

**Annexure – 1**  
**(Referred to in Paragraph 1.8 at page no. 12, Paragraph 1.9 at page No. 13 and 1.13 at page no. 18)**  
**Summarised financial results of Power Sector Undertakings for the latest year for which accounts were finalised**

(₹ in crore)

Sl. No.	Activity & Name of the Power Sector Undertaking	Period of accounts	Net profit/ loss before interest & tax	Net profit/ loss after interest & tax	Turn over	Paid up capital	Capital Employed	Net Worth <sup>1</sup>	Accumulated Profit/ loss
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
<b>A.</b>	<b>Generation</b>								
1	Chhabra Power Limited (Subsidiary of Sl. No. 4)	2018-19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.01	0.01	-0.04
2	Dholpur Gas Power Limited (Subsidiary of Sl. No. 4)	2018-19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.01	0.01	-0.04
3	Giral Lignite Power Limited (Subsidiary of Sl. No. 4)	2018-19	-164.31	-324.13	-49.00	370.05	-659.62	-894.72	-1264.77
4	Rajasthan Rajya Vidyut Utpadan Nigam Limited	2018-19	3236.65	138.42	14487.45	10067.95	45737.00	5682.96	-4382.81
5	Rajasthan Renewable Energy Corporation Limited	2018-19	20.73	17.16	102.79	12.94	185.18	185.18	172.24
	<b>Sub-total</b>		<b>3093.07</b>	<b>-168.55</b>	<b>14541.24</b>	<b>10451.04</b>	<b>45262.58</b>	<b>4973.44</b>	<b>-5475.42</b>
<b>B.</b>	<b>Transmission</b>								
6	Banswara Thermal Power Company Limited (Subsidiary of Sl. No. 9)	2018-19	-0.27	-0.27	0.00	0.05	-9.57	-9.57	-9.62
7	Barmer Thermal Power Company Limited (Subsidiary of Sl. No. 9)	2018-19	-0.02	-1.80	0.00	0.05	-17.05	-17.05	-17.10
8	Keshoraipatan Gas Thermal Power Company Limited (Subsidiary of Sl. No. 9)	2018-19	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.05	0.00	0.00	-2.05
9	Rajasthan Rajya Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited	2018-19	913.55	-127.99	2815.14	4441.04	14379.45	3171.76	-1269.28
	<b>Sub-total</b>		<b>913.26</b>	<b>-130.06</b>	<b>2815.14</b>	<b>4443.19</b>	<b>14352.83</b>	<b>3145.14</b>	<b>-1298.05</b>
<b>C.</b>	<b>Distribution</b>								
10	Ajmer Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited	2018-19	2981.12	466.82	12355.47	10018.03	-2084.25	-19000.52	-29018.55
11	Jaipur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited	2018-19	4080.54	906.09	17213.81	10783.47	-1654.58	-20277.18	-31060.49
12	Jodhpur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited	2018-19	4000.40	1233.76	13396.52	9954.57	-3873.42	-19820.20	-29774.77
	<b>Sub-total</b>		<b>11062.06</b>	<b>2606.67</b>	<b>42965.80</b>	<b>30756.07</b>	<b>-7612.25</b>	<b>-59097.90</b>	<b>-89853.81</b>
<b>D.</b>	<b>Others</b>								
13	Rajasthan Solarpark Development Company Limited (Subsidiary of Sl. No. 5)	2018-19	13.94	10.94	20.06	0.05	30.21	30.21	30.16
14	Rajasthan Urja Vikas Nigam Limited	2018-19	0.02	0.00	13.22	50.00	50.00	50.00	0.00
15	Rajasthan Rajya Vidyut Vitran Vitta Nigam	2018-19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.03	0.03	-0.02
	<b>Sub-total</b>		<b>13.96</b>	<b>10.94</b>	<b>33.28</b>	<b>50.10</b>	<b>80.24</b>	<b>80.24</b>	<b>30.14</b>
	<b>Grand total</b>		<b>15082.35</b>	<b>2319.00</b>	<b>60355.46</b>	<b>45700.40</b>	<b>52083.40</b>	<b>-50899.08</b>	<b>-96597.14</b>

1 Net worth is the sum total of the paid-up capital and free reserves and surplus minus accumulated losses and deferred revenue expenditure. DRE of ₹ 2.18 crore, ₹ 0.16 crore was deducted in case of Rajasthan Rajya Vidyut Utpadan Nigam limited, Jaipur Vidyut Vitran Nigam limited to arrive at the net worth figures.

Annexure – 2

(Referred to in Paragraph 1.12 at page no. 16)

Statement showing State Government funds infused in the eight power sector undertakings since inception till 31 March 2019

(₹ in crore)

Year	AVVNL			JVVNL			JdVVNL			RVPNL	RVUNL	RRECL	RUVNL	RRVVVNL	Total		
	Equity	Interest Free Loan (IFL)	IFL converted into equity	Equity	Interest Free Loan (IFL)	IFL converted into equity	Equity	Interest Free Loan (IFL)	IFL converted into equity	Equity	Equity	Equity	Equity	Equity	Equity	Interest Free Loan (IFL)	IFL converted into equity
2000-01	150.00	0.00	0.00	140.00	0.00	0.00	120.00	0.00	0.00	-465.99	432.72	3.65	0.00	0.00	380.38*	0.00	0.00
2001-02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	363.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	363.00	0.00	0.00
2002-03	15.00	0.00	0.00	15.00	0.00	0.00	14.00	0.00	0.00	79.00	209.00	6.43	0.00	0.00	338.43	0.00	0.00
2003-04	15.00	0.00	0.00	15.00	0.00	0.00	14.00	0.00	0.00	79.00	159.00	0.76	0.00	0.00	282.76	0.00	0.00
2004-05	57.00		0.00	60.00		0.00	55.00		0.00	56.00	120.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	350.00		0.00
2005-06	75.50	118.70	0.00	80.00	131.97	0.00	74.00	99.33	0.00	70.00	331.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	630.60	350.00	0.00
2006-07	83.00	51.75	0.00	88.00	55.50	0.00	81.00	42.75	0.00	90.00	352.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	694.00	150.00	0.00
2007-08	120.00	54.00	0.00	80.00	54.00	0.00	80.00	42.00	0.00	125.00	658.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1063.00	150.00	0.00
2008-09	120.00	90.00	0.00	235.00	90.00	0.00	110.00	70.00	0.00	165.00	706.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1336.00	250.00	0.00
2009-10	160.00	61.20	0.00	230.00	61.20	0.00	0.00	47.60	0.00	240.00	650.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1280.00	170.00	0.00
2010-11	192.29	0.00	0.00	210.00	0.00	0.00	402.00	0.00	0.00	400.00	336.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1540.29	0.00	0.00
2011-12	524.36	295.47	375.65	562.67	391.34	392.67	466.68	308.19	301.68	400.00	521.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2474.71	995.00	1070.00
2012-13	916.66	371.35	0.00	969.18	309.23	0.00	877.16	319.42	0.00	449.00	636.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3848.00	1000.00	0.00
2013-14	910.18	0.00	0.00	901.57	0.00	0.00	1000.25	0.00	0.00	326.00	740.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3878.00	0.00	0.00
2014-15	988.46	70.88	271.85	1041.10	94.50	231.11	968.15	70.87	226.44	370.00	881.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	4249.21	236.25	729.40
2015-16	2485.70	70.88	394.97	2726.78	94.50	469.46	2540.18	70.87	401.17	537.16	1144.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	9433.82	236.25	1265.60
2016-17	1041.70	0.00	0.00	1108.76	0.00	0.00	1026.62	0.00	0.00	194.56	694.08	0.00	50.00	0.00	4115.72	0.00	0.00
2017-18	1078.58	0.00	0.00	1162.06	0.00	0.00	1062.99	0.00	0.00	250.01	296.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	3849.92	0.00	0.00
2018-19	1084.60	0.00	0.00	1158.35	0.00	0.00	1062.54	0.00	0.00	170.31	346.50	0.00	0.00	0.05	3822.35	0.00	0.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>10018.03</b>	<b>1184.23</b>		<b>10783.47</b>	<b>1282.24</b>		<b>9954.57</b>	<b>1071.03</b>		<b>3535.05</b>	<b>9576.08</b>	<b>12.94</b>	<b>50.00</b>	<b>0.05</b>	<b>43930.19</b>	<b>3537.50</b>	<b>3065.00</b>

\* This shows net investment/ equity net of accumulated losses invested by GoR. Total outgo of ₹ 376.73 crore (i.e. Equity of ₹ 1774.59 crore - accumulated losses of RSEB of ₹ 1397.86 crore) in five companies formed from unbundling of RSEB + ₹ 3.65 crore (initial equity of RREC).

**Annexure-3**  
**(Referred to in Paragraph 1.24 at page no. 25)**  
**Statement showing lack of responsiveness to Inspection Reports**

Sl. No.	Name of PSU	Outstanding Inspection Reports and Paragraphs			1 <sup>st</sup> compliance not received		
		No. of outstanding IRs	No. of outstanding paragraphs	Monetary value (₹ in crore)	No. of outstanding IRs	No. of outstanding paragraphs	Monetary value (₹ in crore)
1	Chhabra Power Limited (Subsidiary of Sl. No. 4)	2	3	-	-	-	-
2	Dholpur Gas Power Limited (Subsidiary of Sl. No. 4)	3	7	1.20	-	-	-
3	Giral Lignite Power Limited (Subsidiary of Sl. No. 4)	2	9	28.18	-	-	-
4	Rajasthan Rajya Vidyut Utpadan Nigam Limited	25	65	2296.22	-	-	-
5	Rajasthan Renewable Energy Corporation Limited	3	13	28.95	-	-	-
6	Banswara Thermal Power Company Limited (Subsidiary of Sl. No. 9)	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Barmer Thermal Power Company Limited (Subsidiary of Sl. No. 9)	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	Keshoraipatan GasThermal Power Company Limited (Subsidiary of Sl. No. 9)	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	Rajasthan Rajya Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited	20	44	255.96	-	-	-
10	Ajmer Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited	48	173	386.83	-	-	-
11	Jaipur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited	32	118	1510.63	-	-	-
12	Jodhpur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited	40	136	717.76	-	-	-
13	Rajasthan Solar Park Development Company Limited (Subsidiary of Sl. No. 5)	2	3	1.27	-	-	-
14	Rajasthan Urja Vikas Nigam Limited	1	3	3.80	-	-	-
15	Rajasthan Rajya Vidyut Vitran Vitta Nigam Limited	-	-	-	-	-	-
	<b>Total</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>574</b>	<b>5230.80</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>

**Annexure-4**

(Referred to in Paragraph 2.2.2 at page no. 29)

**Statement showing category-wise number of Purchase Orders (POs) eligible for financial closure and selection of POs from financially closed cases and cases pending for financial closure**

(Figures in Numbers)

S. No.	Particulars	Transformers	Meters	Vacuum Circuit Breakers (VCBs)	Steel items	Conductors / Cables	Total (except Other items)	Other items	Total
	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7=2+3+4+5+6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9=7+8</b>
1	Number of POs eligible for closure (i.e. POs issued during 1 April 2008 to 31 March 2018)	418	81	29	343	445	1316	2792	4108
2	Number of POs closed out of POs eligible for financial closure	90	22	1	95	30	238	275	513
<b>3</b>	<b>Sample selected from closed cases (20%)</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>49</b>
4	Number of POs pending for financial closure (1-2)	328	59	28	248	415	1078	2517	3595
<b>5</b>	<b>Sample selected from cases pending for closure (10%)</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>111</b>

## Annexure-5

(Referred to in Paragraph 2.4.1 at page no. 44)

## Statement showing details of number of GSS and lines

Sl. No.	Description	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	Total	Selected for audit scrutiny
<b>A. Number of GSS</b>						
1	At the beginning of the year	515	543	562		
2.	Added during the year					
	132 kV	20	16	11	47	-
	220 kV	7	1	2	10	3
	400 kV	1	3	2	6	3
	<b>Total</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>6</b>
3.	GSS upgraded during the year	0	1	1	2	
4.	Total GSSs at the end of the year	543	562	576		
<b>B. Transmission Lines (CKM)</b>						
1.	At the beginning of the year	33959	35643.93	37606.58		
2.	Added during the year					
	132 kV	589.964 (32)	418.247 (27)	428.346 (22)	1436.557 (81)	-
	220 kV	785.823 (16)	540.003 (7)	217.736 (7)	1516.562 (30)	776.263 (8)
	400 kV	308.678 (4)	1004.402 (4)	1336.167 (7)	2649.247 (15)	1730.146 (7)
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1684.47</b>	<b>1962.652</b>	<b>1982.25</b>	<b>5625.932</b>	<b>2506.409 (15)</b>
3.	Total length at the end of the year	35643.93	37606.58	39588.834		
<b>C. Transformers Capacity (MVA)</b>						
1	Capacity at the beginning of the year	68036.50	72553.00	78610.50		
2.	Added during the year	4516.50	6057.50	3470.00	14044.00	
3.	Capacity at the end of the year	72553.00	78610.50	82080.50		

\* Figures in bracket show the number of lines.

**Annexure-6**

**(Referred to in Paragraph 2.4.6 at page no. 47)**

**Statement showing details of cases of lack of synchronization in construction schedule of GSS and lines**

Name of project/ scheme/ work	Project approval date/ work award date	Scheduled/ actual completion date	Delay and reasons for delay	Blockage of funds (₹ in crore) / Loss on account of delay
<b>Lines remained idle due to non-completion/availability of GSS</b>				
Construction of 220 kV D/C Chhatrail-Ramgarh (60 KM) line	December 2011 (BoD) and February 2012 (GoR)/ February 2014	18 April 2015/4 July 2016	443 days of which delay of 375 days on part of the company on account of delay in issue of Gazette Notification from GoR, finalization of gantries at Ramgarh and Chhatrail end, revision of route of line due to change in position of power transmission line crossing, ROW problems, issue of conductor to the contractor, availability of shut downs of wing associated lines and finalization of revetment <sup>2</sup> work.	₹ 79.38/ The Company could charge the line from March 2016 on 11 kV only for the anti-theft purpose due to non - availability of concerned GSSs and thus envisaged benefits could not be derived.
Construction of 220 kV D/C Jaipur (North)-Manoharpur (31 KM) line along with 9.5 KM long LILO of VKIA-Kukas line	January 2013/ February 2014	30 December 2017	1108 days of which 1064 days on the part of the company mainly due to line being extended to 220 kV S/C VKIA-Kukas line, forest clearance and ROW Problems.	₹ 29.13/The line was constructed without ensuring availability of concerned GSS. Besides, land purchased for GSS worth ₹ 16.17 crore remained unutilised for which idle lease rent of ₹ 3.15 crore was paid.
220 kV GSS Amberi	May 2011/ Nov. 2016	March 2017/ March 2018	Belatedly awarding of the electrical work of Amberi GSS i.e. after lapse of 5 years from the BoD approval. The work was completed after a delay of 355 days from the stipulated completion date due to non- availability of matching material in time, delay in casting of foundation/grouting work, earth work by the civil wing and revision in Electric Layout Plan of GSS.	₹ 7.26/Three nos. of associated lines, (220 kV S/C Kankroli-Debari line, 132 kV S/C Debari-Sukher line and 132 kV S/C Suker-Seesarama line) completed in 2016 and 2017, remained idle for the period ranging from 12 to 26 months which led to the loss of energy envisaged in the DPR.
Construction of 220 kV GSS Bhawani Mandi along with	May 2014 (BoD)/ September 2015 on	23 November 2016/ 16 July 2018	600 days of which 450 days on the part of the Company due to delay of eight months in	₹ 29.93/ Both the associated lines i.e. Bhawani Mandi-Modak line (39.91 KM)

<sup>2</sup> Method generally used where the ground surface is irregular or where there is significant difference in levels of soils around the tower foundation. The revetment protection is provided in form of stone masonry walls around those sides of foundations where such protection is required.

Name of project/ scheme/ work	Project approval date/ work award date	Scheduled/ actual completion date	Delay and reasons for delay	Blockage of funds (₹ in crore) / Loss on account of delay
two associated lines	CLRC rate contract		providing the layout plan and non-supply of the material timely. Besides, the company took 17 months in issue of technical sanction and award of the work after approval of BOD.	and Bhawani Mandi- Kalisindh line (33.752 KM), completed on 4 March 2017 and 11 August 2017 respectively, remained unutilized till July 2018 due to non-completion of the GSS which led to loss of envisaged energy.
Construction of 50 KM LILO one circuit of 400 kV D/C Raj-West Jodhpur line at 400 kV GSS Jodhpur transmissions line	April 2011 (BoD)/12 June 2013	12 December 2014/ 6 May 2016	ROW problems, delay in obtaining the NOC from aviation Authority, payment of crop compensation <i>etc.</i>	₹ 61.03/The Company charged the line on 132 kV for the anti-theft purpose only due to non -availability of 400 kV GSS Jodhpur (New).
<b>Grid Sub-Stations remained idle due to non-completion of associated line</b>				
Construction of 400 kV D/C Bhilwara- Chittorgarh line (50 KM)	August 2009 (BoD) and November 2009 (GoR)/ November 2011	May 2013/ 7 September 2018	Delay of 1954 days on the part of the contractor and interim stay of High Court, ROW problem <i>etc.</i> Besides, the company took 23 months in inviting the tender and its finalisation from the date of approval of GOR.	₹ 285.79/ Delay in construction of line led to non-utilisation of GSS, Chittorgarh constructed in September 2013 for a period of 5 Years.
Construction of 400 kV D/C Bhilwara-Ajmer line (150 Km)	August 2009 (BoD)/ September 2012	25 March 2014/14 March 2018	Delay of 1315 days (service-665 days and supply 650 days). delay of 344 days on the part of the contractor and 321 days on the part of the company due to interim stay of High Court on mining of sand, ROW problem, delay in payment to contractor, receipt of NOC from civil aviation in August 2016 <i>etc.</i>	

**Annexure-7**

**(Referred to in Paragraph 2.4.7 at page no. 47)**

**Statement showing the details of works where preparatory activities were not carried out before execution of the works**

Name of project/ scheme/ work	Month in which the work was awarded	Scheduled/ actual completion date	Estimated Project Cost/ work order cost (₹ in crore)	Final cost (₹ in crore)	Delay	Reasons for delay
220 kV D/C line from PGCIL's 400/220 kV Kotputli GSS (PG) to Bansur (proposed 220 kV GSS)-20 KM	August 2013	Aug 2014/ May 2016	5.82 (WO)	13.18	20 months	Increase in line length to 41Km due to change in location of GSS.
Construction of 220 kV D/C Ajmer -Jethana line of 60 KM	January 2013	26 February 2014/ December 2016	12.20 (DPR)	34.45	1028 days	Non-availability of material and delay in obtaining clearance from NHAI
Construction of 220 kV S/C Sirohi-Pindwara line (25KM) to create alternate 220 kV supply source at 220 kV GSS Pindwara	December 2013	17 July 2014/ 14 February 2019	14.50 (revised estimate)	12.24	54 months	Delay due to forest clearance and delay on the part of the contractor to execute the work
220 kV D/C Badisid-Aau (50 KM) line	December 2013	17 November 2014/ 13 April 2016	23.28 (DPR)	28.57	513 days	ROW clearance, permission from Railways, delay in supply of tower material, earth wire, conductor hardware and other accessories etc.
Construction of lines 220 kV D/C Ajmer-Bherunda associated with 220 kV GSS at Bherunda	January 2017	2 March 2018/ 23 January 2019	18.95 (DPR)	23.69	327 days	Delay in obtaining forest clearance
Construction of GSS Bherunda	March 2016 and September 2016	August 2017/ December 2018	24.77 (DPR)	15.23	468 days of which 233 days was on the part of the company	Delay in supply of transformer, its accessories and drawings.
220 kV D/C Pratapgarh - Chittorgarh (400 kV GSS) line	October 2014	25 January 2016/ 22 February 2018	11.95 (WO)	57.46	165 days on part of contractor and delay of 594 days on part of RRVPNL	Delay of 401 days in obtaining forest clearance and inaction to resolve the ROW problems.



Name of project/ scheme/ work	Month in which the work was awarded	Scheduled/ actual completion date	Estimated Project Cost/ work order cost (₹ in crore)	Final cost (₹ in crore)	Delay	Reasons for delay
Construction of 180 KM 400 kV D/C quad ACSR Moose conductor line from Bhadla to Bikaner	April 2013	9 October 2014/ charged on 15 April 2017	232.88 (WO)	359.69	30 months	Delay due to court stay, ROW problems and forest clearance, approval of civil aviation <i>etc.</i>
Transmission System for Evacuation including 240 KM, 400kV D/C Quad ACSR Moose Transmission line from Akal (Jaisalmer) to Jodhpur (New) Transmission line	November 2014	16 May 2016/ 21 December 2018	567.84	471.14	Delay of 31 months	ROW problem, clearance from Statutory Authority, re-routing of some portion of line, issue of inspection outside India <i>w.r.t.</i> OPGW.
LILO 400 kV S/C Jodhpur - Merta line at 400 kV GSS Jodhpur	June 2013	13 December 2014/ 23 June 2016 and 31 January 2017	40.52 (PO)	70.95	18 months	ROW problem, route diversion due to forest, NOC from civil aviation, delay in payment due to insufficient funds <i>etc.</i>
<b>Total</b>				<b>1086.60</b>		

**Annexure-8**

(Referred to in paragraph 3.3 at page no. 54)

**Statement showing position of equity and outstanding loans relating to State PSUs (other than Power Sector) as on 31 March 2019**

(₹ in crore)

Sl. No.	Sector & Name of the PSU	Name of the Department	Month and year of incorporation	Equity <sup>3</sup> at close of the year 2018-19				Long term loans outstanding at close of the year 2018-19				
				GoR <sup>4</sup>	GoI <sup>5</sup>	Others	Total	GoR	GoI	Others	Total	
1	2	3	4	5 (a)	5 (b)	5 (c)	5 (d)	6 (a)	6 (b)	6 (c)	6 (d)	
<b>A</b>	<b>Social Sector</b>											
	<b>I. Working Government Companies</b>											
1.	Rajasthan Small Industries Corporation Limited	Industries	3-Jun-1961	6.64	0.27	0.05	6.96	0.75	0.00	0.00	0.75	
2.	Rajasthan State Handloom Development Corporation Limited	Industries	1-Apr-1984	45.51	0.00	0.55	46.06	0.00	0.00	0.43	0.43	
3.	Rajasthan Urban Drinking Water Sewerage and Infrastructure Corporation Limited	Local Self Government	1-Dec-2004	48.67	0.00	0.00	48.67	278.11	0.00	1196.94	1475.05	
4.	Rajasthan State Beverages Corporation Limited	Finance	24-Feb-2005	2.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5.	Jaipur Metro Rail Corporation Limited	Urban Development and Housing	1-Jan-2010	1494.04	0.00	200.00	1694.04	861.70	0.00	0.00	861.70	
6.	Rajasthan Ex-Servicemen Corporation Limited	Sainik Kalyan Department	29-Mar-2012	5.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
7.	Rajasthan Medical Services Corporation Limited	Medical, Health and Family Welfare	4-May-2011	5.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	21.18	0.00	0.00	21.18	
8.	Rajasthan Skill and Livelihoods Development Corporation	Labour, Employment, Skill and Entrepreneurship	17-Aug-2010	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

<sup>3</sup> Equity includes share application money.

<sup>4</sup> Government of Rajasthan.

<sup>5</sup> Government of India.

Sl. No.	Sector & Name of the PSU	Name of the Department	Month and year of incorporation	Equity <sup>3</sup> at close of the year 2018-19				Long term loans outstanding at close of the year 2018-19			
				GoR <sup>4</sup>	GoI <sup>5</sup>	Others	Total	GoR	GoI	Others	Total
1	2	3	4	5 (a)	5 (b)	5 (c)	5 (d)	6 (a)	6 (b)	6 (c)	6 (d)
9.	Rajasthan State Food & Civil Supplies Corporation Limited	Food, Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs	27-Dec-2010	50.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	9.78	9.78
10.	Rajasthan State Seeds Corporation Limited	Agriculture	28-Mar-1978	6.33	1.14	0.12	7.59	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	<b>Total A-I</b>			<b>1663.24</b>	<b>1.41</b>	<b>200.72</b>	<b>1865.37</b>	<b>1161.74</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>1207.15</b>	<b>2368.89</b>
	<b>II. Inactive Government companies</b>										
11.	Rajasthan State Agro Industries <sup>6</sup> Corporation Limited	Agriculture	1-Aug-1969	6.01	0.00	0.00	6.01	16.27	0.00	0.00	16.27
12.	Rajasthan Jal Vikas Nigam Limited	Ground Water Department	25-Jan-1984	1.27	0.00	0.00	1.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	<b>Total A-II</b>			<b>7.28</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>7.28</b>	<b>16.27</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>16.27</b>
	<b>Total A (I+II)</b>			<b>1670.52</b>	<b>1.41</b>	<b>200.72</b>	<b>1872.65</b>	<b>1178.01</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>1207.15</b>	<b>2385.16</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Competitive Sector</b>										
	<b>I. Working Government Companies</b>										
13.	Rajasthan State Power Finance and Financial Services Corporation Limited	Finance	21-Dec-2012	90.00	0.00	0.00	90.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
14.	Rajasthan State Ganganagar Sugar Mills Limited	Finance	1-Jul-1956	180.34	0.00	0.05	180.39	0.41	0.00	0.00	0.41
15.	Rajasthan State Industrial Development and Investment Corporation Limited (demerged since January 1980)	Industries	28-Mar-1969	210.19	0.00	0.00	210.19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
16.	Rajasthan State Road Development and Construction Corporation Limited	Public Works Department	8-Feb-1979	100.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	53.48	0.00	2771.82	2825.3
17.	Rajasthan State Mines and Minerals Limited (Government company since June 1973)	Mines and Petroleum	7-May-1947	77.54	0.00	0.01	77.55	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

<sup>6</sup> Rajasthan State Agro Industries Corp. Ltd. is under liquidation here latest figure has not been received from company

Audit Report No. 4 (Public Sector Undertakings) for the year ended 31 March 2019

Sl. No.	Sector & Name of the PSU	Name of the Department	Month and year of incorporation	Equity <sup>3</sup> at close of the year 2018-19				Long term loans outstanding at close of the year 2018-19			
				GoR <sup>4</sup>	GoI <sup>5</sup>	Others	Total	GoR	GoI	Others	Total
1	2	3	4	5 (a)	5 (b)	5 (c)	5 (d)	6 (a)	6 (b)	6 (c)	6 (d)
18.	Raj COMP Info Services Limited	Information Technology and Communication	27-Oct-2010	5.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
19.	Rajasthan State Hotels Corporation Limited	Tourism	7-Jun-1965	2.16	0.00	0.00	2.16	10.00	0.00	1.53	11.53
20.	Rajasthan Tourism Development Corporation Limited	Tourism	24-Nov-1978	21.95	0.00	0.00	21.95	34.50	0.00	0.00	34.50
21.	Barmer Lignite Mining Company Limited (Subsidiary and Joint Venture Company of Sl. No. 17)	Mines and Petroleum	19-Jan-2007	0	0	20.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	1684.61	1684.61
22.	Rajasthan State Gas Limited (Subsidiary of Sl. No. 23)	Mines and Petroleum	20-Sep-2013	0	0	129.87	129.87	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
23.	Rajasthan State Petroleum Corporation Ltd. (Subsidiary of Sl. No. 17)	Mines and Petroleum	10-Jul-2008	0	0	67.08	67.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	<b>Total B-I</b>			<b>687.18</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>217.01</b>	<b>904.19</b>	<b>98.39</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>4457.96</b>	<b>4556.35</b>
24.	Rajasthan State Road Transport Corporation	Transport	1-Oct-1964	612.13	26.83	0.00	638.96	648.27	0.00	709.24	1357.51
25.	Rajasthan State Warehousing Corporation	Agriculture	30-Dec-1957	3.93	3.92	0.00	7.85	298.47	0.00	0.00	298.47
26.	Rajasthan Financial Corporation	Industries	17-Jan-1955	128.31	0.00	32.42	160.73	1.04	0.00	300.00	301.04
	<b>Total B-II</b>			<b>744.37</b>	<b>30.75</b>	<b>32.42</b>	<b>807.54</b>	<b>947.78</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>1009.24</b>	<b>1957.02</b>
	<b>Total B (I+II)</b>			<b>1431.55</b>	<b>30.75</b>	<b>249.43</b>	<b>1711.73</b>	<b>1046.17</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>5467.20</b>	<b>6513.37</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>Others</b>										
	<b>I. Working Government Companies</b>										
27.	Rajasthan Police Housing and Construction Corporation Limited	Home	22-Jun-2013	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	<b>Total C-I</b>			<b>1.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>

Sl. No.	Sector & Name of the PSU	Name of the Department	Month and year of incorporation	Equity <sup>3</sup> at close of the year 2018-19				Long term loans outstanding at close of the year 2018-19			
				GoR <sup>4</sup>	GoI <sup>5</sup>	Others	Total	GoR	GoI	Others	Total
1	2	3	4	5 (a)	5 (b)	5 (c)	5 (d)	6 (a)	6 (b)	6 (c)	6 (d)
<b>II. Inactive Government Companies</b>											
28.	Rajasthan Civil Aviation Corporation Limited	Civil Aviation	20-Dec-2006	4.49	0.00	0.00	4.49	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	<b>Total C-II</b>			<b>4.49</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>4.49</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>
	<b>Total C (I+II)</b>			<b>5.49</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>5.49</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>
	<b>Grand Total (A+B+C)</b>			<b>3107.56</b>	<b>32.16</b>	<b>450.15</b>	<b>3589.87</b>	<b>2224.18</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>6674.35</b>	<b>8898.53</b>

**Annexure-9**

(Referred to in paragraph 3.7 at page no. 57)

**Statement showing difference between Finance Accounts of Government of Rajasthan and Accounts of the State PSUs (other than Power Sector) in respect of balances of Equity, Loans and Guarantee as on 31 March 2019**

(₹ in crore)

Sl. No.	Sector & Name of PSU	As per records of the State PSUs			As per Finance Accounts of Government of Rajasthan			Difference		
		Paid-up Capital	Loans outstanding	Guarantee Committed	Paid-up Capital	Loans outstanding	Guarantee Committed	Paid-up Capital	Loans outstanding	Guarantee Committed
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9=3-6	10=4-7	11=5-8
1	Rajasthan State Seeds Corporation Limited	6.33	0.00	0.00	6.33	0.64	0.00	0.00	-0.64	0.00
2	Rajasthan State Industrial Development and Investment Corporation Limited	210.19	0.00	0.00	193.69	5.37	0.00	16.50	-5.37	0.00
3	Rajasthan Urban Drinking Water Sewerage and Infrastructure Corporation Limited	48.67	278.11	1572.00	33.51	0.00	1286.74	15.16	278.11	285.26
4	Rajasthan State Ganganagar Sugar Mills Limited	180.34	0.41	0.00	180.84	0.11	0.00	-0.50	0.30	0.00
5	Rajasthan State Mines and Minerals Limited	77.54	0.00	0.00	77.56	0.00	0.00	-0.02	0.00	0.00
6	Rajasthan Tourism Development Corporation Limited	21.95	34.50	0.00	21.94	34.50	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00
7	Rajasthan Financial Corporation	128.31	1.04	300.00	128.31	1.26	300.00	0.00	-0.22	0.00
8	Rajasthan State Agro Industries Corp. Limited	6.01	16.27	0.00	4.13	17.51	0.00	1.88	-1.24	0.00

## Annexure-10

(Referred to in paragraph 3.8.1 at page no. 58)

Statement showing position of State Government investment in working State PSUs (other than Power Sector) accounts of which are in arrears during the period of arrears

(₹ in crore)

Sl. No.	Name of PSU	Period upto which accounts finalized	Period for which accounts are in arrears	Paid up capital as per latest accounts finalised	Investment made by State Government during the period for which accounts are in arrears		
					Loans	Subsidy	Total
<b>A</b>	<b>Government Companies</b>						
1	Rajasthan State Handloom Development Corporation Limited	2017-18	2018-19	46.06	0.00	0.80	0.80
2	Rajasthan Urban Drinking Water Sewerage and Infrastructure Corporation Limited	2016-17	2017-18, 2018-19	48.67	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	Rajasthan State Beverages Corporation Limited	2017-18	2018-19	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	Rajasthan Medical Services Corporation Limited	2017-18	2018-19	5.00	0.00	328.85	328.85
5	Rajasthan State Food & Civil Supplies Corporation Limited	2016-17	2017-18, 2018-19	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
6	Rajasthan State Seeds Corporation Limited	2017-18	2018-19	7.59	0.00	28.31	28.31
7	Rajasthan State Ganganagar Sugar Mills Limited	2017-18	2018-19	180.39	0.00	0.00	0.00
8	Rajasthan State Industrial Development and Investment Corporation Limited	2017-18	2018-19	210.19	0.00	0.00	0.00
9	Rajasthan State Mines and Minerals Limited	2017-18	2018-19	77.55	0.00	0.00	0.00
10	Raj COMP Info Services Limited	2017-18	2018-19	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11	Rajasthan State Hotels Corporation Limited	2015-16	2016-17, 2017-18, 2018-19	2.16	0.00	0.00	0.00
12	Rajasthan Tourism Development Corporation Limited	2015-16	2016-17, 2017-18, 2018-19	21.95	11.50	0.00	11.50
13	Barmer Lignite Mining Company Limited (Subsidiary Joint Company of Sl. No. A(9))	2017-18	2018-19	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
14	Rajasthan State Petroleum Corporation Limited	2017-18	2018-19	66.99	0.00	0.00	0.00
	<b>Total A</b>			<b>743.55</b>	<b>11.50</b>	<b>357.96</b>	<b>369.46</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Statutory Corporation</b>						
15	Rajasthan State Road Transport Corporation	2017-18	2018-19	638.96	100.00	383.81	483.81
	<b>Total B</b>			<b>638.96</b>	<b>100.00</b>	<b>383.81</b>	<b>483.81</b>
	<b>Grand Total (A+B)</b>			<b>1382.51</b>	<b>111.50</b>	<b>741.77</b>	<b>853.27</b>

**Annexure-11**

(Referred to in paragraph 3.11 at page no. 59, 3.12 at page no. 60 and 3.17 at page no. 66)

**Summarised financial results of State PSUs (other than Power Sector) for the latest year for which accounts were finalised**

(₹ in crore)

Sl. No.	Sector, Type & Name of the PSU	Period of accounts	Year in which finalised	Profit/ loss before interest & tax	Net profit/ loss	Turn over	Paid up capital	Capital employed	Net Worth <sup>7</sup>	Accumulated Profit/ loss
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
<b>A.</b>	<b>Social Sector</b>									
	<b>Working Government Companies</b>									
1.	Rajasthan Small Industries Corporation Limited	2018-19	2019-20	-0.62	-0.97	97.38	6.96	-10.76	-18.52	-25.48
2.	Rajasthan State Handloom Development Corporation Limited	2017-18	2018-19	0.23	0.22	18.06	46.06	-0.31	-3.83	-49.89
3.	Rajasthan Urban Drinking Water Sewerage and Infrastructure Corporation Limited	2016-17	2018-19 2019-20	0.73	0.49	5.33	48.67	613.34	69.74	21.07
4.	Rajasthan State Beverages Corporation Limited	2017-18	2018-19	28.98	5.12	5699.57	2.00	39.72	39.72	37.72
5.	Jaipur Metro Rail Corporation Limited	2018-19	2019-20	-24.70	-52.97	103.09	1694.04	1999.12	1406.32	-287.72
6.	Rajasthan Ex-Servicemen Corporation Limited	2018-19	2019-20	4.96	4.96	123.54	5.00	19.71	19.71	14.71
7.	Rajasthan Medical Services Corporation Limited	2017-18	2018-19	19.21	7.23	644.70	5.00	47.63	26.45	21.45
8.	Rajasthan Skill and Livelihoods Development Corporation	2018-19	2019-20	3.54	3.53	95.25	0.05	-13.00	-13.00	-13.05
9.	Rajasthan State Food & Civil Supplies Corporation Limited	2016-17	2019-20	6.87	3.51	475.17	50.00	86.73	86.73	36.73
10.	Rajasthan State Seeds Corporation Limited	2017-18	2018-19	7.54	1.08	261.58	7.59	125.51	125.51	117.92
	<b>Total A-I</b>			<b>46.74</b>	<b>-27.80</b>	<b>7523.67</b>	<b>1865.37</b>	<b>2907.69</b>	<b>1738.83</b>	<b>-126.54</b>
	<b>Inactive Government Companies</b>									
11.	Rajasthan State Agro Industries Corp. Limited	2014-15	2017-18	-0.14	-1.46	0.00	6.01	-2.21	-48.82	-54.83
12.	Rajasthan Jal Vikas Nigam Limited	2017-18	2018-19	-0.28	-0.28	0.00	1.27	-1.10	-1.10	-2.37

<sup>7</sup> Net worth figure is arrived at after deducting deffered revenue expenditure of ₹ 0.66 crore from the difference of paid up capital and accumulated losses in case of Rajasthan Financial Corporation.



Sl. No.	Sector, Type & Name of the PSU	Period of accounts	Year in which finalised	Profit/ loss before interest & tax	Net profit/ loss	Turn over	Paid up capital	Capital employed	Net Worth <sup>7</sup>	Accumulated Profit/ loss
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	<b>Total A-II</b>			<b>-0.42</b>	<b>-1.74</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>7.28</b>	<b>-3.31</b>	<b>-49.92</b>	<b>-57.20</b>
	<b>Total A (I+II)</b>			<b>46.32</b>	<b>-29.54</b>	<b>7523.67</b>	<b>1872.65</b>	<b>2904.38</b>	<b>1688.91</b>	<b>-183.74</b>
<b>B.</b>	<b>Competitive Environment sector</b>									
	<b>Working Government Companies</b>									
13.	Rajasthan State Power Finance and Financial Services Corporation Limited	2018-19	2019-20	7.59	5.47	0.00	90.00	106.78	106.78	16.78
14.	Rajasthan State Ganganagar Sugar Mills Limited	2017-18	2018-19	44.34	23.51	1143.25	180.39	298.14	297.58	117.19
15.	Rajasthan State Industrial Development and Investment Corporation Limited	2017-18	2018-19	196.06	142.94	689.23	210.19	1726.79	1726.79	1516.60
16.	Rajasthan State Road Development and Construction Corporation Limited	2018-19	2019-20	289.23	35.00	1408.61	100.00	2865.91	226.89	126.89
17.	Rajasthan State Mines and Minerals Limited (Government company since June 1973)	2017-18	2018-19	236.98	168.50	831.62	77.55	2157.54	2157.54	2079.99
18.	Raj COMP Info Services Limited	2017-18	2018-19	10.04	3.29	129.93	5.00	55.08	55.08	50.08
19.	Rajasthan State Hotels Corporation Limited	2015-16	2019-20	-0.06	-0.10	1.69	2.16	-2.55	-6.55	-8.71
20.	Rajasthan Tourism Development Corporation Limited	2015-16	2017-18	-14.53	-14.59	67.89	21.95	-109.01	-123.10	-145.05
21.	Barmer Lignite Mining Company Limited (Subsidiary and Joint Venture Company of Sl. No. 17)	2017-18	2018-19	48.90	-45.54	836.42	20.00	1599.66	-51.87	-71.87
22.	Rajasthan State Gas Limited (Subsidiary of Sl. No. 23)	2018-19	2019-20	6.46	5.39	57.44	129.87	125.56	125.56	-4.31
23.	Rajasthan State Petroleum Corporation Ltd. (Subsidiary of Sl. No. 17)	2017-18	2018-19	-0.80	-0.80	0.00	67.08	65.37	65.46	-1.62
	<b>Total B-I</b>			<b>824.21</b>	<b>323.07</b>	<b>5166.08</b>	<b>904.19</b>	<b>8889.27</b>	<b>4580.07</b>	<b>3675.97</b>
	<b>II. Statutory Corporation</b>									
24.	Rajasthan State Road Transport Corporation	2017-18	2019-20	-34.16	-176.71	1845.30	638.96	-2890.86	-4177.02	-4815.98
25.	Rajasthan State Warehousing Corporation	2018-19	2019-20	115.20	88.89	193.34	7.85	565.78	267.31	259.46
26.	Rajasthan Financial Corporation	2018-19	2019-20	48.49	10.39	91.37	160.73	352.26	51.60	-108.47
	<b>Total B-II</b>			<b>129.53</b>	<b>-77.43</b>	<b>2130.01</b>	<b>807.54</b>	<b>-1972.82</b>	<b>-3858.11</b>	<b>-4664.99</b>
	<b>Total B (I+II)</b>			<b>953.74</b>	<b>245.64</b>	<b>7296.09</b>	<b>1711.73</b>	<b>6916.45</b>	<b>721.96</b>	<b>-989.02</b>

Audit Report No. 4 (Public Sector Undertakings) for the year ended 31 March 2019

Sl. No.	Sector, Type & Name of the PSU	Period of accounts	Year in which finalised	Profit/loss before interest & tax	Net profit/loss	Turn over	Paid up capital	Capital employed	Net Worth <sup>7</sup>	Accumulated Profit/loss
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
<b>C.</b>	<b>Others</b>									
	<b>I. Working Government Company</b>									
27.	Rajasthan Police Housing and Construction Corporation Limited	2018-19	2019-20	2.62	2.01	4.10	1.00	3.30	3.30	2.30
	<b>Total C-I</b>			<b>2.62</b>	<b>2.01</b>	<b>4.10</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>3.30</b>	<b>3.30</b>	<b>2.30</b>
	<b>II. Inactive Government Company</b>									
28.	Rajasthan Civil Aviation Corporation Limited	2017-18	2018-19	0.04	0.03	0.00	4.49	-1.80	-1.80	-6.29
	<b>Total C-II</b>			<b>0.04</b>	<b>0.03</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>4.49</b>	<b>-1.80</b>	<b>-1.80</b>	<b>-6.29</b>
	<b>Total C (I+II)</b>			<b>2.66</b>	<b>2.04</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>5.49</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>-3.99</b>
	<b>Grand Total (A+B+C)</b>			<b>1002.72</b>	<b>218.14</b>	<b>14823.86</b>	<b>3589.87</b>	<b>9822.33</b>	<b>2412.46</b>	<b>-1176.75</b>
<b>i</b>	<b>Working Government Companies</b>			<b>873.57</b>	<b>297.28</b>	<b>12693.85</b>	<b>2770.47</b>	<b>11800.26</b>	<b>6322.20</b>	<b>3551.73</b>
<b>ii</b>	<b>Statutory Corporations</b>			<b>129.53</b>	<b>-77.43</b>	<b>2130.01</b>	<b>807.54</b>	<b>-1972.82</b>	<b>-3858.11</b>	<b>-4664.99</b>
<b>iii</b>	<b>Working PSUs (i+ii)</b>			<b>1003.10</b>	<b>219.85</b>	<b>14823.86</b>	<b>3578.01</b>	<b>9827.44</b>	<b>2464.09</b>	<b>-1113.26</b>
<b>iv</b>	<b>Inactive Government Companies</b>			<b>-0.38</b>	<b>-1.71</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>11.77</b>	<b>-5.11</b>	<b>-51.72</b>	<b>-63.49</b>
	<b>Grand Total (iii+iv)</b>			<b>1002.72</b>	<b>218.14</b>	<b>14823.86</b>	<b>3589.87</b>	<b>9822.33</b>	<b>2412.46</b>	<b>-1176.75</b>

## Annexure – 12

(Referred to in Paragraph 3.15 at page no. 63)

Statement showing State Government funds infused in State PSUs (other than Power Sector) during the period from 2000-01 to 2018-19

(₹ in crore)

A Social Sector										
S. No.	1.		2.		3.		4.		5.	
Year	Rajasthan State Seeds Corporation Limited		Rajasthan Small Industries Corporation Limited		Rajasthan State Handloom Development Corporation Limited		Rajasthan Urban Drinking Water Sewerage and Infrastructure Corporation Limited		Rajasthan Medical Services Corporation Limited	
	Equity	IFL	Equity	IFL	Equity	IFL	Equity	IFL	Equity	IFL
2000-01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2001-02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2002-03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2003-04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2004-05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2005-06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2006-07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2007-08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2008-09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2009-10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2010-11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2011-12	0.00	0.00	1.50	0.00	16.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	0.00
2012-13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.88
2013-14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	23.66	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-15.38
2014-15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2015-16	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-5.00
2016-17	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.77	0.00	0.00	15.67	0.00	0.00	-2.50
2017-18	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.77	0.00	0.00	0.00	278.11	0.00	-2.50
2018-19	-0.50	0.00	0.00	-9.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-2.50
<b>Total</b>	<b>-0.50</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>1.50</b>	<b>0.75</b>	<b>39.91</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>48.67</b>	<b>278.11</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>10.00</b>

<b>A Social Sector</b>										
<b>S. No.</b>	<b>6</b>		<b>7</b>		<b>8</b>		<b>9</b>		<b>10</b>	
<b>Year</b>	<b>Rajasthan Skill and Livelihoods Development Corporation</b>	<b>Skill Livihoods Corporation</b>	<b>Rajasthan State Food &amp; Civil Supplies Corporation Limited</b>		<b>Rajasthan State Beverages Corporation Limited</b>		<b>Jaipur Metro Rail Corporation Limited</b>		<b>Rajasthan Ex-Servicemen Corporation Limited</b>	
	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>
2000-01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2001-02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2002-03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2003-04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2004-05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2005-06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2006-07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2007-08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2008-09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2009-10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00
2010-11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	178.95	0.00	0.00	0.00
2011-12	0.05	0.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	307.04	0.00	0.00	0.00
2012-13	0.00	0.00	0.00	55.10	0.00	0.00	561.00	0.00	5.00	0.00
2013-14	0.00	0.00	0.00	-15.22	0.00	0.00	447.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2014-15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1182.38	0.00	0.00
2015-16	0.00	0.00	0.00	-24.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	72.02	0.00	0.00
2016-17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-958.05	0.00	0.00
2017-18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	114.34	0.00	0.00
2018-19	0.00	0.00	0.00	-15.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	185.05	0.00	0.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>0.05</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>50.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>1494.04</b>	<b>595.74</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>

<b>A Social Sector (11 and 12) and B. Competitive Environment Sector (13 to 15)</b>										
<b>S. No.</b>	<b>11</b>		<b>12</b>		<b>13</b>		<b>14</b>		<b>15</b>	
<b>Year</b>	<b>Rajasthan State Agro Industries Corp. Limited</b>		<b>Rajasthan Jal Vikas Nigam Limited</b>		<b>Rajasthan State Power Finance and Financial Services Corporation Limited</b>		<b>Rajasthan State Industrial Development and Investment Corporation Limited</b>		<b>Rajasthan State Road Development and Construction Corporation Limited</b>	
	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>
2000-01	1.84	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.78	-0.49	0.00	0.00
2001-02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.20	-1.31	0.00	0.00
2002-03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.35	-1.06	0.00	0.00
2003-04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.25	-0.04	0.00	0.00
2004-05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.92	-10.59	0.00	0.00
2005-06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.28	-5.51	0.00	0.00
2006-07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.30	-0.39	0.00	0.00
2007-08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.50	-1.05	0.00	0.00
2008-09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.99	-0.69	0.00	0.00
2009-10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.72	0.00	0.00
2010-11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.31	0.00	0.00
2011-12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-5.69	10.00	0.00
2012-13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2013-14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	75.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	80.00	0.00
2014-15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2015-16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2016-17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2017-18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2018-19	NR	NR	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>1.84</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>90.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>42.57</b>	<b>-27.85</b>	<b>90.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>

<b>B Competitive Environment Sector</b>										
<b>S. No.</b>	<b>16</b>		<b>17</b>		<b>18</b>		<b>19</b>		<b>20</b>	
<b>Year</b>	<b>Rajasthan State Ganganagar Sugar Mills Limited</b>		<b>Rajasthan State Mines and Minerals Limited</b>		<b>Rajasthan State Hotels Corporation Limited</b>		<b>Rajasthan Tourism Development Corporation Limited</b>		<b>Rajasthan Financial Corporation</b>	
	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>
2000-01	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2001-02	0.00	0.00	0.00	-2.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2002-03	0.00	0.00	5.70	0.00	0.00	-0.36	0.00	0.00	0.00	-2.10
2003-04	0.00	0.00	10.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.80
2004-05	0.00	0.00	0.00	-1.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.54	0.00
2005-06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.61	0.00	0.00	0.00
2006-07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2007-08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	-0.55
2008-09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00
2009-10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.56	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.95	0.00
2010-11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2011-12	21.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.29	-0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2012-13	11.69	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.00	3.50	0.00	25.65	0.00
2013-14	43.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	0.00	15.00	25.00	0.00
2014-15	42.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2015-16	58.87	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.00	0.00	0.00
2016-17	-0.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2017-18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2018-19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.50	0.00	0.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>176.79</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>15.81</b>	<b>-3.50</b>	<b>1.19</b>	<b>9.54</b>	<b>8.11</b>	<b>34.50</b>	<b>81.15</b>	<b>-3.45</b>

<b>B Competitive Environment Sector (21 to 23) and C. Others (24-25)</b>										
<b>S. No.</b>	<b>21</b>		<b>22</b>		<b>23</b>		<b>24</b>		<b>25</b>	
<b>Year</b>	<b>Rajasthan State Road Transport Corporation</b>		<b>Rajasthan State Warehousing Corporation</b>		<b>Raj COMP Info Services Limited</b>		<b>Rajasthan Police Housing and Construction Corporation Limited</b>		<b>Rajasthan Civil Aviation Corporation Limited</b>	
	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>	<b>Equity</b>	<b>IFL</b>
2000-01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2001-02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2002-03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2003-04	112.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2004-05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2005-06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2006-07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2007-08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2008-09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.82	0.00
2009-10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2010-11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2011-12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00
2012-13	188.90	10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.62	0.00
2013-14	150.00	137.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
2014-15	80.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2015-16	0.00	200.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2016-17	0.00	150.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2017-18	0.00	38.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
2018-19	0.00	86.87	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>531.00</b>	<b>648.27</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>4.49</b>	<b>0.00</b>

**Annexure-13**  
**(Referred to in Paragraph 3.29 at page no. 72)**  
**Statement showing lack of responsiveness to Inspection Reports**

Sl. No.	Name of Department and PSU	Outstanding Inspection Reports and Paragraphs			1 <sup>st</sup> compliance not received		
		No. of outstanding IRs	No. of outstanding paragraphs	Monetary value (₹ in crore)	No. of outstanding IRs	No. of outstanding paragraphs	Monetary value (₹ in crore)
<b>A</b>	<b>Industries</b>						
1	Rajasthan Small Industries Corporation Limited	8	49	6.97	-	-	-
2	Rajasthan State Handloom Development Corporation Limited	3	6	0.95	-	-	-
3	Rajasthan State Industrial Development and Investment Corporation Limited	67	208	339.72	1	1	-
4	Rajasthan Financial Corporation	61	163	251.01	-	-	-
	<b>Total A</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>426</b>	<b>598.65</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Local Self Government</b>						
5	Rajasthan Urban Drinking Water Sewerage and Infrastructure Corporation Limited	11	109	1758.32	-	-	-
	<b>Total B</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>1758.32</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>Finance (Excise)</b>						
6	Rajasthan State Beverages Corporation Limited	4	15	2.60	-	-	-
7	Rajasthan State Ganganagar Sugar Mills Limited	12	50	55.03	-	-	-
	<b>Total C</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>57.63</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>D</b>	<b>Urban Development and Housing</b>						
8	Jaipur Metro Rail Corporation Limited	5	33	389.23	-	-	-
	<b>Total D</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>389.23</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>E</b>	<b>Sainik Kalyan Department</b>						
9	Rajasthan Ex-Servicemen Corporation Limited	3	8	2.68	-	-	-
	<b>Total E</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2.68</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>F</b>	<b>Medical, Health and Family Welfare</b>						
10	Rajasthan Medical Services Corporation Limited	3	22	33.25	-	-	-
	<b>Total F</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>33.25</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>



Sl. No.	Name of Department and PSU	Outstanding Inspection Reports and Paragraphs			I <sup>st</sup> compliance not received		
		No. of outstanding IRs	No. of outstanding paragraphs	Monetary value (₹ in crore)	No. of outstanding IRs	No. of outstanding paragraphs	Monetary value (₹ in crore)
<b>G</b>	<b>Labour, Employment, Skill and Entrepreneurship</b>						
11	Rajasthan Skill and Livelihoods Development Corporation	5	24	183.19	-	-	-
	<b>Total G</b>	5	24	183.19	-	-	-
<b>H</b>	<b>Food, Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs</b>						
12	Rajasthan State Food & Civil Supplies Corporation Limited	5	22	46.89	-	-	-
	<b>Total H</b>	5	22	46.89	-	-	-
<b>I</b>	<b>Agriculture</b>						
13	Rajasthan State Seeds Corporation Limited	22	114	158.81	-	-	-
14	Rajasthan State Agro Industries Corporation Limited	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Rajasthan State Warehousing Corporation	6	39	58.38	-	-	-
	<b>Total I</b>	28	153	217.19	-	-	-
<b>J</b>	<b>Ground Water Department</b>						
16	Rajasthan Jal Vikas Nigam Limited	-	-	-	-	-	-
	<b>Total J</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>K</b>	<b>Finance</b>						
17	Rajasthan State Power Finance and Financial Services Corporation Limited	1	4	1.67	-	-	-
	<b>Total K</b>	1	4	1.67	-	-	-
<b>L</b>	<b>Public Works Department</b>						
18	Rajasthan State Road Development and Construction Corporation Limited	50	152	337.84	4	11	1.90
	<b>Total L</b>	50	152	337.84	4	11	1.90
<b>M</b>	<b>Mining and Petroleum</b>						
19	Rajasthan State Mines and Minerals Limited	19	75	325.60	4	13	14.18

Sl. No.	Name of Department and PSU	Outstanding Inspection Reports and Paragraphs			I <sup>st</sup> compliance not received		
		No. of outstanding IRs	No. of outstanding paragraphs	Monetary value (₹ in crore)	No. of outstanding IRs	No. of outstanding paragraphs	Monetary value (₹ in crore)
20	Rajasthan State Gas Limited	1	5	1.47	-	-	-
21	Rajasthan State Petroleum Corporation Ltd.	-	-	-	-	-	-
	<b>Total M</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>327.07</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>14.18</b>
<b>N</b>	<b>Information, Technology &amp; Communication</b>						
22	Raj COMP Info Services Limited	5	30	154.06	1	2	-
	<b>Total N</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>154.06</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>O</b>	<b>Tourism</b>						
23	Rajasthan State Hotels Corporation Limited	5	18	1.55	-	-	-
24	Rajasthan Tourism Development Corporation Limited	24	126	71.59	3	49	8.57
	<b>Total O</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>73.14</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>8.57</b>
<b>P</b>	<b>Mines and Geology</b>						
25	Barmer Lignite Mining Company Limited	2	4	1.64	1	1	0.23
	<b>Total P</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1.64</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.23</b>
<b>Q</b>	<b>Transport</b>						
26	Rajasthan State Road Transport Corporation	69	554	1174.72	22	95	177.74
	<b>Total Q</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>554</b>	<b>1174.72</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>177.74</b>
<b>R</b>	<b>Home</b>						
27	Rajasthan Police Housing and Construction Corporation Limited	1	1	18.69	-	-	-
	<b>Total R</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>18.69</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>S</b>	<b>Civil Aviation</b>						
28	Rajasthan Civil Aviation Corporation Limited	1	1	0.04	-	-	-
	<b>Total S</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.04</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
	<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>393</b>	<b>1832</b>	<b>5375.90</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>202.62</b>

## Annexure-14

(Referred to in Paragraph 4.14.3 at page no. 84)

Statement showing depot wise requirement and availability (own and hired) of buses in selected 14 depots during 2016-17 to 2018-19

(Figures in numbers)

S. No.	Depot and Year	Total schedule planned for the year	Buses required to operate the planned schedule	Corporation's fleet			Buses required to be hired for the year	Hired buses	Excess / Shortage (-)		Actual operated schedule	Schedule curtailed due to shortage of buses
				Own fleet	Overage fleet	Net fleet			Excess	Shortage		
<b>Financial Year 2016-17</b>												
1	Jaipur	142	149	142	2	140	9	0		-9	140	2
2	Hindaun	76	79	79	0	79	0	0			74	
3	Karauli	14	14	16	0	16	-2	0	2		14	
4	Kota	102	107	119	0	119	-12	0	12		97	
5	Sirohi	53	55	54	0	54	1	0		-1	49	4
6	Tonk	97	101	91	6	85	16	0		-16	91	6
7	Ajaymeru	101	105	101	11	90	15	0		-15	98	3
8	Banswara	80	83	88	0	88	-5	0	5		75	
9	Rajsamand	34	35	33	0	33	2	0		-2	32	2
10	Hanumangarh	134	141	123	4	119	22	20		-2	131	3
11	Lohagarh	95	99	91	0	91	8	0		-8	81	14
12	Anoopgarh	66	68	61	0	61	7	9	2		59	
13	Sikar	154	162	155	2	153	9	2		-7	150	4
14	Dungarpur	91	95	95	0	95	0	0			81	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1239</b>	<b>1293</b>	<b>1248</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>1223</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>-60</b>	<b>1172</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>Financial Year 2017-18</b>												
1	Jaipur	140	147	159	10	149	-2	14	16		135	
2	Hindaun	72	75	88	35	53	22	0		-22	68	4
3	Karauli	18	19	16	5	11	8	0		-8	18	
4	Kota	107	112	123	10	113	-1	10	11		96	

Audit Report No. 4 (Public Sector Undertakings) for the year ended 31 March 2019

S. No.	Depot and Year	Total schedule planned for the year	Buses required to operate the planned schedule	Corporation's fleet			Buses required to be hired for the year	Hired buses	Excess / Shortage (-)		Actual operated schedule	Schedule curtailed due to shortage of buses
				Own fleet	Overage fleet	Net fleet			Excess	Shortage		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7=5-6	8=4-7	9	10=9-8	11=8-9	12	13=3-12
5	Sirohi	70	73	58	4	54	19	5		-14	57	13
6	Tonk	98	102	81	7	74	28	15		-13	95	3
7	Ajaymeru	101	106	96	58	38	68	10		-58	99	2
8	Banswara	84	87	74	12	62	25	5		-20	75	9
9	Rajsamand	49	50	62	0	62	-12	0	12		47	
10	Hanumangarh	146	153	112	30	82	71	36		-35	130	16
11	Lohagarh	92	96	92	0	92	4	8	4		82	
12	Anoopgarh	72	74	53	13	40	34	21		-13	66	6
13	Sikar	163	171	176	25	151	20	20	0		158	
14	Dungarpur	90	94	104	0	104	-10	0	10		87	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1302</b>	<b>1360</b>	<b>1294</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>1085</b>	<b>275</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>-183</b>	<b>1213</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>Financial Year 2018-19</b>												
1	Jaipur	137	144	116	4	112	32	46	14		128	
2	Hindaun	75	78	69	2	67	11	11			73	
3	Karauli	18	19	15	0	15	4	4			18	
4	Kota	105	110	103	6	97	13	23	10		99	
5	Sirohi	64	67	48	0	48	19	22	3		62	
6	Tonk	94	98	77	10	67	31	28		-3	95	
7	Ajaymeru	104	109	94	41	53	56	15		-41	96	8
8	Banswara	81	84	80	0	80	4	15	11		76	
9	Rajsamand	47	48	48	0	48	0	10	10		44	
10	Hanumangarh	125	131	94	25	69	62	37		-25	120	5
11	Lohagarh	94	98	97	0	97	1	7	6		89	
12	Anoopgarh	64	66	45	5	40	26	22		-4	63	1
13	Sikar	148	155	136	13	123	32	23		-9	145	3

S. No.	Depot and Year	Total schedule planned for the year	Buses required to operate the planned schedule	Corporation's fleet			Buses required to be hired for the year	Hired buses	Excess / Shortage (-)		Actual operated schedule	Schedule curtailed due to shortage of buses			
				Own fleet	Overage fleet	Net fleet			7=5-6	8=4-7			9	10=9-8	11=8-9
1	2	3	4	5	6	7=5-6	8=4-7	9	10=9-8	11=8-9	12	13=3-12			
14	Dungarpur	90	94	96	0	96	-2	19	21		79				
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1246</b>	<b>1301</b>	<b>1118</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>1012</b>	<b>289</b>	<b>282</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>-82</b>	<b>1187</b>	<b>17</b>			
	<b>2016-17</b>	<b>1239</b>	<b>1293</b>	<b>1248</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>1223</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>-60</b>	<b>1172</b>	<b>38</b>			
	<b>2017-18</b>	<b>1302</b>	<b>1360</b>	<b>1294</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>1085</b>	<b>275</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>-183</b>	<b>1213</b>	<b>53</b>			
	<b>2018-19</b>	<b>1246</b>	<b>1301</b>	<b>1118</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>1012</b>	<b>289</b>	<b>282</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>-82</b>	<b>1187</b>	<b>17</b>			

**Annexure-15**

(Referred to in Paragraph 4.15 and 4.15.3 at page no. 85 and 86)

**Statement showing relevant provisions prescribed for procurement of material under the RTPP Act 2012/ Rules 2013 which were not adhered to by the Corporation**

**Section 4 of the RTPP Act 2012** provides that the procuring entity, in relation to a public procurement, shall have the responsibility and accountability to (a) ensure efficiency, economy and transparency; (b) provide fair and equitable treatment to bidders; (c) promote competition; and (d) put in place mechanisms to prevent corrupt practices.

The Corporation, however, did not comply with this provision as it allowed (January 2017) one person to attend negotiations on behalf of three to five bidders for hiring of blue line buses. This resulted in cartel formation as well as promotion of an unethical practice.

**Section 5 of the RTPP Act 2012** provides that the procuring entity shall first determine the need for the subject matter of procurement in every case of procurement.

The Corporation, however, did not comply with the provision as it invited (September 2015 and December 2016) tenders for hiring of various category of buses on random basis without determining the requirement of concerned depots.

**Section 7 of the RTPP Act 2012** provides that:

- A procuring entity may determine and apply the requirements for a bidder to be qualified for participating in a procurement process.
- Any bidder participating in the procurement process shall possess the necessary professional, technical, financial and managerial resources and competence required by the bidding documents, pre-qualification documents or bidder registration documents, as the case may be, issued by the procuring entity.
- The procuring entity shall evaluate the qualifications of bidders only in accordance with the requirement specified in this section.

Further **Rule 36 of RTPP Rules 2013** provides that the bidding documents shall have certain sections including Qualification and Evaluation criteria, the criteria and procedures that shall be applied in the ascertainment of the qualifications of bidders and the detailed procedure for evaluation of bids.

Audit observed that:

- The Corporation invited (July 2014) bids for hiring of blue line buses wherein the bid document provided certain technical and financial criteria *viz.* net worth, quantum of minimum fleet under single ownership, arrangement of repair and maintenance, parking space, experience of operating passenger transport *etc.* for the bidders. On receipt of higher rates, the Corporation re-invited (April 2015) the

tender where all these criteria were removed/ deleted and thus, the re-tendering was done without technical and financial qualifying criteria for bidders in violation of Section 7 of the RTPP Act 2012 and Rule 36 of RTPP Rules 2013. Similarly, the Corporation invited bids (July and December 2016) for hiring of other categories of buses (star line, sleeper and luxury buses) without mentioning technical bid evaluation criteria in the bid document.

- Section 7 of the RTPP Act 2012 was not complied with as the Corporation accepted (July 2016) the technical bid from the Contractor B under the consortium which was not registered under Companies Act or Societies Act as mentioned in the Clause B of technical eligibility under the head of General Conditions of Technical Eligibility of bid document in 2016-17.
- Section 7 of the RTPP Act 2012, Rule 39 of RTPP Rules 2013 and terms and conditions of the bid document were not complied with as the Corporation awarded (December 2016) the contract for hiring of luxury Volvo buses in favour of the Contractor A (a consortium) whereas the bid was submitted (July 2016) by the Contractor C. Audit also observed that the Contractor A was registered (24 November 2016) with Registrar of Companies, Jaipur after the submission of bid by the Contractor C.

The Government while accepting the facts stated that the Letter of Intent was issued only after the Firm got registration from Ministry of Corporate Affairs, GOI. The reply was not acceptable in view of the fact that the bid was not submitted by the Contractor A.

**Rule 56 of RTPP Rules, 2013 provides that** the bid evaluation committee constituted by the procuring entity shall conduct a preliminary scrutiny of the opened bids to assess the *prima-facie* responsiveness and ensure that the (a) bid is signed, as per the requirements listed in the bidding documents; (b) bid has been sealed as per instructions provided in the bidding documents; (c) bid is valid for the period, specified in the bidding documents; (d) bid is accompanied by bid security or bid securing declaration; (e) bid is unconditional and the bidder has agreed to give the required performance security; and (f) other conditions, as specified in the bidding documents are fulfilled.

Audit, however, observed that the Corporation did not adhere to the Rule as it opened (6 January 2017) the financial bid of 49 bidders (out of 59 bidders) of blue line buses and 42 bidders (out of 43 bidders) of star line buses and also awarded (January 2017) contracts to all these bidders without obtaining the documents required as per Request for Proposal (RFP) for technical qualification.

**Rule 75 of RTPP Rules** provides that the performance security shall be furnished in one of the forms (including Fixed Deposit Receipt (FDR) of a scheduled bank) specified under this Rule. Sub Rule (e) of this Rule provided that it shall be in the name of procuring entity on account of bidder and discharged by the bidder in advance. The procuring entity shall ensure before accepting the FDR that the bidder furnishes an undertaking from the bank to make payment/premature payment of the FDR on demand to the procuring entity without requirement of consent of the bidder concerned.

Audit observed that the Corporation accepted the performance security in the form of FDR from the bidders during 2015-17 without obtaining undertaking from the issuing bank for making payment/ premature payment, as required under the RTPP Rules.

**Annexure-16**

**(Referred to in Paragraph 4.20 at page no. 90)**

**Statement showing cases of shortcomings/deficiencies in hiring of buses during 2015-16 and 2016-17**

***Unjustified time extension for delivery of hired buses (2015-16)***

The Corporation invited (August 2015) bids for hiring of 400 blue line express buses. The Corporation received (September 2015) bids for 240 buses from 18 bidders and accordingly, the Corporation issued (27 Jan 2016) LOIs to all the 18 bidders for 240 buses at the rates ranging between ₹ 7.11 per km to ₹ 7.90 per km. Clause III and IV under terms and conditions of financial bid of the bid document clearly require that bus body of the buses to be delivered by the contractors were to be fabricated by the bus body fabricators having bus code accreditation certificate of AIS 052. Clause VI further provided that the buses were to be delivered within 120 days from the date of LOI and in case, the buses were not provided within the stipulated period, penalty for delay was to be levied at the rate of ₹ 1000 per day per bus upto 15 days and ₹ 1500 per day per bus thereafter.

Audit noticed that seven contractors delivered 105 buses beyond the stipulated time schedule with delay ranging between six to 55 days and the Corporation recovered penalty of ₹ 33.64 lakh from these contractors. Later, these contractors requested (May and June 2016) for extension of time on the ground of non-availability of AIS052 accreditation certification with the bus body builders. The matter was placed (March 2017) before the management wherein it was decided (April 2017) to extend the delivery period upto 150 days. After considering the revised schedule, the delay was worked out for only 17 buses relating to two contractors *i.e.* for 15 buses<sup>8</sup> relating to one contractor and two buses<sup>9</sup> relating to the other contractor and accordingly, the recoverable penalty was reduced to ₹ 2.39 lakh only and the remaining amount of ₹ 31.25 lakh was refunded.

Audit observed that the Corporation was not prompt in deciding the matter as extension in delivery schedule was granted nine months after the delayed receipt of 105 buses. Further, the extension was not justified as six out of the seven defaulting contractors (except one contractor which supplied five buses within stipulated period) did not deliver even a single bus against ordered quantity of 100 buses whereas the other eleven contractors completed the delivery of entire ordered quantity of 130 buses within the stipulated delivery period. Besides, the bidders/contractors were aware of the condition which provided for fabrication of bus bodies according to AIS 052 accreditation certificate at the time of participating for the tender. Thus, grant of undue time extension to defaulting contractors led to under recovery of penalty worth ₹ 31.25 lakh.

The Government stated that on the date of issuing LOIs, the requisite accreditation certificate was held by only one bus body fabricator located in Dharuhera, Gurgaon. Further, five bus body fabricators got this certificate on 31 March 2016 in Rajasthan. Therefore, looking to delay due to unavoidable reasons *viz.* non-availability of requisite bus body fabricators, the extension in delivery time was granted by the competent authority within the delegated powers. The reply was not convincing as both the Corporation and bidders were aware of the mandatory condition/norm of fabrication of bus body at the time of tender invitation. Further, the Corporation did not furnish any document which supports its claim of non-availability of bus body fabricator holding the prescribed accreditation certificate.

***Deficiencies in hiring of buses (2016-17)***

The Corporation invited (December 2016) tenders for hiring of 800 buses (*i.e.* 500 blue line buses, 200 star line buses and 100 sleeper buses). The Corporation issued (January and February 2017) Letter of Intents (LOI) on successful bidders/contractors for 741 buses (*i.e.* 500 blue line buses, 205 star line

8 10 buses for five days and five buses for 25 days.

9 18 days for each bus.



buses and 36 sleeper buses). As per terms and conditions of the LOIs, the contractors were to deliver the ordered buses within a period of 120 days from issue of LOIs. Besides, the delivery was to be accepted with applicable penalty for further period of 30 days.

Audit noticed that eight of these contractors represented (April and May 2017) before management of the Corporation and requested to extend the delivery period of the 248 buses ordered to them from 17 May 2017/15 June 2017 to 31 July 2017 on the grounds that the GoI/GoR had imposed ban on BS III vehicles from 1 April 2017 and chassis of BS IV vehicles were not available and there were limited number of fabricators holding AIS052 accreditation certificate in the State. In this scenario, the Engineering Section apprised (May 2017) that the Corporation had sufficient number of buses *i.e.* 4730 buses (including 930 hired buses) to cater its requirement as the Corporation was operating lesser schedules than the planned schedules. Accordingly, the Traffic Section cancelled (22 May 2017) the LOIs of these eight contractors for 234 buses on the ground of violation of bid conditions by not providing the BS III buses. Later, on representation by the concerned contractors, the Corporation restored (October 2017) LOIs for those 169 buses which were registered before the last date of delivery/contracts for which had already been executed before cancellation of LOIs.

In this regard, following deficiencies were noticed:

- Average number of schedules operated and buses utilised during October 2016 to March 2019 (except strike months of September and October 2018) ranged between 3747 and 4270 schedules and 3736 and 4437 buses respectively. Audit noticed that the Corporation did not analyse the requirement of hired buses (including 500 blue line buses) already assessed (3 October 2016) as after assessment of its initial requirement and commencement of hiring process (December 2016) the Corporation received (January 2017) approval from the GoR for procurement of new 500 blue line buses and also received (February 2017) interest free loan of ₹ 100 crore for the proposed procurement. Despite this, the Corporation continued with the process of hiring 800 buses (including 500 blue line buses) for a considerable period of five years. Thus, non-reduction in requirement of buses to be hired resulted in availability of excess buses with the Corporation which was evident from the fact that the number of buses available with the Corporation (owned + hired) remained substantially higher than the average number of buses actually utilised since October 2016.
- Cancellation of 234 LOIs was defective as it was done before lapse of scheduled delivery period due to which the Corporation had to restore the LOIs and accepted delivery of 169 buses without their requirement.
- Out of remaining 65 buses, the Corporation belatedly restored (November 2017) LOIs of 27 other buses and accepted delivery of these buses with applicable penalty for delay in delivery (*i.e.* from last date of scheduled period to submission of relevant documents). This indicated that the Corporation was not prompt and prudent in deciding the case. Besides, the Corporation did not forfeit earnest money deposit of ₹ 19 lakh belonged to remaining 38 cancelled LOIs.

The Government accepted the facts and stated (May 2020) that LOIs of 234 buses were cancelled by the Committee in view of impose of ban on BS-III models of buses by the Government of India, non-availability of fabricators holding AIS052 accreditation certificate in the State and non-availability of BS-IV models of buses. Thereafter, LOIs of 196 buses were restored on receipt of intimation from the concerned bidders for availability of buses with documentary proofs before the date stipulated in the tender. However, the reply was silent on the deficiencies highlighted in the observation.

#### ***Non-forfeiture of security deposit of defaulting contractor***

Clause 22 of the agreement executed between the Corporation and the private bus owner/contractor for hiring of buses stipulated that operator cannot transfer/sell the hired vehicles during the term of the agreement and will not do any activity which would be against the interest of the corporation. If operator does not follow these conditions, the agreement will be cancelled and the Corporation can forfeit the dues/security deposit.

During review of records of the selected depots, Audit observed an instance at Kota Depot where one contractor did not provide (May 2017 and July 2018)

the six vehicles hired on contract by the Corporation without prior intimation and consent of the Corporation and arranging alternate vehicles in contravention of the terms and conditions of the agreement. Audit noticed that the concerned depot although cancelled (July 2017 and August 2018) all the six agreements for these buses but it did not forfeit the security deposit of ₹ 18.38 lakh provided by the contractor till September 2019. Further, the Corporation did not provide information relating to agreements cancelled during 2014-19 along with details of security deposit forfeited from such contractors.

In reply, the Government provided the details of amount forfeited by four depots including Kota depot. It further stated that the Corporation is collecting the information from remaining depots which will be compiled and provided to Audit.

***Other irregularities in hiring of buses during 2016-17***

During review of records relating to hiring of 800 buses during 2016-17, Audit observed that:

- the Corporation permitted (December 2016) reduction in the length of chassis of Star Line buses during pre-bid meeting with the interested bidders without recording the reasons. This led to reduction in sitting space as well as comfort of the passengers of these buses.
- the comparative statement prepared (January 2017) by the concerned Low Power Committee was not signed by its members. Further, copies of financial bids were also not available in records. Thus, authenticity of the financial bid could not be verified in audit. During exit conference, the management accepted the facts and assured to take necessary action in future.
- Clause 5 of terms and conditions of financial bid under the bid document for hiring of 800 buses provided that two stage inspection (Structure/final) of the bus bodies under fabrication/fabricated was to be carried out by the inspection team of the Corporation. However, the Corporation did not furnish the inspection reports/ other records which could confirm that the requisite inspections were carried out by it as per laid down norms. In absence of requisite inspection reports, quality and design of the buses according to prescribed norms/standards could not be verified in audit. During exit conference, the management assured to ensure strict compliance of inspection norms in future.
- The Corporation did not ensure that the hired buses could be clearly identified as in case of any accident or dispute, the contractor was to be liable for the losses/compensation payable to the passengers/staff personnel/third parties.

The Government stated that the vehicles are not fixed for particular route and can be operated on any of the route. It further stated that as per the agreements executed for interstate services, the hired buses could not be operated in other states and hence the word 'contract' is not mentioned on the hired buses. The reply indicated that the Corporation had violated the terms and conditions of the agreements executed for operation of buses on interstate routes. Further, the Corporation has to pay in case where the passengers/citizens make it a party in case of accident/dispute and then wait to recover the amount from the contractor.

## Annexure-17

(Referred to in Paragraph 4.22 at page no. 91)

Statement showing excess payment/excess diesel consumption allowed to the private bus operator/contractor during 2014-19

(Amount in ₹)

S. No.	Depot	Amount recoverable for excess diesel consumption	Amount recoverable for excess payment	Total recoverable amount
<b>A</b>	<b>Excess diesel for dead kilometers</b>			
1	Ajaymeru	493813	0	493813
<b>B</b>	<b>Recovery of excess diesel consumption at rates lesser than the prevailing market rates</b>			
2	Sirohi	93203	0	93203
<b>C</b>	<b>Excess diesel/payment for second breakdown</b>			
3	Sikar	1443000	364000	1807000
4	Lohagarh	33000	0	33000
5	Hanumangarh	117000	68000	185000
6	Dungarpur	17000	0	17000
7	Banswara	30888	21148	52036
8	Kota	18047	0	18047
9	Hindaun	33356	0	33356
10	Karuali	0	8313	8313
11	Tonk	101285	69346	170631
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2380592</b>	<b>530807</b>	<b>2911399</b>

**Annexure-18**

**(Referred to in Paragraph 4.25 at page no. 94)**  
**Statement showing vehicle productivity of buses during 2014-15 to 2018-19**

Year	Vehicle Productivity (KM Per Day)			
	Corporation as a whole			Own vehicle in selected 15 depots
	Own Vehicle	Hired Vehicle	Total Average	
2014-15	390	539	397	314-531
2015-16	396	541	402	309-495
2016-17	381	520	393	314-493
2017-18	361	497	388	288-524
2018-19 (Provisional)	363	486	392	208-455

---

**Annexure-19**

(Referred to in Paragraph 5.1.3 at page no. 107)

**Statement showing various provisions of Section 29, 30, 31 and 32-G of the State Financial Corporations Act, 1951*****Section 29 Rights of Financial Corporation in case of default***

(1) Where any industrial concern, which is under a liability to the Financial Corporation under an agreement, makes any default in repayment of any loan or advance or any instalment thereof or in meeting its obligations in relation to any guarantee given by the Corporation or otherwise fails to comply with the terms of its agreement with the Financial Corporation, the Financial Corporation shall have the right to take over the management or possession or both of the industrial concerns, as well as the right to transfer by way of lease or sale and realise the property pledged, mortgaged, hypothecated or assigned to the Financial Corporation.

(2) Any transfer of property made by the Financial Corporation, in exercise of its powers under sub-section (1), shall vest in the transferee all rights in or to the property transferred as if the transfer had been made by the owner of the property.

(3) The Financial Corporation shall have the same rights and powers with respect to goods manufactured or produced wholly or partly from goods forming part of the security held by it as it had with respect to the original goods.

(4) Where any action has been taken against an industrial concern under the provisions of sub-section (1), all costs, charges and expenses which in the opinion of the Financial Corporation have been properly incurred by it as incidental thereto shall be recoverable from the industrial concern and the money which is received by it shall, in the absence of any contract to the contrary, be held by it in trust to be applied firstly, in payment of such costs, charges and expenses and, secondly, in discharge of the debt due to the Financial Corporation, and the residue of the money so received shall be paid to the person entitled thereto.

(5) Where the Financial Corporation has taken any action against an industrial concern under the provisions of sub-section (1), the Financial Corporation shall be deemed to be the owner of such concern, for the purposes of suits by or against the concern, and shall sue and be sued in the name of the concern.

***Section 30 Power to call for repayment before agreed period***

Notwithstanding anything in any agreement to the contrary, the Financial Corporation may, by notice in writing, require any industrial concern to which it has granted any loan or advance to discharge forthwith in full its liabilities to the Financial Corporation,

(a) if it appears to the Board that false or misleading information in any material particular was given by the industrial concern in its application for the loan or advance; or

(b) if the industrial concern has failed to comply with the terms of its contract with the Financial Corporation in the matter of the loan or advance; or

(c) if there is a reasonable apprehension that the industrial concern is unable to pay its debts or that proceedings for liquidation may be commenced in respect thereof; or

- (d) if the property pledged, mortgaged, hypothecated or assigned to the Financial Corporation as security for the loan or advance is not insured and kept insured by the industrial concern to the satisfaction of the Financial Corporation or depreciates in value to such an extent that, in the opinion of the Board, further security to the satisfaction of the Board should be given and such security is not given; or
- (e) if, without the permission of the Board, any machinery, plant or other equipment, whether forming part of the security or otherwise, is removed from the premises of the industrial concern without being replaced; or
- (f) if for any reason it is necessary to protect the interests of the Financial Corporation.

***Section 31 Special provisions for enforcement of claims by Financial Corporation***

Where an industrial concern, in breach of any agreement, makes any default in repayment of any loan or advance or any instalment thereof or in meeting its obligations in relation to any guarantee given by the Corporation or otherwise fails to comply with the terms of its agreement with the Financial Corporation or where the Financial Corporation requires an industrial concern to make immediate repayment of any loan or advance under section 30 and the industrial concern fails to make such repayment, then, without prejudice to the provisions of section 29 of this Act and of section 69 of the Transfer of Property Act, 1882 any officer of the Financial Corporation, generally or specially authorised by the Board in this behalf, may apply to the district judge within the limits of whose jurisdiction the industrial concern carries on the whole or a substantial part of its business for one or more of the following reliefs, namely;

- (a) for an order for the sale of the property pledged, mortgaged, hypothecated or assigned to the Financial Corporation as security for the loan or advance; or
  - (aa) for enforcing the liability of any surety; or
  - (b) for transferring the management of the industrial concern to the Financial Corporation; or
  - (c) for an *ad interim* injunction restraining the industrial concern from transferring or removing its machinery or plant or equipment from the premises of the industrial concern without the permission of the Board, where such removal is apprehended.
- (2) An application under sub-section (1) shall state the nature and extent of the liability of the industrial concern to the Financial Corporation, the ground on which it is made and such other particulars as may be prescribed.

***32G. Recovery of amounts due to the Financial Corporation as an arrear of land revenue***

Where any amount is due to the Financial Corporation in respect of any accommodation granted by it to any industrial concern, the Financial Corporation or any person authorised by it in writing in this behalf, may, without prejudice to any other mode of recovery, make an application to the State Government for the recovery of the amount due to it, and if the State Government or such authority, as that Government may specify in this behalf, is satisfied, after following such procedure as may be prescribed, that any amount is so due, it may issue a certificate for that amount to the Collector, and the Collector shall proceed to recover that amount in the same manner as an arrear of land revenue.

## Annexure-20

(Referred to in Paragraph 5.1.15 at page no. 118)

Statement showing details of borrowing units lying under possession of the Corporation as on 31 March 2019

(₹ in crore)

Sl. No.	Loan Account Number of the borrowing unit	Branch office	Date of Possession	Outstanding dues				
				Principal not due	Principal due	Interest	Other Money	Total
1	2505010688	Jaipur (South)	15 September 2011	0.00	1.21	0.06	0.07	1.34
2	2705194489 (CRE Sector)	Jaipur (South)	15 January 2010	0.00	9.00	43.78	0.71	53.49
3	3205953679 (CRE Sector)	Bhiwadi	2 February 2016	0.00	6.78	7.27	0.05	14.10
4	2705195365 and 2705195367	Jaipur (Central)	8 July 2015	0.03	0.87	1.26	0.00	2.16
5	0605061149 and 0605011150	Bhilwara	2 November 1987	0.00	0.11	0.13	0.04	0.28
6	2205017031	Sikar	5 October 1988	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.06
7	0205010188	Alwar	6 October 1988	0.00	0.07	0.23	0.01	0.31
8	0105016222 and 0105016269	Kishangarh	20 October 1999	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.12	0.16
9	2705192835 (CRE Sector)	Jaipur (Central)	28 November 2016	0.00	5.28	3.94	0.04	9.26
10	3005610915	Jaipur (North)	12 March 2015	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.04	0.07
11	0605012892, 0605192887, 0605192888, 0605192889, 0605612886 and 0605612890	Bhilwara	11 April 2008	0.00	4.78	1.78	0.83	7.39
12	0305013480	Banswara	18 November 1997	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.02	0.10
13	3205014907 and 3205014898	Bhiwadi	18 December 2003	0.00	0.82	0.42	0.13	1.37
14	2605016748 and 2605016764	Udaipur	28 January 2004	0.00	0.08	0.15	0.00	0.23
15	1705017999	Jodhpur	21 June 2005	0.00	0.09	0.23	0.13	0.45
16	3005157221 and 3005017219	Jaipur (Central)	20 April 2006	0.09	0.41	0.29	0.17	0.96
17	3605017373 and 3605017379	Hanumangarh	1 March 2008	0.00	0.06	0.04	0.00	0.10
18	2705197314 (CRE Sector)	Jaipur (South)	23 February 2010	1.27	1.13	10.95	0.17	13.52
19	2705010302 (CRE Sector)	Jaipur (Central)	23 March 2010	0.00	7.26	34.65	0.20	42.11
20	3205011219	Bhiwadi	15 November 2010	0.00	0.02	0.12	0.06	0.20
21	2505016243	Jaipur (South)	5 January 1993	0.00	0.05	0.03	0.01	0.09

Sl. No.	Loan Account Number of the borrowing unit	Branch office	Date of Possession	Outstanding dues				
				Principal not due	Principal due	Interest	Other Money	Total
22	1405017042	Pali	11 January 2007	0.00	0.07	0.33	0.05	0.45
23	0505013823	Bharatpur	29 June 2018	0.00	0.10	0.49	0.02	0.61
24	4005013024, 4005953028, 4005213032 and 4005353030	Dausa	20 August 2018	0.07	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.11
25	4005148837 and 4005158838	Dausa	14 August 2018	0.00	0.05	0.04	0.00	0.09
26	205211506	Alwar	26 December 2018	0.62	0.06	0.05	0.00	0.73
27	2105210720	Sawai Madhopur	5 March 2019	0.08	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.13
28	4305218772	Kishangarh	26 March 2019	0.00	0.33	0.09	0.00	0.42
	<b>Total</b>			<b>2.16</b>	<b>38.82</b>	<b>106.43</b>	<b>2.88</b>	<b>150.29</b>



## Annexure-21

(Referred to in Paragraph 5.1.18 at page no. 120)

## Statement showing cases where frequent opportunities allowed to the defaulting borrowers hampered recovery of loans

**Borrowing Unit (Loan Account Number: 4605015611)**

The Corporation sanctioned (January 1991) a loan of ₹ 30 lakh to the borrower. Due to default in repayment of loan, the Corporation rescheduled the loan in April 2002 and April 2005 but the borrower did not adhere to the revised schedule also. The Corporation took over (September 2006) possession of the unit but handed it back (October 2006) to the borrower without any auction. Later, the Corporation issued (July 2014) a legal notice to the borrower under Section 29 of the SFCs Act but the borrower did not deposit the requisite dues. The Corporation belatedly took over (March 2015) possession of the unit but the unit was again handed back to the borrower at the same time without deposit of any dues. After issue (August 2016) of another legal notice, the borrower requested (November 2016) to register the case for settlement with the State Level Committee (SLC). Accordingly, the case was registered for settlement by the SLC however settlement of the case is awaited. The total outstanding dues towards the borrower worked out at ₹ 90.31 lakh<sup>10</sup> upto March 2019. MRV of the prime security belonged to the unit was assessed (March 2018) at ₹ 112.36 lakh<sup>11</sup>.

Audit observed that the Corporation provided several opportunities to the borrower for repayment of loan despite continuous defaults. Resultantly, the Corporation could not recover the loan despite lapse of 26 years after extension of the loan. Further, the Corporation could not settle the case despite lapse of two and half years from its registration for settlement. This indicates that the Corporation was not prompt to recover its dues by taking recovery action against the defaulting borrower or settlement of the dues through SLC.

The Corporation while accepting the facts (May 2019) stated that the unit could not be put to auction despite taking over its possession twice due to directions issued at the level of Head office of the Corporation. The borrower is not willing to settle the loan account and has not agreed to repay the dues despite recasting of the account allowing all the possible benefits. Subsequently, Government stated (November 2019) that the case will be placed before SLC for settlement of loan.

The fact remained that the Corporation not only ignored continuous defaults of the borrower while handing back possession of the unit but also lacked prompt action for recovery of the dues. This led to non-recovery of outstanding dues till date.

(Branch office, Bhiwadi)

**Borrowing Unit (Loan Account Number: 2305014247)**

The Corporation sanctioned two loans of ₹ 10 lakh and ₹ 18.90 lakh to the borrower during the year 1998 and 1999 respectively. On default in repayment of dues, the matter was placed (October 2005) before the Head Office Level Committee (HOLC) for settlement wherein waiver of penal interest was disallowed and it was decided to initiate recovery action under Section 29/30 of the SFCs Act considering that the borrower was not interested in repayment of loan and MRV of the unit was almost double of the dues. However, the Corporation did not take possession of the unit and allowed several opportunities *viz.* waiver of penal interest, grant of time extension for clearing the dues, settlement of dues *etc.* to the borrower from

10 Principal overdue: ₹ 15.09 lakh + Regular interest: ₹ 17.18 lakh + Overdue and penal interest: ₹ 58 lakh + Other money: ₹ 0.04 lakh.

11 Land: ₹ 86.25 lakh + Buildings: ₹ 24.75 lakh + Plant and Machinery: ₹ 1.36 lakh.

November 2005 to February 2010 but the same were not adhered to by the borrower and it obtained (March 2010) a stay from the Rajasthan High Court (Court) against takeover of the unit by the Corporation. The Corporation filed an appeal before the Court for vacation of stay which further referred the case to the Mediation Centre of the Rajasthan High Court (Court). The Mediation Centre directed (25 February 2015) the Corporation to consider the matter for settlement through its settlement committee and to convey the decision upto 18 March 2015. The State Level Committee (SLC) of the Corporation decided (26 February 2016) to settle the case. Before deciding the case for settlement, the Mediation Centre had returned back the case to the Court for further proceedings. Total outstanding dues to be recovered from the borrower were worked out at ₹ 62.21 lakh upto March 2019. The matter is still pending with the Court and recovery proceedings are on hold due to the stay granted by the Court. (June 2019)

Audit observed that despite refusal of settlement by HOLC, the Corporation did not initiate recovery action under Section 29/30 of the SFCs Act against the borrower and provided repeated opportunities to it for depositing the dues. Inordinate delay in requisite recovery action led to stay on recovery and unnecessary legal proceedings in the case. Further, the Corporation could not decide the case within the timeframe given by the Mediation Centre which led to continuation of legal proceedings and further delay in recovery of dues. The Corporation also could not obtain vacation of the stay despite lapse of more than nine years.

The Government while accepting the facts stated that the matter is still sub-judice with the Rajasthan High Court, Jodhpur. However, the reply was silent on the deficiencies pointed out by Audit.

**(Branch office, Abu Road)**

***Borrowing Unit (Loan Account Numbers: 2705193167 and 2705193168)***

The Corporation sanctioned (September 2009 and September 2011) two loans of ₹ 70 lakh and ₹ 87 lakh to the borrower under Finance Against Assets Scheme (FAAS). On default in repayment of loans, the Corporation issued (December 2011) a legal notice under Section 29 of the SFCs Act to the borrower to take over possession of the unit but no such action was taken due to deposit of overdue interest by the borrower and the loan was rescheduled in March 2012. The borrower did not adhere to the revised schedule of repayment. Resultantly, the unit was taken over by the Corporation in July 2015. On the assurance of the borrower to clear the overdue amount within a period of six months, the Corporation handed back the unit to the borrower. However, the borrower did not adhere to the assurance. Despite this, the Corporation rescheduled the loan in March 2017 which was again not complied by the borrower. Total overdues towards the borrower were worked out at ₹ 38.07 lakh upto March 2019.

Audit observed that despite continuous defaults in repayment, the Corporation did not ensure recovery of dues by taking action under Section 29/30 of the SFCs Act against the borrower. Even after the borrower did not comply with the revised schedule of repayment of loans, the Corporation only kept on issuing notices and allowed further opportunities to the borrower and the recovery could not be effected in this case till June 2019.

The Government stated that the Corporation had issued (June 2019) a legal notice to the borrower and thereafter, the borrower had deposited (July and August 2019) ₹ 4.20 lakh against the overdues as per the informal reschedulement.

The reply was not convincing as the Corporation neither clarified the details of informal reschedulement agreed with the borrower nor furnished requisite documents in support of the deposited amount.

**(Branch office, Jaipur-Central)**

## Annexure-22

(Referred to in Paragraph 5.1.22 at page no. 124)

## Statement showing four loan cases where recovery of dues was not ensured despite availability of collateral securities

(₹ in crore)

S. No.	Loan Account Numbers of borrowing unit and name of respective Branch office	Outstanding Dues as on 31 March 2019	Date/Month of filing RoDs with the revenue authority	Remarks
1.	Loan Account Number: 2305011834 and 2305011835 (Branch Office, Abu Road)	0.25	Not filed yet	Audit observed that despite having collateral security, the Corporation did not file RoD with the revenue authority in this case. Audit further observed that a team of the Corporation visited (8 November 2009) to verify the collateral security and antecedents of promoters/ guarantor but it could not trace the same. Resultantly, the recovery could not be effected till June 2019.
2.	Loan Account Number: 1605010730 (Branch Office, Jhunjhunu)	0.45	03 December 2009	A team of the Corporation visited (11 September 2009, 15 April 2010 and 22 June 2010) Gurgaon to verify the collateral security and antecedents of promoters/ guarantor but could not trace the same. Due to non-identification of collateral security/details of promoter/ guarantor, the revenue authority returned the RoD in December 2011. Belatedly, another team of the Corporation visited for tracing the collateral security/ promoter/ guarantor but this time also, the same could not be identified. Thus, despite lapse of more than nine years from filing of original RoD in the case, no progress had been made.
3.	Loan Account Number: 1605011460 (Branch Office, Jhunjhnu)	0.18	June, 2005 and August, 2007	A team of the Corporation visited (August 2010) to verify the collateral security and antecedents of promoters/ guarantor but could not trace the same. Thereafter, no efforts for tracing the collateral security/promoter/guarantor were on records. It was also observed that due to non-identification of collateral security/ details of promoter/guarantor, the revenue authority returned the RoD in April 2011.
4.	Loan Account Number: 2305012675 (Branch Office, Abu Road)	0.14	10 October 2007	A team of the Corporation visited (7 November 2009) to verify the collateral security and antecedents of promoters/guarantor but could not trace the same. In absence of requisite details, the RoD was pending with the revenue authorities of Rajkot and the recovery could not be effected despite lapse of more than 11 years from filing of RoD in the case.
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1.02</b>		

**Annexure-23**

(Referred to in Paragraph 5.2.6 at page no. 133)

**Statement showing details of works pending on part of Contractor A**

Sr. No.	Details of pending works
<b>Mechanical</b>	
1.	Boiler High Pressure Heater insulation balance
2.	Water circulation system- water softening plant installation balance
3.	Vapour recovery system
4.	Live steam connection to evaporator balance
5.	Supply erection, commissioning of third Availability Based Tariff (ABT) meter allied equipment like Current Transformer (CT), Power Transformer (PT), isolator <i>etc.</i>
<b>Boiling House instrumentation</b>	
6.	Boiling house control room In-Out panel ferruling
7.	Shield and power earthing
8.	Copper earthing strip connected from Deck Control System (DCS) panel to earthing pit's
9.	Earthing not connected to all instrument used in boiling house.
10.	Molasses weighing hopper system
<b>Mill House Instrumentation</b>	
11.	Shield & power earthing
12.	Copper earthing strip connected from dcs panel to earthing pit's
<b>Boiler &amp; Turbine Area Instrumentation</b>	
13.	Secondary fan (SA fan) suction and discharge power cylinder erection & commissioning work
14.	Forced Draft (FD) fan power cylinder erection & commission work
15.	Reverse Osmosis (RO) and Demineralisation (DM) plant operation and control through boiler DCS system
16.	DM storage tank level transmitter.
17.	Energy meter for power generation & consumption reports
18.	Shield and power earthing pit needs to be separate and copper strip laying from pit to DCS panel of turbine and boiler section.
19.	Air dryer for sugar air compressor
20.	Annunciator with electronic hooter

## Annexure-24

(Referred to in Paragraph 5.5 at page no. 156)

Statement showing excess cost incurred on account of diesel (excluding service tax) consumed for the four contracts of excavating rock phosphate from JRP mine during 2012-19

S. No.	Name of Contractor	Block Number (Name of Pit)	Date awarding of the contract	Quantity excavated (in lakh BCM)	Norm for diesel consumption (Litre per BCM)	Quantity of diesel consumed (in lakh litre)	Excess cost incurred on diesel (₹ in crore)	Additional service tax at the applicable rates <sup>12</sup> of service tax (₹ in crore)
1	2	3	4	5	6	7=5*6	8	9
<b>A</b>	<b>2012-16</b>							
1	Contractor A	A and B	5 December 2012	38.78	1.10	42.66	2.38	0.31 <sup>13</sup>
		C and D		28.01	1.21	33.89	1.64	0.21 <sup>14</sup>
		E and F		38.81	1.07	41.53	1.92	0.26 <sup>15</sup>
	<b>Total (A)</b>			<b>105.60</b>		<b>118.08</b>	<b>5.94</b>	<b>0.78</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>2016-19</b>							
2	Contractor C	A Extension, A and B (Western pit)	3 November 2016	38.94	1.05	40.89	2.47	0.37
3	Contractor B	C, D and E (Central pit)	20 May 2016	94.86	1.21	114.78	7.47	1.12
4	Contractor B	F and G (Eastern pit)	1 July 2016	49.03	1.04	50.99	3.51	0.53
	<b>Total (B)</b>			<b>182.83</b>		<b>206.66</b>	<b>13.45</b>	<b>2.02</b>
	<b>Grand Total (A+B)</b>			<b>288.43</b>		<b>324.74</b>	<b>19.39</b>	<b>2.80</b>

12 Service tax was applicable at the rate of 12.36 per cent, 14 per cent, 14.50 per cent and 15 per cent upto 31 May 2015, from 1 June 2015 to 14 November 2015, from 15 November 2015 to 31 May 2016 and from 1 June 2016 respectively.

13 12.36 per cent of ₹ 1.42 crore, 14 per cent of ₹ 0.34 crore and 14.50 per cent of ₹ 0.62 crore.

14 12.36 per cent of ₹ 1.08 crore, 14 per cent of ₹ 0.38 crore and 14.50 per cent of ₹ 0.18 crore.

15 12.36 per cent of ₹ 1.00 crore, 14 per cent of ₹ 0.43 crore and 14.50 per cent of ₹ 0.49 crore.

