVA	14451	14451	8442	-6009	6245	-8206	-56.78
<b>2016-17</b>								



	<b>11/0.4 three phase DT with M&amp;P Box:</b>							
1	10 KVA	1050	1550	3000	1450	2306	756	48.77
2	16 KVA	5565	5565	5315	-250	5315	-250	-4.49
3	25 KVA	14316	13866	2306	-11560	2308	-11558	-83.35
4	40 KVA	14540	14540	6879	-7661	6879	-7661	-52.69
5	63 KVA	6838	7288	7288	0	2047	-5241	-71.91
6	100 KVA	3050	3050	3050	0	3050	0	0.00
7	160 KVA	248	248	463	215	463	215	86.69
8	315 KVA	192	192	280	88	280	88	45.83
9	500 KVA	50	50	141	91	3	-47	-94.00
	<b>6.35 KV/230 volts single phase DT:</b>							
10	25 KVA	2582	2832	4995	2163	827	-2005	-70.80
11	16 KVA	5121	4621	10066	5445	4535	-86	-1.86
12	10 KVA	7901	3901	10631	6730	1282	-2619	-67.14
13	5 KVA	2200	1000	2250	1250	5155	4155	415.50
	<b>2017-18</b>							
	<b>11/0.4 three phase DT with M&amp;P Box:</b>							
1	10 KVA	3410	3558	2491	-1067	2491	-1067	-29.99
2	16 KVA	9338	8971	8971	0	4411	-4560	-50.83
3	25 KVA	9664	8312	8312	0	0	-8312	-100.00
4	40 KVA	10270	5823	0	-5823 (-100%)	0	-5823	-100.00
5	63 KVA	8793	8272	8272	0	8272	0	0.00
6	100 KVA	5531	5566	5566	0	5343	-223	-4.01
7	160 KVA	250	234	238	4	0	-234	-100.00
8	315 KVA	225	218	218	0	0	-218	-100.00
9	500 KVA	45	48	48	0	35	-13	-27.08

	<b>6.35 KV/230 volts single phase DT:</b>							
10	25 KVA	1141	1030	773	-257	773	-257	-24.95
11	16 KVA	2424	2083	1562	-521	0	-2083	-100.00
12	10 KVA	2013	1126	2491	1365	0	-1126	-100.00
13	5 KVA	4288	3873	5810	1937	2905	-968	-24.99



**Annexure-10**  
(Referred to in paragraph 3.1.7 at page no. 74)

**Statement showing delay in finalisation of tenders by JVVNL and JdVVNL**

S. No.	TN	Name of item	Date of opening technical bids/tender	Date of opening price bid	Date of finalisation of tender	Date of issue of purchase order	Total days taken in finalisation of the tender	Delay in finalisation of tender beyond 120 days
1	2217	16 KVA DTs WITH M&P BOX	7-Aug-13	6-Jan-14	12-Feb-14	22-Apr-14	258	138
2	2270	16 KVA 3Q AL. WOUND DTs	12-Nov-14	28-May-15	20-Jul-15	26-Aug-15	287	167
3	2332	63 & 100 KVA 3Q AL. WOUND DTs	18-Feb-16	5-May-16	9-Jun-16	20-Jun-16	123	3
4	2335	315 KVA 3Q CO. WOUND DTs	17-Mar-16	23-Jun-16	19-Jul-16	29-Aug-16	165	45
5	2359	5 KVA 1Q AL. WOUND DTs	27-Oct-16	3-Feb-17	16-Feb-17	29-Jun-17	245	125
6	2360	10 KVA 3Q AL. WOUND D.Ts	18-Oct-16	22-Dec-16	22-Mar-17	10-Apr-17	174	54
7	2384	10, 16 & 25 KVA 1Q AL. WOUND DTs	27-Dec-16	23-Feb-17	22-Mar-17	5-Jul-17	190	70
8	2388	25 KVA 3 PHASE DTs	10-Jan-17	20-Apr-17	24-May-17	8-Jun-17	149	29
9	2390	63 & 100 KVA 3Q AL. WOUND DTs	20-Dec-16	20-Apr-17	17-May-17	26-May-17	157	37
10	2392	315 KVA 3Q CO. WOUND DTs	3-Jan-17	20-Apr-17	17-May-17	26-May-17	143	23
11	2413	315 KVA 3Q CO. WOUND DTs	3-May-17	11-Aug-17	4-Oct-17	27-Oct-17	177	57
12	1223	11/0.433 KV, 3 Phase, 3 Star 63 KVA Aluminium wound D/T	31-Mar-16	01-July-16	29-Sep-16	10-Oct-16	193	73
13	1305	11/0.433, 10 KVA. 3 Star EEL-2, 3 Phase Aluminium Wound Transformer	28-Mar-17	29-May-17	07-July-17	30-Aug-17	183	63
14	1203	11/3KV/240V Single Phase 5 KVA Copper wound	08-Sep-15	16-Nov-15	19-Mar-16	20-Feb-17	531	411
15	1222	11/3KV/240V 100 KVA 3 Phase DT along with M&P box	30-Mar-16	09-June-16	02-Aug-16	11-Sep-16	165	45

**Annexure-11**

**(Referred to in paragraph 3.1.8 at page no. 75)**

**Statement showing penalty to be levied towards un-supplied quantity of Distribution Transformers (DTs) in JVVNL and JdVVNL**

S. No.	Name of the firm	Tender (TN) No.	Purchase Order No.	Date of expiry of Delivery Schedule	Ordered quantity of DTs (in Nos.)	Unsupplied quantity of DTs (in Nos.)	Ex-works price (₹ per DT)	Maximum penalty to be levied <sup>15</sup> (in ₹)
<b>A</b>	<b>JVVNL</b>							
1.	Shree Nath Cable & Conductors	2217	25	February 2015	156	12	39999	23999
2.	Pashupatinath Transformers	2270	47	June 2016	1429	421	39870	839263
3.	Rajasthan Metal & Chemical Industries	2270	52	June 2016	1000	650	39870	1295775
4.	Shiv Transformers & Electrical	2270	55	June 2016	1430	432	39870	861192
5.	Super Transformers & Electrical	2270	59	June 2016	1430	758	39870	1511073
6.	Swastik Copper	2270	--	June 2016	5234	5234	39870	10433979
7.	Vardhman Electromech	2270	58	June 2016	1430	630	39870	1255905
8.	S.M. Transformers	1052	-	July 2017	164	164	44268	363000
<b>Total A</b>								<b>16584186</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>JdVVNL</b>							
9.	Anupam Udyog	968	9239	December 2016	531	231	38006	438969
10.	M/s. Hardik Transformers	968	9238	December 2016	531	231	38006	438969
11.	Maheshwari Electros	968	9245	January 2017	531	89	38095	169523
12.	Vikas Enterprises	968	9247	November 2017	5477	3987	37873	7549983
13.	Divyam Transformers	968	9240	January 2017	531	231	42757	493845
14.	Mor Transformers	968	9244	December 2016	531	251	38006	476980
15.	Goyal Transformers	968	9241	December 2016	581	181	37828	342348
<b>Total B</b>								<b>9910617</b>
<b>Grand Total (A+B)</b>								<b>26494803</b>

<sup>15</sup> At the rate of 5 per cent of value of unsupplied quantity



**Annexure-12**  
(Referred to in paragraph 3.1.11 at page no. 78)

**Statement showing sub - division wise status of number of DTs failed and failure rate of DTs (within/ beyond guarantee period) during the period 2015-18**

S. No.	Name of selected Sub-division	2015-16							2016-17							2017-18						
		Total number of DTs installed	Number of failed DTs			Failure rate of DTs (in %)			Total Number of DTs installed	Number of failed DTs			Failure rate of DTs (in %)			Total Number of DTs installed	Number of failed DTs			Failure rate of DTs (in %)		
			WGP	BGP	Total	WGP	BGP	Total		WGP	BGP	Total	WGP	BGP	Total		WGP	BGP	Total	WGP	BGP	Total
<b>A</b>	<b>JVVNL</b>																					
1	Mundawar	9337	1023	502	1525	10.96	5.38	16.33	9743	873	820	1693	8.96	8.42	17.38	10052	716	751	1467	7.12	7.47	14.59
2	Bansur	10360	988	521	1509	9.54	5.03	14.57	11201	824	693	1517	7.36	6.19	13.54	11694	773	862	1635	6.61	7.37	13.98
3	Bassi	8008	767	675	1442	9.58	8.43	18.01	8399	937	761	1698	11.16	9.06	20.22	8540	1026	893	1919	12.01	10.46	22.47
4	Viratnagar	6245	667	590	1257	10.68	9.45	20.13	6481	704	606	1310	10.86	9.35	20.21	6596	510	418	928	7.73	6.34	14.07
5	Nadbai	5076	417	531	948	8.22	10.46	18.68	5693	457	414	871	8.03	7.27	15.30	5940	481	331	812	8.10	5.57	13.67
6	Bayana	6081	408	348	756	6.71	5.72	12.43	6900	410	383	793	5.94	5.55	11.49	7221	340	296	636	4.71	4.10	8.81
<b>B</b>	<b>JdVVNL</b>																					
1	Balesar	5133	475	447	922	9.25	8.71	17.96	5586	659	381	1040	11.80	6.82	18.62	5720	351	323	674	6.14	5.65	11.78
2	Dechu	8631	696	501	1197	8.06	5.80	13.87	8661	557	535	1092	6.43	6.18	12.61	9317	394	356	750	4.23	3.82	8.05
3	Bajju	2000	129	74	203	6.45	3.70	10.15	2639	199	104	303	7.54	3.94	11.48	3108	191	69	260	6.15	2.22	8.37
4	Loonkaransar	2333	225	134	359	9.64	5.74	15.39	2434	275	180	455	11.30	7.40	18.69	3017	255	260	515	8.45	8.62	17.07
5	Bhadarna	7676	522	383	905	6.80	4.99	11.79	8005	557	488	1045	6.96	6.10	13.05	8554	447	423	870	5.23	4.95	10.17
6	Santhore	4265	422	505	927	9.89	11.84	21.74	4578	362	388	750	7.91	8.48	16.38	4763	301	598	899	6.32	12.56	18.87

**Annexure-13**  
(Referred to in paragraph 3.1.17 at page no. 84)

**Statement showing GP failed DTs lying with Central Store/ supplier firms, deposited in Central Store, lifted, repaired and returned during the period 2015-18**

S. No.	Name of Major Supplier Firm	GP failed DTs lying as on 01 April 2015 (Nos.)		Number of GP failed DTs deposited in Central Store (upto 30 June 2017)	GP failed DTs lifted by supplier firms (Nos.)	GP failed DTs repaired and delivered back				GP failed DTs lying as on 31 March 2018 (Nos.)	
		Central Store	Supplier Firms			Within scheduled Period (Nos.)	Beyond Scheduled Period (Nos.)	Total (Nos.)	Maximum delay in days	Central Store	Supplier Firms
1	Fatehpuria Transformers and Switchgears	1205	112	5320	6221	947	4120	5067	1085	304	1266
2	Genus Power Infrastructure	334	406	624	946	4	1214	1218	851	12	134
3	Goyal Transformers Udyog	181	43	319	229	1	113	114	762	271	158
4	Kalpana Industries	251	1421	1010	1253	375	2279	2654	1021	8	20
5	Kotsons (P) Limited	2078	2035	5635	5805	233	4818	5051	926	1908	2789
6	Mangal Electrical Industries	2951	1911	11921	13489	1077	12453	13530	663	1383	1870
7	Prakash Transformers & Switchgears	227	0	698	611	8	355	363	731	314	248
8	Rahul Conductors	190	354	1274	1462	20	1752	1772	1138	2	44
9	Shri Krishna Sudarshan Urja	3027	536	12013	14909	5318	10073	15391	1177	131	54
10	Swstik Copper	836	95	391	211	1	120	121	574	1016	185
11	Uttam (Bharat) Electricals	1635	899	7728	9296	3387	6598	9985	1369	67	210
12	Vardhaman Electromech	211	394	1562	1736	98	2032	2130	1682	37	0
13	Vijay Electricals	2554	405	4804	7265	612	6336	6948	1078	93	722
14	Vikas Enterprises	823	0	4050	4725	349	3910	4259	1270	148	466
	<b>Total (A)</b>	<b>16503</b>	<b>8611</b>	<b>57349</b>	<b>68158</b>	<b>12430</b>	<b>56173</b>	<b>68603</b>		<b>5694</b>	<b>8166</b>
15	<b>Other Supplier Firms (B)</b>	<b>6626</b>	<b>3765</b>	<b>24891</b>	<b>29072</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>30237</b>		<b>2445</b>	<b>2600</b>
	<b>Total (A+B)</b>	<b>23129</b>	<b>12376</b>	<b>82240</b>	<b>97230</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>98840</b>		<b>8139</b>	<b>10766</b>



## Annexure-14

(Referred to in paragraph 4.3 at page no. 102)

Statement showing position of equity and outstanding loans relating to State PSUs (other than Power Sector) as on 31 March 2018  
(₹ in crore)

Sl. No.	Sector & Name of the PSU	Name of the Department	Month and year of incorporation	Equity <sup>16</sup> at close of the year 2017-18				Long term loans outstanding at close of the year 2017-18			
				GoR <sup>17</sup>	GoI <sup>18</sup>	Others	Total	GoR	GoI	Others	Total
1	2	3	4	5 (a)	5 (b)	5 (c)	5 (d)	6 (a)	6 (b)	6 (c)	6 (d)
<b>A</b>	<b>Social Sector</b>										
	<b>I. Working Government Companies</b>										
1.	Rajasthan Small Industries Corporation Limited	Industries	3-Jun-1961	6.64	0.27	0.05	6.96	10.02	0.00	0.00	10.02
2.	Rajasthan State Handloom Development Corporation Limited	Industries	1-Apr-1984	45.51	0.00	0.55	46.06	0.00	0.00	0.43	0.43
3.	Rajasthan Urban Drinking Water Sewerage and Infrastructure Corporation Limited	Local Self Government	1-Dec-2004	48.67	0.00	0.00	48.67	278.11	0.00	227.03	505.14
4.	Rajasthan State Beverages Corporation Limited	Finance	24-Feb-2005	2.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5.	Jaipur Metro Rail Corporation Limited	Urban Development and Housing	1-Jan-2010	1494.04	0.00	200.00	1694.04	676.65	0.00	0.00	676.65
6.	Rajasthan Ex-Servicemen Corporation Limited	Sainik Kalyan Department	29-Mar-2012	5.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
7.	Rajasthan Medical Services Corporation Limited	Medical, Health and Family Welfare	4-May-2011	5.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	26.14	0.00	0.00	26.14
8.	Rajasthan Skill and Livelihoods	Labour and	17-Aug-2010	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

16 Equity includes share application money.

17 Government of Rajasthan

18 Government of India

Sl. No.	Sector & Name of the PSU	Name of the Department	Month and year of incorporation	Equity <sup>16</sup> at close of the year 2017-18				Long term loans outstanding at close of the year 2017-18			
				GoR <sup>17</sup>	GoI <sup>18</sup>	Others	Total	GoR	GoI	Others	Total
1	2	3	4	5 (a)	5 (b)	5 (c)	5 (d)	6 (a)	6 (b)	6 (c)	6 (d)
	Development Corporation	Employment									
9.	Rajasthan State Food & Civil Supplies Corporation Limited	Food, Civil Supplies and Consumers Affairs	27-Dec-2010	50.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	9.78	9.78
10.	Rajasthan State Seeds Corporation Limited	Agriculture	28-Mar-1978	6.33	1.04	0.22	7.59	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	<b>Total A-I</b>			<b>1663.24</b>	<b>1.31</b>	<b>200.82</b>	<b>1865.37</b>	<b>990.92</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>237.24</b>	<b>1228.16</b>
	<b>II. Non-working Government Companies</b>										
11.	Rajasthan State Agro Industries Corp. Limited	Agriculture	1-Aug-1969	6.01	0.00	0.00	6.01	16.27	0.00	0.00	16.27
12.	Rajasthan Jal Vikas Nigam Limited	Ground Water Department	25-Jan-1984	1.27	0.00	0.00	1.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	<b>Total A-II</b>			<b>7.28</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>7.28</b>	<b>16.27</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>16.27</b>
	<b>Total A (I+II)</b>			<b>1670.52</b>	<b>1.31</b>	<b>200.82</b>	<b>1872.65</b>	<b>1007.19</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>237.24</b>	<b>1244.43</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Competitive Sector</b>										
	<b>I. Working Government Companies</b>										
13.	Rajasthan State Power Finance Corporation Limited	Finance	21-Dec-2012	90.00	0.00	0.00	90.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
14.	Rajasthan State Ganganagar Sugar Mills Limited	Finance	1-Jul-1956	180.34	0.00	0.05	180.39	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
15.	Rajasthan State Industrial Development and Investment Corporation Limited (demerged since January 1980)	Industries	28-Mar-1969	210.19	0.00	0.00	210.19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
16.	Rajasthan State Road Development and Construction Corporation Limited	Public Works Department	8-Feb-1979	100.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	53.48	0.00	2134.78	2188.26



Sl. No.	Sector & Name of the PSU	Name of the Department	Month and year of incorporation	Equity <sup>16</sup> at close of the year 2017-18				Long term loans outstanding at close of the year 2017-18			
				GoR <sup>17</sup>	GoI <sup>18</sup>	Others	Total	GoR	GoI	Others	Total
1	2	3	4	5 (a)	5 (b)	5 (c)	5 (d)	6 (a)	6 (b)	6 (c)	6 (d)
17.	Rajasthan State Mines and Minerals Limited (Government company since June 1973)	Mines	7-May-1947	77.54	0.00	0.01	77.55	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
18.	Raj COMP Info Services Limited	Information Technology and Communication	27-Oct-2010	5.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
19.	Rajasthan State Hotels Corporation Limited	Tourism	7-Jun-1965	2.16	0.00	0.00	2.16	10.00	0.00	1.05	11.05
20.	Rajasthan Tourism Development Corporation Limited	Tourism	24-Nov-1978	21.95	0.00	0.00	21.95	23.00	0.00	0.38	23.38
21.	Barmer Lignite Mining Company Limited (Subsidiary and Joint Venture Company of Sl. No. 17)	Mines	19-Jan-2007	0	0	20.00	20.00	0	0	1651.77	1651.77
22.	Rajasthan State Gas Limited (Subsidiary of Sl. No. 23)	Mines and Petroleum	20-Sep-2013	0	0	129.87	129.87	0	0	0	0
23.	Rajasthan State Petroleum Corporation Ltd. (Subsidiary of Sl. No. 17)	Mines and Petroleum	10-Jul-2008	0	0	67.08	67.08	0	0	0	0
	<b>Total B-I</b>			<b>687.18</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>217.01</b>	<b>904.19</b>	<b>86.48</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>3787.98</b>	<b>3874.46</b>
	<b>II. Statutory Corporations</b>										
24.	Rajasthan State Road Transport Corporation	Transport	1-Oct-1964	612.13	26.83	0.00	638.96	561.27	0.00	664.65	1225.92
25.	Rajasthan State Warehousing Corporation	Agriculture	30-Dec-1957	3.93	3.92	0.00	7.85	280.88	0.00	0.00	280.88
26.	Rajasthan Financial Corporation	Industries	17-Jan-1955	128.31	0.00	32.42	160.73	1.03	0.00	300.00	301.03
	<b>Total B-II</b>			<b>744.37</b>	<b>30.75</b>	<b>32.42</b>	<b>807.54</b>	<b>843.18</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>964.65</b>	<b>1807.83</b>
	<b>Total B (I+II)</b>			<b>1431.55</b>	<b>30.75</b>	<b>249.43</b>	<b>1711.73</b>	<b>929.66</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>4752.63</b>	<b>5682.29</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>Others</b>										

Sl. No.	Sector & Name of the PSU	Name of the Department	Month and year of incorporation	Equity <sup>16</sup> at close of the year 2017-18				Long term loans outstanding at close of the year 2017-18			
				GoR <sup>17</sup>	GoI <sup>18</sup>	Others	Total	GoR	GoI	Others	Total
1	2	3	4	5 (a)	5 (b)	5 (c)	5 (d)	6 (a)	6 (b)	6 (c)	6 (d)
<b>I. Working Government Companies</b>											
27.	Rajasthan Police Housing and Construction Corporation Limited	Home	22-Jun-2013	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	<b>Total C-I</b>			<b>1.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>
<b>II. Non-working Government Companies</b>											
28.	Rajasthan Civil Aviation Corporation Limited	General Administrative and Civil Aviation	20-Dec-2006	4.49	0.00	0.00	4.49	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	<b>Total C-II</b>			<b>4.49</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>4.49</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>
	<b>Total C (I+II)</b>			<b>5.49</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>5.49</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>
	<b>Grand Total (A+B+C)</b>			<b>3107.56</b>	<b>32.06</b>	<b>450.25</b>	<b>3589.87</b>	<b>1936.85</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>4989.87</b>	<b>6926.72</b>

## Annexure-15

(Referred to in paragraph 4.7 at page no. 104)

**Statement showing difference between Finance Accounts of Government of Rajasthan and Accounts of the State PSUs  
(other than Power Sector) in respect of balances of Equity, Loans and Guarantee as on 31 March 2018**

(₹ in crore)

Sl. No.	Name of PSU	As per records of the State PSUs			As per Finance Accounts of Government of Rajasthan			Difference		
		Paid-up Capital	Loans outstanding	Guarantee Committed	Paid-up Capital	Loans outstanding	Guarantee Committed	Paid-up Capital	Loans outstanding	Guarantee Committed
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	Rajasthan State Seeds Corporation Limited	6.33	0.00	0.00	6.33	0.64	-	0.00	-0.64	0.00
2	Rajasthan State Industrial Development and Investment Corporation Limited	210.19	0.00	0.00	193.69	5.37	-	16.50	-5.37	0.00
3	Rajasthan Urban Drinking Water Sewerage and Infrastructure Corporation Limited	48.67	278.11	-	33.51	0.00	331.78	15.16	278.11	-331.78
4	Rajasthan State Ganganagar Sugar Mills Limited	180.34	0.00	-	181.09	0.11	-	-0.75	-0.11	0.00
5	Rajasthan State Mines and Minerals Limited	77.54	0.00	-	77.56		-	-0.02	0.00	0.00
6	Rajasthan Tourism Development Corporation Limited	21.95	23.00	0.00	21.94	23.00	-	0.01	0.00	0.00
7	Rajasthan Financial Corporation	128.31	1.03	300.00	128.31	1.26	300.00	0.00	-0.23	0.00
8	Rajasthan State Agro Industries Corp. Limited	6.01	16.27	-	4.13	17.51	-	1.88	-1.24	0.00



**Annexure -16**

**(Referred to in paragraph 4.8.1 at page no. 105)**

**Statement showing position of State Government investment in working State PSUs (other than Power Sector) accounts of which are in arrears during the period of arrears**

*(₹ in crore)*

Sl. No.	Name of PSU	Period upto which accounts finalized	Period for which accounts are in arrears	Paid up capital as per latest accounts finalised	Investment made by State Government during the period for which accounts are in arrears		
					Loans	Subsidy	Total
<b>A</b>	<b>Government Companies</b>						
1	Rajasthan State Handloom Development Corporation Limited	2016-17	2017-18	46.06	0.00	0.80	0.80
2	Rajasthan State Road Development and Construction Corporation Limited	2016-17	2017-18	100.00	53.48	0.00	53.48
3	Rajasthan Police Housing and Construction Corporation Limited	2016-17	2017-18	0.50	0.00	53.49	53.49
4	Jaipur Metro Rail Corporation Limited	2016-17	2017-18	1694.04	115.14	0.00	115.14
5	Rajasthan Medical Services Corporation Limited	2016-17	2017-18	5.00	0.00	560.00	560.00
6	Rajasthan Urban Drinking Water Sewerage and Infrastructure Corporation Limited	2016-17	2017-18	48.67	0.00	0.00	0.00
7	Barmer Lignite Mining Company Limited	2016-17	2017-18	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
8	Rajasthan State Mines and Minerals Limited	2016-17	2017-18	77.55	0.00	0.00	0.00
9	Rajasthan State Petroleum Corporation Limited	2016-17	2017-18	11.10	0.00	0.00	0.00
10	Rajasthan State Food & Civil Supplies Corporation Limited	2015-16	2016-17 to 2017-18	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11	Rajasthan State Hotels Corporation Limited	2014-15	2015-16, to 2017-18	2.16	0.00	0.00	0.00
12	Rajasthan Tourism Development Corporation Limited	2015-16	2016-17 to 2017-18	21.95	0.00	0.00	0.00
	<b>Total A</b>			<b>2077.03</b>	<b>168.62</b>	<b>614.29</b>	<b>782.91</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Statutory Corporation</b>						
13	Rajasthan State Road Transport Corporation	2016-17	2017-18	638.96	41.50	284.63	326.13
	<b>Total B</b>			<b>638.96</b>	<b>41.50</b>	<b>284.63</b>	<b>326.13</b>
	<b>Grand Total (A+B)</b>			<b>2715.99</b>	<b>210.12</b>	<b>898.92</b>	<b>1109.04</b>

## Annexure-17

(Referred to in paragraph 4.11 at page no. 107 and paragraph no. 4.17 at page no. 113)

Summarised financial results of State PSUs (other than Power Sector) for the latest year for which accounts were finalised

(₹ in crore)

SL No.	Sector, Type & Name of the PSU	Period of accounts	Year in which finalised	Net profit/ loss before dividend, interest & tax	Net profit/ loss after dividend, interest & tax	Turn over	Paid up capital	Capital employed	Net Worth	Accumulated Profit/ loss
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
<b>A.</b>	<b>Social Sector</b>									
	<b>Working Government Companies</b>									
1.	Rajasthan Small Industries Corporation Limited	2017-18	2018-19	5.05	4.77	136.06	6.96	-13.22	-17.55	-24.51
2.	Rajasthan State Handloom Development Corporation Limited	2016-17	2017-18	0.50	0.49	16.25	46.06	-0.53	-4.05	-50.11
3.	Rajasthan Urban Drinking Water Sewerage and Infrastructure Corporation Limited	2016-17	2017-18	0.80	0.49	5.33	48.67	668.25	69.76	21.09
4.	Rajasthan State Beverages Corporation Limited	2017-18	2018-19	28.98	5.12	5699.57	2.00	39.72	39.72	37.72
5.	Jaipur Metro Rail Corporation Limited	2016-17	2017-18	-45.49	-90.12	9.72	1694.04	2079.92	1513.79	-180.25
6.	Rajasthan Ex-Servicemen Corporation Limited	2017-18	2018-19	4.02	4.01	102.36	5.00	14.75	14.75	9.75
7.	Rajasthan Medical Services Corporation Limited	2016-17	2017-18	16.54	10.79	526.86	5.00	45.36	19.22	14.22
8.	Rajasthan Skill and Livelihoods Development Corporation	2017-18	2018-19	2.14	2.14	80.10	0.05	-13.00	-13.00	-13.05
9.	Rajasthan State Food & Civil Supplies Corporation Limited	2015-16	2017-18	15.81	5.66	561.77	50.00	82.88	82.88	32.88
10.	Rajasthan State Seeds Corporation Limited	2017-18	2018-19	7.54	1.08	261.58	7.59	125.51	125.51	117.92
	<b>Total A-I</b>			<b>35.89</b>	<b>-55.57</b>	<b>7399.6</b>	<b>1865.37</b>	<b>3029.64</b>	<b>1831.03</b>	<b>-34.34</b>
	<b>Non-working Government Companies</b>									
11.	Rajasthan State Agro Industries Corp. Limited	2013-14	2016-17	-0.14	-1.44	0.00	6.01	-2.11	-47.20	-53.21
12.	Rajasthan Jal Vikas Nigam Limited	2016-17	2017-18	0.03	0.03	0.00	1.27	-0.82	-0.82	-2.09
	<b>Total A-II</b>			<b>-0.11</b>	<b>-1.41</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>7.28</b>	<b>-2.93</b>	<b>-48.02</b>	<b>-55.30</b>
	<b>Total A (I+II)</b>			<b>35.78</b>	<b>-56.98</b>	<b>7399.60</b>	<b>1872.65</b>	<b>3026.71</b>	<b>1783.01</b>	<b>-89.64</b>

Sl. No.	Sector, Type & Name of the PSU	Period of accounts	Year in which finalised	Net profit/ loss before dividend, interest & tax	Net profit/ loss after dividend, interest & tax	Turn over	Paid up capital	Capital employed	Net Worth	Accumulated Profit/ loss
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
<b>B.</b>	<b>Competitive Environment sector</b>									
	<b>Working Government Companies</b>									
13.	Rajasthan State Power Finance Corporation Limited	2017-18	2018-19	5.91	4.25	6.64	90.00	105.50	105.50	15.50
14.	Rajasthan State Ganganagar Sugar Mills Limited	2017-18	2018-19	44.34	23.51	1143.25	180.39	298.14	297.58	117.19
15.	Rajasthan State Industrial Development and Investment Corporation Limited	2017-18	2018-19	196.06	142.94	689.23	210.19	1726.79	1726.79	1516.60
16.	Rajasthan State Road Development and Construction Corporation Limited	2016-17	2017-18	264.48	19.12	1044.10	100.00	2320.63	193.34	93.34
17.	Rajasthan State Mines and Minerals Limited (Government company since June 1973)	2016-17	2017-18	146.71	109.68	709.79	77.55	2040.31	2040.31	1962.76
18.	Raj COMP Info Services Limited	2017-18	2018-19	10.04	3.29	129.93	5.00	55.08	55.08	50.08
19.	Rajasthan State Hotels Corporation Limited	2014-15	2015-16	-1.22	-1.26	1.47	2.16	-0.35	-6.35	-8.51
20.	Rajasthan Tourism Development Corporation Limited	2015-16	2017-18	-14.53	-14.59	67.89	21.95	-109.01	-123.10	-145.05
21.	Barmer Lignite Mining Company Limited (Subsidiary and Joint Venture Company of Sl. No. 17)	2016-17	2017-18	58.49	0.62	813.41	20.00	1511.37	-6.33	-26.33
22.	Rajasthan State Gas Limited (Subsidiary of Sl. No. 23)	2017-18	2018-19	-2.14	-2.45	26.06	129.87	120.18	120.18	-9.69
23.	Rajasthan State Petroleum Corporation Ltd. (Subsidiary of Sl. No. 17)	2016-17	2017-18	0.02	0.01	0.00	11.10	10.28	10.28	-0.82
	<b>Total B-I</b>			<b>708.16</b>	<b>285.12</b>	<b>4631.77</b>	<b>848.21</b>	<b>8078.92</b>	<b>4413.28</b>	<b>3565.07</b>
	<b>II. Statutory Corporation</b>									
24.	Rajasthan State Road Transport Corporation	2016-17	2017-18	-2030.32	-1169.76	1674.49	638.96	-2738.39	-4000.31	-4639.27
25.	Rajasthan State Warehousing Corporation	2017-18	2018-19	43.48	4.12	111.44	7.85	464.03	183.15	175.30
26.	Rajasthan Financial Corporation	2017-18	2018-19	44.74	7.99	93.90	160.73	343.87	41.65 <sup>19</sup>	-116.86
	<b>Total B-II</b>			<b>-1942.10</b>	<b>-1157.65</b>	<b>1879.83</b>	<b>807.54</b>	<b>-1930.49</b>	<b>-3775.51</b>	<b>-4580.83</b>
	<b>Total B (I+II)</b>			<b>-1233.94</b>	<b>-872.53</b>	<b>6511.60</b>	<b>1655.75</b>	<b>6148.43</b>	<b>637.77</b>	<b>-1015.76</b>

<sup>19</sup> Net worth figure is arrived at after deducting Deferred revenue expenditure of ₹ 2.22 crore from the difference of paid up capital and accumulated losses in case of Rajasthan Financial Corporation.



SL No.	Sector, Type & Name of the PSU	Period of accounts	Year in which finalised	Net profit/ loss before dividend, interest & tax	Net profit/ loss after dividend, interest & tax	Turn over	Paid up capital	Capital employed	Net Worth	Accumulated Profit/ loss
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
<b>C.</b>	<b>Others</b>									
	<b>I. Working Government Company</b>									
27.	Rajasthan Police Housing and Construction Corporation Limited	2016-17	2017-18	-0.25	-0.25	0.01	0.50	0.20	0.20	-0.30
	<b>Total C-I</b>			<b>-0.25</b>	<b>-0.25</b>	<b>0.01</b>	<b>0.50</b>	<b>0.20</b>	<b>0.20</b>	<b>-0.30</b>
	<b>II. Non-working Government Company</b>									
28.	Rajasthan Civil Aviation Corporation Limited	2016-17	2017-18	0.06	0.06	0.00	4.49	-1.83	-1.83	-6.32
	<b>Total C-II</b>			<b>0.06</b>	<b>0.06</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>4.49</b>	<b>-1.83</b>	<b>-1.83</b>	<b>-6.32</b>
	<b>Total C (I+II)</b>			<b>-0.19</b>	<b>-0.19</b>	<b>0.01</b>	<b>4.99</b>	<b>-1.63</b>	<b>-1.63</b>	<b>-6.62</b>
	<b>Grand Total (A+B+C)</b>			<b>-1198.35</b>	<b>-929.70</b>	<b>13911.21</b>	<b>3533.39</b>	<b>9173.51</b>	<b>2419.15</b>	<b>-1112.02</b>
<b>i</b>	<b>Working Government Companies</b>			<b>743.80</b>	<b>229.30</b>	<b>12031.38</b>	<b>2714.08</b>	<b>11108.76</b>	<b>6244.51</b>	<b>3530.43</b>
<b>ii</b>	<b>Statutory Corporations</b>			<b>-1942.10</b>	<b>-1157.65</b>	<b>1879.83</b>	<b>807.54</b>	<b>-1930.49</b>	<b>-3775.51</b>	<b>-4580.83</b>
<b>iii</b>	<b>Working PSUs (i+ii)</b>			<b>-1198.30</b>	<b>-928.35</b>	<b>13911.21</b>	<b>3521.62</b>	<b>9178.27</b>	<b>2469.00</b>	<b>-1050.40</b>
<b>iv</b>	<b>Non-working Government Companies</b>			<b>-0.05</b>	<b>-1.35</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>11.77</b>	<b>-4.76</b>	<b>-49.85</b>	<b>-61.62</b>
	<b>Grand Total (iii+iv)</b>			<b>-1198.35</b>	<b>-929.70</b>	<b>13911.21</b>	<b>3533.39</b>	<b>9173.51</b>	<b>2419.15</b>	<b>-1112.02</b>

**Annexure – 18**

**(Referred to in Paragraph 4.15 at page no. 111)**

**Statement showing State Government funds infused in State PSUs (other than Power Sector) during the period from 2000-01 to 2017-18**

**(₹ in crore)**

<b>A Social Sector</b>										
<b>S. No.</b>	<b>1.</b>		<b>2.</b>		<b>3.</b>		<b>4.</b>		<b>5.</b>	
<b>Year</b>	<b>Rajasthan State Seeds Corporation Limited</b>		<b>Rajasthan Small Industries Corporation Limited</b>		<b>Rajasthan State Handloom Development Corporation Limited</b>		<b>Rajasthan Urban Drinking Water Sewerage and Infrastructure Corporation Limited</b>		<b>Rajasthan Medical Services Corporation Limited</b>	
	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>
2000-01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2001-02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2002-03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2003-04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2004-05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2005-06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2006-07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2007-08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2008-09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2009-10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2010-11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2011-12	0.00	0.00	1.50	0.00	16.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	0.00
2012-13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.88
2013-14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.66	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-15.38
2014-15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2015-16	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-5.00
2016-17	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.77	0.00	0.00	15.67	0.00	0.00	-2.50
2017-18	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.77	0.00	0.00	0.00	278.11	0.00	-2.50
<b>Total</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>1.50</b>	<b>10.02</b>	<b>39.91</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>48.67</b>	<b>278.11</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>12.50</b>

<b>A Social Sector</b>										
<b>S. No.</b>	<b>6.</b>		<b>7.</b>		<b>8.</b>		<b>9.</b>		<b>10.</b>	
<b>Year</b>	<b>Rajasthan Skill and Livelihoods Development Corporation</b>		<b>Rajasthan State Food &amp; Civil Supplies Corporation Limited</b>		<b>Rajasthan State Beverages Corporation Limited</b>		<b>Jaipur Metro Rail Corporation Limited</b>		<b>Rajasthan Ex-Servicemen Corporation Limited</b>	
	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>
2000-01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2001-02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2002-03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2003-04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2004-05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2005-06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2006-07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2007-08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2008-09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2009-10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00
2010-11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	178.95	0.00	0.00	0.00
2011-12	0.05	0.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	307.04	0.00	0.00	0.00
2012-13	0.00	0.00	0.00	55.10	0.00	0.00	561.00	0.00	5.00	0.00
2013-14	0.00	0.00	0.00	-15.22	0.00	0.00	447.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2014-15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1182.38	0.00	0.00
2015-16	0.00	0.00	0.00	-24.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	72.02	0.00	0.00
2016-17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-958.05	0.00	0.00
2017-18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	114.34	0.00	0.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>0.05</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>50.00</b>	<b>15.00</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>1494.04</b>	<b>410.69</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>



<b>A Social Sector (11 and 12) and B. Competitive Environment Sector (13 to 15)</b>										
<b>S. No.</b>	<b>11.</b>		<b>12.</b>		<b>13.</b>		<b>14.</b>		<b>15.</b>	
<b>Year</b>	<b>Rajasthan State Agro Industries Corp. Limited</b>		<b>Rajasthan Jal Vikas Nigam Limited</b>		<b>Rajasthan State Power Finance Corporation Limited</b>		<b>Rajasthan State Industrial Development and Investment Corporation Limited</b>		<b>Rajasthan State Road Development and Construction Corporation Limited</b>	
	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>
2000-01	1.84	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.78	-0.49	0.00	0.00
2001-02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.20	-1.31	0.00	0.00
2002-03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.35	-1.06	0.00	0.00
2003-04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.25	-0.04	0.00	0.00
2004-05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.92	-10.59	0.00	0.00
2005-06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.28	-5.51	0.00	0.00
2006-07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.30	-0.39	0.00	0.00
2007-08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.50	-1.05	0.00	0.00
2008-09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.99	-0.69	0.00	0.00
2009-10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.72	0.00	0.00
2010-11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.31	0.00	0.00
2011-12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-5.69	10.00	0.00
2012-13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2013-14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	0.00
2014-15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2015-16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2016-17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2017-18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>1.84</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>90.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>42.57</b>	<b>-27.85</b>	<b>90.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>

<b>B Competitive Environment Sector</b>										
<b>S. No.</b>	<b>16.</b>		<b>17.</b>		<b>18.</b>		<b>19.</b>		<b>20.</b>	
<b>Year</b>	<b>Rajasthan State Ganganagar Sugar Mills Limited</b>		<b>Rajasthan State Mines and Minerals Limited</b>		<b>Rajasthan State Hotels Corporation Limited</b>		<b>Rajasthan Tourism Development Corporation Limited</b>		<b>Rajasthan Financial Corporation</b>	
	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>
2000-01	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2001-02	0.00	0.00	0.00	-2.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2002-03	0.00	0.00	5.70	0.00	0.00	-0.36	0.00	0.00	0.00	-2.10
2003-04	0.00	0.00	10.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.80
2004-05	0.00	0.00	0.00	-1.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.54	0.00
2005-06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.61	0.00	0.00	0.00
2006-07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2007-08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	-0.55
2008-09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00
2009-10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.56	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.95	0.00
2010-11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2011-12	21.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.29	-0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2012-13	11.69	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.00	3.50	0.00	25.65	0.00
2013-14	43.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	0.00	15.00	25.00	0.00
2014-15	42.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2015-16	58.87	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.00	0.00	0.00
2016-17	-0.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2017-18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>176.79</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>15.81</b>	<b>-3.50</b>	<b>1.19</b>	<b>9.54</b>	<b>8.11</b>	<b>23.00</b>	<b>81.15</b>	<b>-3.45</b>

<b>B Competitive Environment Sector (21 to 23) and C. Others (24-25)</b>										
<b>S. No.</b>	<b>21.</b>		<b>22.</b>		<b>23.</b>		<b>24.</b>		<b>25.</b>	
<b>Year</b>	<b>Rajasthan State Road Transport Corporation</b>		<b>Rajasthan State Warehousing Corporation</b>		<b>Raj COMP Info Services Limited</b>		<b>Rajasthan Police Housing and Construction Corporation Limited</b>		<b>Rajasthan Civil Aviation Corporation Limited</b>	
	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>
2000-01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2001-02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2002-03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2003-04	112.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2004-05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2005-06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2006-07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2007-08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2008-09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.82	0.00
2009-10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2010-11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2011-12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00
2012-13	188.90	10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.62	0.00
2013-14	150.00	137.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
2014-15	80.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2015-16	0.00	200.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2016-17	0.00	150.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2017-18	0.00	38.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>531.00</b>	<b>561.40</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>4.49</b>	<b>0.00</b>



**Annexure-19****(Referred to in paragraph 5.1.2 at page no. 121)**

**Statement showing recommendations made by the Committee on Public Undertakings (COPU) on paragraph incorporated on completion of construction and commencement of production activities and recovery of retention charges in Audit Report (PSUs) for the year ended 31 March 2013**

<b>Brief description of the previous study</b>	A study on the Companys performance in respect of completion of construction and commencement of production activities and recovery of retention charges was incorporated (Paragraph 4.6) in Report of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India (Public Sector Undertakings), Government of Rajasthan (Report No. 1 of the year 2014) which was discussed (8 December 2015) by the Committee on Public Sector Undertakings (COPU) and recommendations were made by the COPU on 17 March 2016.
<b>Recommendations of the COPU</b>	<p>The recommendations made by the COPU were as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ensure strict compliance with rules relating to construction and production activity,</li> <li>• specify circumstances in rules/regulation which may be considered for relaxation in construction/production period,</li> <li>• evolve a mechanism for unit offices to ensure timely issue of notices,</li> <li>• monitor production activities and cancel allotment of vacant plots of defaulting entrepreneurs,</li> <li>• introduce system of fixing accountability and adopt IT Solutions for various activities (including construction and production activity).</li> </ul>
<b>Response of the audit entity/ Company</b>	The Company in response to the COPUs recommendation assured (February 2017) that directions have been issued to all unit heads to ensure timely completion of construction activities and commencement of production activities and that computerization of activities would be completed by April 2017.

**Annexure-20**

(Referred to in paragraph 5.1.10 at page no. 129)

**Statement showing non-levy/ recovery of retention charges from the defaulting allottees as on 31 March 2018**

(₹ in lakh)

S. No.	Name of Allottee	Industrial Area & Unit office	Plot No.	Area of plot (in Square metre)	Month of allotment	Committed investment	Scheduled month for commencing production activity as per allotment order	Schedule month for commencing production activity as per extension order	Retention charges to be recovered
1	JBM Auto Limited	Pathredi, Bhiwadi-II	SP1-891	44517	November 2007	6900.00	19 August 2011	1 April 2014	534.20
2	Thyssen Krupp JBM Private Limited	Pathredi, Bhiwadi-II	SP1-888	40000	April 2008	3500.00	19 August 2011	1 April 2014	480.00
3	Rico Auto Industries Limited	Pathredi, Bhiwadi-II	SP3-800 & 801A	45500	March 2010	NA	April 2015	April 2016	182.00
4	Neemrana Education & Research Charitable Trust	NIC (M), Neemrana	I-261	30611	December 2012	NA	December 2015	December 2016	55.10
5	Pushpa Industries	Indraprastha, Kota	F-313	2000	June 1990	NA	July 1999	March 2004 and December 2014 <sup>20</sup>	13.20
6	Parnami Logistics & Packing Centre Private Limited	Kaharani, Bhiwadi-I	SP-184B	12620	October 2010	NA	October 2014	-	98.44
7	KCB Builders	Khushkhera, Bhiwadi-II	E-45 (E)	4000	September 2005	NA	December 2008	-	29.60 <sup>21</sup>
	<b>Total</b>					<b>10400.00</b>			<b>1392.54</b>

<sup>20</sup> Allotment of the plot was cancelled in July 2006 and subsequently restored on 17 December 2014.

<sup>21</sup> This includes retention charges demanded but not deposited for extension of time upto 30 October 2013 (As per demand raised in August 2013).



**Annexure-21****(Referred to in paragraph 5.1.10 at page no. 129)****Statement showing cases where retention charges/additional cost of land not deposited by the allottees as per rules, retention charges not worked out and recovered and undue relaxation allowed to the allottees****M/s Birla Corporation Limited**

The Company allotted (February 2010) two plots to **M/s Birla Corporation Limited** (allottee) for setting up of fly ash based Portland Pozzolana Cement (PPC). The production activity was to commence before 09 May 2011. The allottee could not commence production activity within the stipulated time schedule. The Company granted (March 2012) extension of time to the allottee upto 30 April 2013 on payment of retention charges. The allottee could not commence production activity within the extended period. However, the Company did not issue Show Cause Notice (SCN) for non-commencement of production activity without seeking further extension of time. Later, the allottee requested (August 2015 and May 2016) for grant of further extension of time upto April 2017. The Company directed (July 2016) the allottee to furnish requisite documents/ clearance certificates obtained from the concerned departments for the project. Subsequently, the allottee made (August 2017) another request seeking extension of time for commencement of production activity upto 30 April 2019 stating that the Ministry of Environment and Forests (MOEF) had rejected (June 2015) its proposed project and accordingly, expressed its willingness to set up an aerated concrete plant (ACP) which did not necessitate such clearance.

We observed that the Company did not take timely action to recover retention charges amounting to ₹ 1.14 crore despite lapse of more than five years from expiry of extended period. Further, we observed that the Managing Director granted (March 2018) time extension in this case upto March 2019 whereas IDC is the competent authority for granting time extension beyond seven years of scheduled commencement period.

Government accepted (July 2018) that the allottee could not commence production activity due to non-receipt of environmental clearance and forest clearance. The issue is being examined and the matter of granting further extension of time by Managing Director would be placed before the competent authority for taking further necessary action. **(Kota unit)**

**M/s Fin Project India Private Limited**

The Company allotted (March 2011) an industrial plot (F-1292) to **M/s Fin Projects India Private Limited** (allottee) under Rule 3 (W). The allottee was to commence production activity with minimum committed investment of ₹ 60.10 crore (excluding cost of land) in the form of FDI before 17 May 2012. The allottee could not commence production and sought extension of time upto 31 October 2012 without levy of retention charges. The matter was placed (10 October 2012) before the Sub-Committee constituted for considering preferential allotment under Rule 3(W) with the proposal that time extension can be given to the allottee upto 31 October 2012 with levy of retention charges amounting to ₹ 10.60 crore. However, the Sub-committee decided (October 2012) to allow an overall period of two years to the allottee for commencement of production activity from the date of allotment (i.e. by May 2013) without payment of any charges towards time extension. But, the allottee neither commenced production activity with committed investment nor sought any further time extension till April 2018.

We observed that the Sub-committee allowed two years period to the allottee in accordance with the revised rule (2 January 2012). However, the Company did not ensure recovery of retention charges/ additional cost of land for extension of time which works out to ₹ 4.58 crore (18 May 2013 to April 2018). **(EPIP-Sitapura Unit)**

**M/s Sandhar Technologies Limited**

The Company allotted (November 2007) an industrial plot (SP1-889) to **M/s Sandhar Technologies Limited** (allottee) under Rule 3 (W). The allottee was required to commence production activity with investment of ₹ 65 crore before 19 August 2011. The allottee could not commence production activity within the scheduled time. The Company granted (March 2013) extension of time upto 31 January 2014 on payment of retention charges. The site report of the plot reflected (September 2014) that the allottee commenced production with construction of factory shed building on 21 per cent of the total plot area. Further, the allottee could invest only ₹ 21.92 crore by February 2014. On belated request of the allottee (March 2015), the Company decided (March 2015) to grant further extension of time upto 31 January



2016 subject to payment of retention charges of ₹ 7.61 crore. The Company directed (May 2015, October 2015 and July 2016) the allottee to deposit the requisite retention charges. However, the allottee did not deposit the requisite retention charges till March 2018.

We observed that the allottee did not make committed investment till date (March 2018). Further, the allottee neither deposited retention charges nor sought further extension of time despite lapse of extended period in January 2014. However, the Company did not ensure recovery of retention charges/additional cost of land for extension of time which works out to ₹ 10.30 crore (i.e. ₹ 7.61 crore for February 2014 to January 2016 and ₹ 2.69 crore for February 2016 to March 2018). **(Bhiwadi-II Unit)**

Government stated (July 2018) that the IDC after reviewing one similar case of Suncity Sheet Private Limited, directed (February 2018) to examine all the cases of allotment made prior to the decision taken (May 2012) by IDC for considering investment as criteria of commencement of production with a view whether the decision was communicated timely to the concerned allottee and its applicability. Therefore, these two cases would also be examined as per the general policy decision taken by the IDC.

The reply is not acceptable as extension of time in these cases should have been given with a condition to adhere to the revised minimum capital investment rule which was not done.

#### **M/s Zucchini India R&D Chemicals (P) Limited**

The Company allotted (April 2013) a plot to M/s Zucchini India R&D Chemicals (P) Limited under Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) category of Rule 3 (W). The allottee was required to commence production activity upto 11 April 2015. The allottee was also required to make minimum committed investment of ₹ 17.90 crore (including ₹ 5.90 crore i.e. 33 per cent through FDI). In view of delay in receipt of NOC<sup>22</sup> from fire department, the Company extended (August 2015) the scheduled commencement period upto 10 October 2015 without levy of retention charges. However, the allottee could not commence production activity within the stipulated time schedule and could invest only ₹ 10.11 crore as on 3 July 2015. The allottee submitted (June 2017) details of investment (₹ 17.94 crore) and FDI received (₹ 7.53 crore) upto 31 March 2017. However, this included investment (₹ 0.74 crore) and FDI received (₹ 2.90 crore) on account of another entity (Zucchini India Chemicals (P) Limited) which was not accepted. We observed that the Company issued (October 2017) SCN to the allottee which was not-responded to by the allottee. However, the Company did not take action either to recover retention charges (₹ 85.74 lakh for period from 3 November 2015 to March 2018) or cancel the allotment.

Government stated (July 2018) that the allottee was continuously persuaded to submit the certificate regarding requisite FDI in the project. After receipt of the certificate, the matter would be examined and accordingly action for granting extension of time/ cancellation of plots would be taken. Further progress is awaited (August 2018). **(EPIP-Sitapura Unit)**

#### **M/s B.K. Gears Private Limited**

The Company allotted (November 2011) two plots (E-263 and E-264 admeasuring 5950 square metre) to M/s B.K. Gears Private Limited (allottee) for setting up a manufacturing industry of Gear Rolls under Rule 3 (W). The allottee was required to commence production activity before 14 November 2013 with minimum investment of ₹ 22.49 crore. The Company allowed (February 2012) merger of both the plots with three plots (E-167 (A), E-167 and E-168 admeasuring 6000 square metre) allotted earlier and merged (September 2011). However, there was no provision in case of allotment under 3 (W) for merger of general plots. The Company issued (August 2013) a notice as wake-up call for timely commencement of production activity. The allottee could not commence production activity within the stipulated time schedule and in response to SCN issued by the Company, informed (December 2013) that it had made investment of ₹ 4.65 crore and placed purchase orders for machinery worth ₹ 18 crore by December 2013. The allottee unit commenced production activity in November 2014.

We noticed that the allottee submitted (May 2014 and March 2016) two different certificates from Chartered Accountant (CA) wherein the first certificate depicted investment of ₹ 28.81 crore (including advance of ₹ 17.88 crore towards machinery) on entire allotted area by October 2013 whereas the second certificate depicted investment of ₹ 23.59 crore (including advance of ₹ 17.67 crore towards machinery) on two plots (E-263 and E-264) by October 2013. Later, the allottee submitted (October 2017) another CA certificate depicting investment

<sup>22</sup> The NOC from Fire Department was received on 2 November 2015.



of ₹ 31.78 crore (including advance of ₹ 25.11 crore towards machinery) on two plots (E-263 and E-264) by November 2014. However, the allottee did not furnish required document/ proper certificate to ascertain date of making actual investment equivalent to the committed investment separately for plots under rule 3 (W). We observed that the Company did not make proper efforts to obtain the required document and inordinately delayed recovery of dues. In the absence of information regarding investment made within the stipulated schedule and achievement of committed investment, additional land cost/retention charges recoverable from the allottee could not be worked out in audit.

Government stated (July 2018) that the final show cause notice had been issued to the allottee in April 2018 and the matter would be examined and additional cost of land/retention charges would be recovered after receipt of requisite documents and certificate. Further progress is awaited (August 2018). **(Bhiwadi-I Unit)**

#### **M/s Stride Auto Parts Limited**

The Company allotted (May 2011) a plot to **M/s Stride Auto Parts Limited** (allottee). The allottee was required to commence production activity with minimum investment of ₹ 121.50 crore upto 25 May 2013. The allottee could not commence production activity within the stipulated time schedule. The allottee requested for time extension along with certificate of CA showing more than the committed investment (₹ 129.64 crore). The matter was placed before a Sub-committee. The Sub-committee decided (September 2013) to grant extension of time for commencement of production upto 31 December 2013 on payment of retention charges. Acknowledging the investment made by the allottee, the Sub-committee also recommended for putting up the matter before the IDC for waiver of additional land cost for extension of time. Meanwhile, the Unit office, Bhiwadi-I raised (October 2013) demand of ₹ 24.75 crore (i.e. ₹ 23.95 crore towards additional land cost and ₹ 0.80 crore towards retention charges for extension of time upto December 2013. The allottee commenced (12 February 2014) production activity and requested (March 2014 & June 2014) for waiver of retention charges on the grounds of excess committed investment. As per the certificate of CA (22 May 2013) furnished by the allottee, total investment of ₹ 129.64 crore included capital advances of ₹ 88.85 crore. The matter was placed (August 2014) before IDC to take decision on the issue of capital advances. IDC decided (August 2014) to consider investment of ₹ 129.64 crore in the project upto 22 May 2013 and to levy retention charges at the rate of 0.5 per cent per quarter upto 12 February 2014 for delay in commencement of production. As per decision of IDC, the Unit office recovered retention charges of ₹ 53.72 lakh.

We observed that consideration of capital advances as capital investment in the project was not correct as according to the site report (September 2013) the allottee did not install plant and machinery within the stipulated time. Further, the Company allowed consideration of capital advances as investment against committed investment in this case whereas later it disallowed (August 2017) the same in other case **(M/s Aerobok Shoe Private Limited)** which indicates that the decision making on such issues was not consistent in the Company. Thus, the Company extended undue relaxation of ₹ 3.87 crore to the allottee.

Government accepted (July 2018) that the Company considered the capital advances as investment and stated that the same was not considered in case of **M/s Aerobok Shoe Private Limited** as production was verified pursuant to policy revised in July 2014. Therefore, both the cases could not be compared having different merits/grounds. The reply is not acceptable as the former policy/rules had the similar provisions for considering the capital investment. The fact thus remains that the Company extended undue relaxation of 3.87 crore to the allottee. **(Bhiwadi-I Unit)**

